Some Comments on Benedict's "Miao-Yao Enigma: the Na-e Language"

David Strecker

1. Preface

Up to now we have thought that the Hmong-Mien (Miao-Yao) family comprised three branches:

Hmongic (Miao and Bunu Yao) Ho Nte (She)

Mienic (Yao proper)

Now Benedict has presented evidence for a possible fourth branch: Na-e.

Benedict's remarks have inspired me to review the current classification schemes for Hmong-Mien. I would like to propose the following system of subgrouping:

Hmongic

- A. Eastern Guizhou (Purnell's "Eastern")
- B. West Hunan (Purnell's "Northern")
- C. Sichuan-Guizhou-Yunnan (Purnell's "Central" and "Western")
 - 1. Sichuan-Guizhou-Yunnan (Purnell's "West A")
 - 2. Northeast Yunnan
 - 3. Guiyang
 - 4. Huishui
 - 5. Mashan
 - 6. Luobo River
 - 7. Eastern
 - 8. Pu Nu (Tung Nu)
 - 9. Nu Nu
 - 10. Pu No
 - ll. Nao Klao
 - 12. Nu Mhou
- D. Unclassified: nine major groups.
- II. Pa Hng (including Na-e)
- III. Hm Nai
- IV. Kiong Nai
- Yu Nuo V.
- VI. Ho Nte
- VII. Mienic
 - A. Mien-Kim
 - 1. Mien (Iu Mien)
 - 2. Mun (Kim Mun)

VII. Mienic, continued.

- A. Mien-Kim, continued.
 - 3. Biao Mon
- B. Biao-Chao
 - 1. Biao Min
 - 2. Chao Kong Meng
- C. Dzao Min

This schema is taken directly from recent Chinese and Soviet publications except that I have split up the Punuic (Bunu Yao) subdivision of Hmongic. On the one hand, I have put Pu Nu (Tung Nu), Nu Nu, Pu No, Nao Klao, and Nu Mhou into the Sichuan-Guizhou-Yunnan branch of Hmongic, a possibility which has already been considered by such people as Kun Chang, Wang Fushi, and Martha Ratliff. On the other hand, I have provisionally elevated Pa Hng, Hm Nai, Kiong Nai, and Yu Nuo to the status of independent branches of Hmong-Mien, carrying one step further the suggestion made by Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982:117) that these languages "have almost reached the status of separate yu", that is separate major subdivisions of a language family.

Na-e turns out to be simply a southern outlier of the Pa Hng group: see the map. This was first pointed out by Haudricourt (1954:564/1972:197; 1971:38, 43). See also Benedict (1975:xxi). In addition to Bonifacy's article, we have the following sources for Pa Hng:

- 1. Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982:118, 121-123): dialect of Wenjie, Sanjiang County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region.
- 2. Institute for the Study of Minority Languages (1959), cited in Moskalev (1978:15). Locality not specified, but appears to be the same dialect as that described by Mao, Meng, and Zheng, or one very close to it.
- 3. Chang (1947, 1953, 1972, 1976): dialect of Xishanjie, near Yongcong, in the southeastern corner of Guizhou Province. In the literature this dialect is variously known as "Yung-ts'ung", "Hsi-shan-chieh", or "Tahua Yao".
- 4. Chen (1984:17, dialect #13): dialect of Gundong, Liping County, Guizhou Province.

Benedict has expressed fears that Na-e may have died out since the early recording by Bonifacy. But we have reason to hope that the language may still be spoken. Nguyen (1985:2) cites a recent publication by the Institute of Ethnology in Hanoi which lists a Hmong-Mien language called Pathen. I think this must be the same name as Bonifacy's "Pa-teh", that is, Na-e. We will need to go through Vietnamese linguistic journals such as Ngôn-ngữ and Dân-tôc-hoc to see



Strecker, D. 1987, "Some comments on Benedict's *Miao-Yao Enigma: the Na-e language*", in *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 22-42. (purl.org/sealang/strecker1987some.pdf)

Back:mid, Text:mid :: mid-W:0.2, B-Peak:72 :: gamma:1, B:145, W:220

whether more material on Na-e has become available.

Pa Hng splits into two groups, Northern and Southern, which differ in the development of certain initials and finals:

Northern: Xishanjie, Gundong

Southern: Wenjie, Institute wordlist, Na-e

In this paper I will outline very briefly some of the evidence for Haudricourt's assignment of Na-e to the Pa Hng group and I will outline very briefly some additional evidence for Benedict's proposal that Na-e -- or, rather, the Pa Hng group -- separated from Hmongic at an early date.

2. Transcription

All forms in this paper are written in IPA, except for Na-e, for which I retain Bonifacy's transcription. Note the following equivalents:

Bonifacy	IPA
t*	[#]
č	[t ʃ]
ň	[ŋ]
У	[j]
ን	[¥]

Bonifacy transcribes four tones in Na-e:

- l: level. Occurs in all historical tone categories and appears to represent cases where Bonifacy simply failed to hear the tone.
- 2: descending, like Vietnamese <u>huyên</u> (low falling).
 Historically A2, B1, B2, C2, and D2, probably representing several different tones which Bonifacy failed to distinguish.
- 3: acute, like Vietnamese <u>săc</u> (high rising). Historically Cl and Dl.
- 4: interrogative, like Vietnamese hoi (low rising). Historically Al.
- 3. Evidence that Na-e belongs to the Pa Hng group
 Characteristics shared by Na-e and Pa Hng include the following:
- (1) *r becomes yod, e.g. Na-e <u>yo l</u> 'stone'; Xishanjie [jo 33] 'dragon'.
- (2) Velar and uvular initials merge into a single series, realized phonetically as uvular in Wenjie and the Institute wordlist and as velar in the other dialects. For

example, in Na-e, kôn 'horn' (< *k-) is homophonous with the second syllable of pin l kôn l 'star' (< *q-); in Wenjie, [qɔ l] 'warm' (< *k-) is homophonous with the second syllable of [a 6 qɔ l] 'star' (< *q-); in Xishanjie, [kā 34] 'horn' (< *k-) has the same initial as [kai 34] 'sweet' (< *q-).

- (3) *ql, *qlw, etc. become [kw], e.g. white, Na-e kua 4, Xishanjie [kuo 34]; 'yellow', Na-e kua 1, Wenjie [khwr 2].
- (4) Allowing for the vagaries of Bonifacy's transcription, the development of the finals is virtually identical in Na-e and Southern Pa Hng, sometimes slightly different in Northern Pa Hng. For example, corresponding to Wang's Proto-Hmongic final -5, we get [o] or [o]:

	Na-e	Wenjie	Xishanjie	Gundong
house	pi ð u ²	pjo ³	pjo ²²	- -
ear	-bið [⊥]	$-mphjo^2$	mjo^{33}	
fish	bi 5 ¹		mjo ^{ll} ,	njo ⁴
	-		mje ^{ll} (:)	
stone	$y\delta^{\perp}$			

Corresponding to Wang's Proto-Hmongic final -6, we get [e] or [e]:

	Na-e_	Wenjie	Xishanjie	Gundong
female	-tie			
chicken	-ke ^l			
meat	gè	$Nqh \in \mathbb{Z}$	ŋæ ³³	
afraid			2e55	ine ⁵

Corresponding to Wang's Proto-Hmongic final -23 we get [5] or [5] in Na-e and Southern Pa Hng versus [a] or [an] in Northern Pa Hng:

	Na-e	Wenjie	Xishanjie	Gundong
(classifie	-	1 $\overline{5}^{1}$		
star	-k∂ñ ¹	-q5 ¹		
horn	kðħ_		kā ³⁴	
son/male	tðħ ^l		ta ³⁴	
snow				man^5
				<i>J</i>

One complication is that Na-e appears to have undergone two dissimilatory changes:

- 1. [wo] > [wa]
- 2. Loss of final nasal in syllables which begin with a nasal.

Thus we have

Xishanjie [kuo 34] 'white', but Na-e *[kwo 13] > $\underline{\text{kuå 4}}$ [kwa 13] (final -18)

Na-e *[kwoŋ] > kuản l [kwaŋ] 'black' (final -23)

Gundong *[nwan 2] > [wan 2] 'sky', but Na-e *[nwon] > *[nwan] > noa 1 [nwa] (final -23).

(5) 'dog': Na-e yan, Xishanjie [lja 54] (tone Dl).

Compare Hm Nai [lian 6] (tone C2) (Mao and Meng 1982:78) and 18th century "Yao" of northwest Hunan liang (Lombard-Salmon 1972:316). I suspect that the "Yao" vocabulary reproduced by Lombard-Salmon belongs to the Hm Nai group rather than to the West Hunan branch of Hmongic as Benedict suggests. Benedict's Chinese etymology for these words for 'dog' is very plausible, although there is the problem of the tonal disagreement: Chinese A2 versus Hm Nai C2 versus Pa Hng D1.

(6) 'finger': Na-e $\underline{\text{tund l và l pu l}}$ ($\underline{\text{pu l}} = \text{'hand'}$), Wenjie [$\underline{\text{thy 2 va 2}}$].

Hmongic has two variants for 'finger', something like *nta B and *Ngla B (or *Nglwa B). The latter variant is attested in Green Mong ndliv [ndli 35] (Xiong, Xiong, and Xiong 1983:480). Compare also Hm Nai [nei l nkwa 3] 'finger'.

The forms *Nqla B and *Nqlwa B would normally correspond to Na-e *guà 2 (or, perhaps, *nòà 2 [nwa]), Wenjie *[nkwa 3]. Is [va 2] a variant of this arising in weak stress position in the phrase *[th* 2 nkwa 3 phu 4] 'finger of the hand'?

Compare 'sky', below.

(7) 'head': Na-e pi l (in 'turban'), Wenjie [a 6 teu 5 phi 4], Institute wordlist [a 6 teu 5 phi 4].

Compare Dzao Min [p∈ 24], Biao Min [pli 35], Mun [pjei 44] 'head', Mien (Chiang Rai) [pjei 452] 'leader, headman', but note the difference in tone: Mienic Bl versus Pa Hng B2.

- (8) Noun prefixes:
- l. Na-e à, Wenjie [a 6], Institute wordlist [a 6], e.g. ear, Na-e à l kun l bió l, Wenjie [a 6 qho 3 mphjo 2], Institute wordlist [a 6 qho 4 mphio 2].
- 2. Na-e tà 1, to 1, Wenjie [thr 2], Institute wordlist [thr 2], e.g. hand, arm, Na-e tà 1 pu 1, Institute wordlist [thr 2 phu 4]; tooth, Na-e to 1 mha 1; skirt, Wenjie

[thr 2 te 1].

- (9) 'red': Na-e to'2, Wenjie [thr 6] (tone C2). Compare possibly Hm Nai [tai 7] (tone D1), but notice the difference in tone.
 - (10) 'sky': Na-e -<u>noa l [nwa] < *[nwan]</u>, Gundong [wan 2].

Hmongic has two variants for 'sky', perhaps something like *ndon A and *NGlwon A. The latter is suggested by Gaopo [Nqã 55] (irregular, for *[rkā 55]), Fuyuan [Nqwon 31], Fengxiang [Nqun 24] (irregular, for *[Nqwun 24]), Pu Nu (Meizhu) [ta 33 nkun 24], and probably Shiban [Nqwan A]. Compare also Huangluo [no 2] (Chen 1984:17, dialect #12; probably a Yu Nuo dialect). The Pa Hng form appears to correspond to *NGlwon A.

The Mienic words for 'sky' also look as if they may correspond to the *NGlw- form: Dzao Min [van 53], Mun [gu:n 31], Mien (Dapingjiang) [lun 21], Mien (Chiang Rai) [lun 31].

Compare 'finger', above.

(ll) 'two': Na-e <u>và l</u>, Wenjie [va 7] (tone Dl), Xishanjie [va 34] (tone Al).

Compare Hm Nai [wa 1'] (Mao and Meng 1982:79).

This is a Proto-Hmong-Mien word, but it shows great irregularity from one language to another in initial, final, and tone. Benedict may now have found the solution to this puzzle.

(12) 'urine': Na-e vi l, Xishanjie [ve ll].

Most Hmongic dialects have words for 'urine' reflecting a Proto-Hmongic form something like *ra B (Wang 1979, initial 67, final -3). However, in certain Hmongic dialects, in Pa Hng, and in Mienic we have a puzzling array of forms all involving some sort of labial or rounding element:

	Expected form corresponding to *ra B	Actual form
HMONGIC: Yanghao	*X*a11	v ^s a ^{ll}
PA HNG: Na-e	*yi ²	vi ^l
Xishanjie	*ji ^{ll}	ve^{11}
MIENIC: Dzao Min	*ja ⁴⁴ *la ? ⁴²	via ⁴⁴ 15 2 ⁴²
Biao Min	*la?42	15 7 42
Mun	*ga? ⁵³ *la ²³¹	wa 253
Mien(Dapingj.)	*la ²³¹	jwe ²³¹

Additional examples of the same phenomenon are Na-e và l (pà 2) 'to see' in place of the expected *yà 2 (Hmongic 'to watch') and Xishanjie [vi 34] 'vegetable' in place of the expected *[ji 34]. The corresponding Hmongic forms have *?r-.

4. Some further remarks on initials

Prenasalized stops develop in various ways in Na-e and Pa Hng. The pattern seems to be as follows:

	Na-e	Wenjie	Xishanjie	Gundong
*mph-	ph		TP	mh
*mp-	ъ	mp	m	m
*mb-	ъ	mph, mp	m	m

We see, then, that a characteristic feature of Northern Pa Hng is that prenasalized stops change to nasals, e.g.

	Na-e	Wenjie	Xishanjie	Gundong	
*mphj-	the				'ant'
*ntsh-	දිව ²		8€ ²²	nhei ³	'blood'
*mp-	$-be^1$	mpe^5	me ⁵⁵		'pig'
* mp−				ma ŋ)	'snow'
*nt-	₫ 6 ²				'paper'
*mbr-	-bi δ^1	$-mphjo^2$.mjo ³³		'ear'
*mbr-	bio ¹		mjo^{11}	njo ⁴	'fish'
*mbr-	-bið ¹		m.jo44		'nose'
*NG-	$g e^1$	$Nqh \in \mathbb{Z}$	ŋæ ³³		'meat'

Na-e is a Southern Pa Hng language, but in 'leaf' and 'sky' it shows what would seem to be the Northern development of the initial:

	Na-e	Wenjie	Xishanj	jie Gundong	
*mbl-	mð ¹		m ā 33		'leaf'
*NGlw-	-n∂ā ¹			wa ŋ 2	'sky'

If Benedict's etymology for the first syllable of Na-e nun 1 bè l 'pig' is correct, then this is another example of the same thing. These exceptions might be the result of some sort of borrowing or dialect mixture.

In Southern Pa Hng initials are regularly aspirated in syllables with lower register tones. In Wenjie and the Institute wordlist this occurs quite consistently with tones A2, B2, and C2, e.g. Wenjie [mhi 6] 'person', [vhe 4] 'pot', [mhi 6] 'soft'. It does not occur with D2: Wenjie [-mpi 8]

'fan'. In Na-e Bonifacy usually failed to hear the aspiration but he did record it in six words:

	Na-e	Proto- Hmongic	Wang 1979 (initial)
flower	-phà ^l mh é l	*b- A2	3
person	mh ő l	#n- A2	49
earth	lh é l	*l- A2	74, 'paddy field'
mountain	-phā ² mhi ^l	*b- B2	3
horse	mhi ^l	*mz- B2	17
bird	mhu ^l	*n- C2	49

Benedict reanalyzes Bonifacy's mhu bird as [hmu] and suggests that

The initial h-, with a parallel in Yao (Biao Min hno), probably represents an earlier *s-, for the ubiquitous Sino-Tibetan 'animal prefix' *s-, ...

In this case I disagree with Benedict. I have just shown that the aspiration in Na-e is apparently regular so that we do not need to posit an *s- prefix. As for Biao Min, it appears that in this language voiced nasals regularly become voiceless in words with Entering Tone, that is words that originally ended in a stop:

	Biao Min	Mien (Dapingj.)	Middle Chinese	GSR
bird	ກຸວ 2 42	no ? 12		
ink	mo? T~~	ma:t12	*mək	904 e
socks	mun 3 ⁴² m∈ 3 ⁴²	ma:t ¹²	*miwet	311 1
wheat	m∈ 2 ⁴²	m∈ 3 12	*mw < k	932 a-c

As it happens, most of our Biao Min examples may be loans from Chinese, but in 'bird' we see the same process affecting a native word. Again, I see no need to posit an *s- prefix.

Finally, with regard to Benedict's remarks on Na-e <u>ku</u> 3 'six', we may note that Na-e preserves certain distinctions that have been lost in Xishanjie, for example in the following words ($\underline{\xi} = IPA$ [t], $\underline{t} = IPA$ [t]):

	Na-e	Xishanjie	Proto-Hmongic
seven	ča ^l	tpa ⁴⁴	*dz-
to laugh door	t'al t'dhl	teæ ⁵⁴ teã ³³	*tl- o *dl-
the wind win e husband year	t'i ³ t'on ² t'o ³	tei ⁵⁵ ter ²²	*ts- *ts- *ds- 'man' (vir) *s-
six	ku ³	teu 55	*t]-

Another word in which Na-e $\underline{\check{c}}$ reflects a retroflex initial is 'blood', Proto-Hmongic η_{tsh} , Na-e $\underline{\check{c}}$ 2. Again this changes to a prepalatal initial in Northern Pa Hng: Xishanjie $[n] \in \mathbb{R}^{22}$, $[n] \in \mathbb{R}^{22}$, Gundong $[n] \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

5. Evidence for separating Pa Hng from Hmongic
The Pa Hng languages show several phonological peculiarities which suggest that they may have split off from the Hmongic stock prior to the period represented by Wang's reconstruction of Proto-Hmongic.

(1) Generally, as one would expect, Proto-Hmongic dentals correspond to Pa Hng dentals, for example:

	Proto- Hmongic	_	Wenjie	Insti- tute	iie	Gundong
son/male	*t-	$t\delta n^1$			ta 34	
skirt	*t-		-tel			
to come	*d-	to ¹			t∈33	
fire	* d-	tð ^{, 1} _		-thy4	tw ¹¹	,
snake		$n\theta n^{1}$			n∈ ³⁴	
to eat	*n-	\mathtt{non}^{1}			nã ³³	
cloth	*nt-		\mathtt{ntI}^{1}			
paper	*nt-	₫ ໕ ²				
to weave	*nt-				næ ⁵⁴	na ⁷

In a number of cases, however, Pa Hng has a labial initial:

	Proto- Hmongic	Na-e	Wenjie	Insti- tute	Xishan- jie	Gundong
hemp	*nd-	ხ ნ 3			no ⁴⁴	
rain	*n-	mu ¹		-mõ ⁵		
person	*n-	mh e L	$\mathtt{mhi} \in \mathcal{Z}$			
bird	#n−	mhu⊥				

Benedict's suggestion is that these words originally began with clusters, and that these clusters merged with dentals in Hmongic after Hmongic had separated from Pa Hng. Benedict has already commented on the initials of 'rain' and 'bird'. 'Person' likewise fits very well with the Austro-Tai etymology that has been offered (Benedict 1975:336; 1985).

With regard to 'person', note that in 1975 Benedict took the Hmongic reflex to be the form *mron A (or *smon A) 'Hmong (Wang 1979, initial 5, final -31; Wang 1983:4). But in 1985 Benedict revised his reconstruction and took the Hmongic reflex to be, rather, *nan A 'person' (Wang 1979, initial 49, final -20), which agrees much better in initial and final. Benedict now thinks that *smon A is a back loan from Chinese.

'Hemp' is like 'bird' in that it has a dental initial in both Hmongic and Mienic, with only Na-e showing a labial initial. Even Xishanjie has a dental initial in 'hemp'. I should point out that 'hemp' has a historically unexpected tone in Na-e and that 'rain' has a historically unexpected tone in the Institute wordlist. But these may be transcription errors or misprints in both cases. Wang Fushi has told me that Institute (1959) contains many misprints.

(2) Generally, Proto-Hmongic prepalatals correspond to prepalatals in Pa Hng:

	Proto- Hmongic	Na-e	Wenjie	Institute	Xishanjie
wine	*te-				te* ²²
the wind	*te-	t'i ³		-tei ⁵	ter ²² tei ⁵⁵
husband	*d%-	ton ²			
heavy	*12-		***		po ³⁴
mushroom	*nte-				70 ³⁴
to climb	*nte-				20 ²²
mouth	*ndz-			~ ~	7uu ³³

In two words, however, Pa Hng has the velar/uvular series:

	Proto- Hmongic	Na-e	Wenjie	Institute	Xishanjie
nine	*d % -	ko ^l			ko ³³
rice bread	i *nte-		Nqo3		ŋ o ²²

Differences of this kind, including the word for 'nine', were noted by Chang (1976:173, 176), who proposed an alternation between plain and yodicized velars to account for them. Thus in 'nine' we would have Pa Hng *g- versus Hmongic *gi-> *d3-.

'Nine', like 'six', has been suggested to be an early loan from Sino-Tibetan. An initial of the back series also occurs in Hm Nai [ko 2] 'nine' (contrast [-tpi 5] 'the wind') and in Dzao Min [ku 53] 'nine' (contrast [jau 31] 'the wind'). Note that the Hmong-Mien words for 'nine' all have tone A2, whereas the Chinese form has B1.

- (3) Benedict has already discussed the word for 'six' where the Na-e form appears to preserve a contrast between *tl- and *tr- which has been lost in Hmongic.
- (4) Pa Hng appears to have preserved contrasts involving finals which have been lost in Hmongic. Thus Wang's final -13 corresponds to Pa Hng [a] or [æ] in some words versus [o] in others:

[O] III Owiers.								
	Na-e	Wenjie	Xishanjie	Gundon	g			
to see	va ¹ - -lha ³							
mouth	-lha³		la ⁵⁵					
old ³		qa ⁵						
strength			jæ ⁴⁴ næ ⁵⁴					
to weave			næ ⁵⁴	na ⁷				
to laugh	t'al	→ –	teæ ⁵⁴ ?æ ⁵⁴					
duck			?ae ⁵⁴					
to see	-pa ²		pa ³¹	- -				
thunder	ხ ბ ²							
deep			to ³⁴					
early				no^3				
to come			lo ^{ll}					
buffalo4	-hð ¹							

(1) Hmongic 'to watch'. (2) Hmongic 'mouthful'. (3) Not in Wang; see Purnell 1970, Appendix, #628; Chen and Li 1981:1°7, 2°1, 3°3. (4) Hmongic 'ox, cow'.

Similarly, Wang's final -18 corresponds to Pa Hng [v] in some words versus [o] or [o] in others:

	Na-e	Institute	Xishan ji e
wine fire correct ¹	 tð ^{, 1}	 -th*	ter ²² tr ¹¹ nr ⁵⁴
white paper to open	kua ⁴ d6 ²		kuo ³⁴ po ⁵⁴

Note the dissimilatory change [wo] > [wa] in the Na-e word for 'white'.

(5) 'fruit': The Proto-Hmong-Mien word for 'fruit' was probably something like *pjiu B or *pjeu B. Mienic languages preserve the final [-u]: Dzao Min [beu 11], Mun [pjeu 44], Mien [pjeu 452]. In Ho Nte and Hmongic the final [-u] disappears so that 'fruit' comes to rhyme with 'you':

Hmong Ho Nte Dzao Mien Mien Mun Proto-Hmong(Qing- (Boluo) Min (Da- (Chiang yan) ping- Rai)

fruit pi¹³ pi⁵⁵ beu¹¹ pjou⁵² pjau⁴⁵² pjau⁴⁴ *pjiu^B
you ni⁵⁴ mpi⁴² mui⁵² mwei²¹ mei³¹ myi³¹ *mwi^A

In Pa Hng the word for 'fruit' is Xishanjie [pj \in 22], Na-e pò l. The rounded vowel of the Na-e form is intriguing. Is the rounding a remnant of the final [-u]? If it is, this would be another feature preserved in Pa Hng but lost in Hmongic. But it is difficult to be certain. The rounding might also be conditioned by the initial labial.

If the Na-e word for 'you' does not rhyme with the Na-e word for 'fruit', this would be evidence that Na-e has indeed preserved a distinction lost in Hmongic. Unfortunately, the Na-e form for 'you' was not included in Bonifacy's paper.

(6) 'sun/day': One of the characteristics which appears to have set Proto-Hmongic apart from its sister dialects is that the word for 'sun' or 'day' was something like *con A, ending in a nasal, whereas in the other dialects it seems to have been something like *noi A. Thus in Hmongic languages

¹Not in Wang; see Chang 1947:108.

Strecker, D. 1987, "Some comments on Benedict's *Miao-Yao Enigma: the Na-e language*", in *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 22-42. (purl.org/sealang/strecker1987some.pdf)

'sun' rhymes with such words as 'son' and 'horn', whereas in other branches of Hmong-Mien it does not. In Pa Hng, 'sun' does not rhyme with 'son', 'horn', etc.:

	Hmong (Qing- yan)	Na-e	Xi- shan- jie				_
give/			pã 34	pa ŋ ⊥	pa ŋ 33 .		pun ⁴⁴
shar son/	e 1 ^{tuŋ 55}	$-t\delta\hbar^1$	tã ³⁴	taŋl	tan ³³	•	ton ⁴⁴
(clf.) way	Jny_{\perp}		usv_{I}	$ntan^{33}$	ua u SS	DOW Aft
steam (vb	teu ŋ 55	5	-1227-		tja ŋ 33	tja ŋ ²²	tsa :ŋ44
hot					kha ŋ 33		com 44
young pla	zu ŋ 55		j ã ³⁴			ja ŋ ²²	ja :ŋ ⁴⁴
horn	ku ŋ)	kðň	kã ³⁴			kja ŋ ²²	co ŋ 44
star	qu n	-kðn ⁻					(lhei ²⁵)
black	?lun ⁵	kuần L				k ja ŋ ²²	cia ? 55
sky	ntu ŋ 51	hoa ¹					luŋ
full	pu n 13		pã ²²	pan ³	pa ŋ 55		pwan ⁴⁵² tam ⁴⁵²
body	tu ŋ 13			nta ŋ 3	tan 55	ta ŋ 55	tam ⁴⁵²
lou short	se 13		1ã ²²	_{1an} 3	nta n 55		na ŋ ⁴⁵²
	\ ± c 32	2	Id	lan ³	+h==54	tha ŋ 53	(tau ³¹)
) thup ³²	 }		• 5) than 54	33	(cau)
snow	mpu n	/	 {{	mpan	21	pa ŋ 33	(2)
break	tu ŋ 43		t ã 55	tan	taŋ ²¹	tan	ta ŋ ²⁵
sun/ day	nu n 55	-nh e l	ne ³⁴	-nhe ^l	nto ³³	no ²²	nhoi ⁴⁴

In Na-e, 'black' and 'sky' have undergone dissimilatory changes as explained earlier, so that we have [kwan] and [nwa] instead of *[kwon] and *[nwon]. Although 'sky' loses its final nasal, the vowel of 'sky' remains quite different from that of 'sun'. Please note also that in the case of the Na-e word for 'sun' I have assumed that Bonifacy's an 1 he l is actually a false division for a 1 nh 1.

¹Hm Nai classifier for people.

²Dapingjiang Mien [bwan²⁴].

6. Mienic versus non-Mienic

The various non-Mienic branches of Hmong-Mien share certain characteristics that set them apart from Mienic, for example:

- 1. Distinctive lexical items. e.g. 'pig': non-Mienic *mpai C versus Mienic *dun B.
- 2. Distinctive forms of particular lexical items, e.g. 'black': non-Mienic final *-n versus Mienic final *-1.
- 3. In the Mienic languages *-2 after *voiceless initials remains distinct from tone C whereas in the non-Mienic languages it merges with tone C.

Pa Hng has non-Mienic characteristics, for example:

1. 'pig': Na-e -bè 1, Wenjie [mpe 5], Xishanjie [me 55]. Compare Hmong (Qingyan) [mpo 43], Hm Nai [mpe 5], Kiong Nai [mpei 5], Yu Nuo (Liutian) [méi 4], Ho Nte (Boluo) [pui 21], Ho Nte (Zengcheng) [pi 33]. Contrast Dzao Min [tin 44], Biao Min [twə2 42], Mien [tun 231], Mun [tun? 53].

But Na-e also has $\underline{\text{nun}}\ l$ -, which Benedict suggests may be a loan from Mienic.

2. 'black': Na-e <u>kuān l</u>. Compare Hmong (Qingyan)
[?lun 55], Ho Nte (Zengcheng) [kjan 22]. Contrast Dzao Min [kia 44] < *[kja?], Biao Min [kja? 54], Mien (Dapingjiang)
[tse2 55], Mien (Chiang Rai) [cia? 55], Mun [kja 4232] < *[kja?].

3. Final glottal stop merges with tone C:

	Xishan- jie	- Hmong (Xian- jin)	Ho Nte (Bo- luo)	Dzao Min	Mien (Chiang Rai)	Mun
sleep	pai ⁵⁵	pu ⁴⁴	po ²¹	bui ⁴¹	pwei ²⁵	f y i ²⁴
(Cl)	tew ⁵⁵	tou ⁴⁴	kə ²¹	to ⁴⁴	cu ? 55	kj 32
(*-?) hundred	p∈ ⁵⁵	po 44	pa ²¹	ba ⁴⁴	p∈ ? 55	p∈4232
(*-?) laugh (D1)	tsæ ⁵⁴	to ³³	_{ku} 335	tut ⁴⁴	cet ⁵⁵	kjet ²²

Did Proto-Hmong-Mien begin by splitting into Proto-Mienic and Proto-non-Mienic? Were the non-Mienic characteristics innovations in Proto-non-Mienic? 'Pig' and 'black' perhaps are not. Benedict has presented evidence that both 'pig' and the nasal-final variant of 'black' go back to Proto-Austro-Tai (Benedict 1975:354, 266). Benedict's theory that Hmong-Mien

is a branch of Austro-Tai is controversial; see especially Wang (1985b). But if Benedict's etymologies for 'pig' and the nasal-final variant of 'black' are correct, then these forms already existed in Proto-Hmong-Mien and their presence in Hmongic, Pa Hng, Ho Nte, and so on, is simply a shared inheritance from Proto-Hmong-Mien and does not imply any special relationship among these groups.

With regard to the merger of final glottal stop with tone C, Sagart (1984, 1985) has presented evidence that both tone B and tone C were glottalized in Chinese, Tai, and Hmong-Mien. If this is correct, then the merger of final glottal stop with tone C may turn out to be a very natural sound change which could easily have occurred independently in the different groups. Indeed even in Mienic, we find that in many dialects final glottal stop merges with tone C after *voiced initials, although remaining distinct after *voiceless initials. For example, in the Houei Sai dialect of Mun, [Ou 21] 'chisel' (*-?) differs only in initial from [du 21] 'hemp' (C2).

On the other hand, one area which I think does hold a lot of promise for elucidating subgroups of Hmong-Mien is the development of the finals. The old idea that "Miao" preserves initials and "Yao" preserves finals is too simple, as David Solnit has pointed out (1984:2). But a step by step investigation of each Proto-Hmong-Mien final may turn up cases of shared innovation which genuinely reflect common ancestry. have already seen two possible examples. One was the merger of *iu and *i shared by Ho Nte and Hmongic which I discussed in connection with the word for 'fruit'. The other was the array of forms which I cited in connection with the word for 'sun'. Mien shows several different finals in these forms: $-\underline{am}$, $-\underline{om}$; $-\underline{on}$, $-\underline{un}$; $-\underline{an}$, $-\underline{an}$, $-\underline{on}$, $-\underline{un}$. Even in Biao Min, which is noted for its greatly reduced system of finals, we have different finals in [jo 44] 'young plant' versus [tan 24] 'to break'. But in Ho Nte, Hm Nai, Pa Hng, and Hmongic all these finals appear to have merged.

7. Conclusions

- (1) Both Na-e and "Tahua Yao" (Xishanjie) appear to belong to the Pa Hng subgroup of Hmong-Mien.
- (2) Pa Hng may have split off from the Hmongic stock prior to the period represented by Wang's reconstruction of Proto-Hmongic.
- (3) Hm Nai, Yu Nuo, and Kiong Nai may also have split off from Hmongic at an early date. These languages deserve more research.
- (4) There may be some special connection between Pa Hng and Hm Nai: see 'dog', 'finger', 'red', 'two', 'nine'.
 - (5) The earliest split in the Hmong-Mien family may have

been between Mienic and everything else but the evidence for this is not yet conclusive.

Benedict suggests, rather, that the earliest split might have been between Na-e (read "Pa Hng") and everything else. He bases this suggestion on the labial initial in the Na-e form for 'bird'. To this evidence we may now be able to add the labial initial in the Na-e form for 'hemp'. On the other hand, as Benedict admits, the change from *mr to [n] could have occurred independently in different languages rather than being a shared innovation implying any special connection among the non-Pa Hng languages. Indeed a similar change to a dental initial would seem to have occurred even within Pa Hng in the Xishanjie form for 'hemp'. I agree with Benedict, however, that more data on the Pa Hng languages are needed before questions such as these can be resolved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am both grateful and honored that Paul Benedict has asked me to write these comments on his paper. Ever since I began doing research on Hmong-Mien languages some years ago Paul has shown interest in my ideas and suggested lines of inquiry. This has meant a great deal to me.

I am also deeply grateful for the encouragement and guidance I have received from André Haudricourt, Kun Chang, Wang Fushi, Martha Ratliff, and Brenda Johns. I also owe a special debt to Martha for making me xerox copies of many of the sources used in preparing this paper.

Finally, I would like to take this opportunity to thank my Mun informant, Boonchan Chang, for his kindness and interest in my research.

APPENDIX: Sources

Proto-Hmongic: Initials and tones from Wang (1979). Reconstructions of finals are my own. (Wang assigns the finals numbers but does not reconstruct the actual pronunciations.)
See also Chang (1947, 1953, 1972, 1976) and Purnell (1970).
Hmongic:

Green Mong of Laos: Xiong, Xiong, and Xiong (1983).
Shiban: Chen (1984:15, dialect #7). Dialect of Shibanzhai,
Kaili county, southeastern Guizhou.

Pu Nu: Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982). Dialect of Meizhu, Du'an county, central Guangxi. Other: Wang (1979).

Na-e: Bonifacy (1905). Dialect of Bao-lac, northern Vietnam. Wenjie: Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982:118, 121-123). Dialect of Wenjie, Sanjiang county, northeastern Guangxi.

Institute wordlist: Institute for the Study of Minority Languages (1959), cited in Moskalev (1978:15).
Xishanjie: Chang (1947, 1953, 1972, 1976). Dialect of Xishan-

jie, near Yongcong, southeastern Guizhou.

Gundong: Chen (1984:17, dialect #13). Dialect of Gundong, Liping county, southeastern Guizhou.

Hm Nai: Institute for the Study of Minority Languages (1959); Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982:119, 121-123); Mao and Meng (1982:77-20). Hm Nai is spoken in western Hunan.

Kiong Nai: Institute for the Study of Minority Languages (1959); Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982:119, 121-123); Mao and Meng (1982:77-80). Kiong Nai is spoken in Jinxiu county, eastern Guangxi.

Yu Nuo:

(1) Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982:120-123): dialect of Liutian, Longsheng county, northeast Guangxi.

(2) Chen (1984:17, dialect #12): dialect of Huangluo, Long-

sheng county, northeast Guangxi.

(3) Institute for the Study of Minority Languages (1959): Longsheng county, northeast Guangxi, exact locality not specified.

Ho Nte (Boluo): Mao and Meng (1982). Dialect of Boluo county, southeast Guangdong (near Hong Kong).

Ho Nte (Zengcheng): Chen (1982; 1984:18, dialect #15). Dialect of Xiashui, Zengcheng county, southeast Guangdong (near Hong Kong).

Mien (Chiang Rai): Purnell (1970); Lombard (1968). Dialect of

Chiang Rai province, northern Thailand.

Mien (Dapingjiang): Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982). Dialect of Dapingjiang, Jiangdi commune, Longsheng county, northeastern Guangxi.

Mun: author's fieldnotes. Dialect of Ban Nam Yong, Houei Sai district, Houa Khong province, northwest Laos.

Biao Min: Solnit (1984); Mao, Meng, and Zheng (1982:63-69), Dialect of Quanzhou county, northeast Guangxi.

Dzao Min: Wong (1939); Yu and Chao (1984). Dialect of Youling, Liannan county, northwest Guangdong.

REFERENCES

Benedict, Paul K. 1975.

Austro-Thai Language and Culture with a Glossary of Roots.

HRAF Press.

"Early Sino-Tibetan/Miao-Yao Loan Relationships". Panel on Hmong Language and Linguistics, Southeast Asian Studies Summer Institute Conference, Ann Arbor.

Bonifacy, [Auguste Louis]. 1905.

"Etude sur les langues parlées par les populations de la haute Rivière Claire". Bulletin de l'Ecole Française d'Extrême Orient 5:306-327.

Chang, Kun. 1947.
"Mião-Yão yǔ shengdiào wenti" (On the Tone System of the Miao-Yao Languages). Bulletin of the Institute of History

- Chang, Kun. 1947. and Philology, Academia Sinica, XVI:93-110.
- "On the Tone System of the Miao-Yao Languages". Language 29:374-378.
- "The Reconstruction of Proto-Miao-Yao Tones". Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology, Academia Sinica, 44:541-628.
- _____. 1976.
 "Proto-Miao-Initials". The Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology, Academia Sinica, XLVII:2:155-218.
- Chen Qiguang. 1982.

 "Sheyŭ zai Miao-Yaoyŭli'de diwei" (The Position of She in Miao-Yao). 15th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.
- "Gǔ Miáo-Yáo yǔ bí guan bísè yīn shēngmǔ zài xiàndài fangyan zhōng fănyìng xingshì de lèixing" (The Reflected Patterns in Modern Dialects of the Prenasalized Stop Initials of Proto-Miao-Yao). Minzū Yǔwén 1984:5:11-22.
- and Li Yongsui. 1981.

 "Hànyǔ Miáo-Yáoyǔ Tổngyuán Lìzhèng" (Some Examples of the Genetic Affinity Between Han and Miao-Yao). Minzữ Yǔwén 1981:2:13-26.
- GSR = Grammata Serica Recensa (Karlgren 1957).
- Haudricourt, André G. 1954.

 "Introduction à la phonologie historique des langues miao-yao". Bulletin de l'Ecole Française d'Extrême Orient 44: 555-576. Reprinted in André G. Haudricourt, 1972, Pro-blèmes de phonologie diachronique, Société pour l'étude des langues africaines, Paris, pp. 183-208.
- "Les langues miao-yao". Bulletin du Centre de Documentation et de Recherche, Equipe Atlas, fascicule 1, 31-47, 51.
- Institute for the Study of Minority Languages. 1959.
 Zhongguó Shaoshù Minzú Yuyan Jianzhì: Miao-Yao Yuzu Bu'fen
 (A Sketch of the Languages of China's Minorities: Section
 on the Miao-Yao Language Family). Chinese Academy of
 Sciences, Institute for the Study of Minority Languages.
 Cited in Moskalev (1978).
- Karlgren, Bernhard. 1957.
 Grammata Serica Recensa. The Museum of Far Eastern Antiquities, Stockholm, Bulletin N:o 29. Reprinted 1964 by Elanders Boktryckeri Aktiebolag, Göteborg.

- Lombard, Sylvia. 1968.
 Yao-English Dictionary. Compiled by Sylvia J. Lombard.
 Edited by Herbert C. Purnell, Jr. Linguistics Series
 II, Data Paper: Number 69, Southeast Asia Program, Department of Asian Studies, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.
- Un exemple d'acculturation chinoise: la province du Gui zhou au XVIIIe siècle. Appendix VII: Connaissance linguistique des Han: les quatre lexiques du Miao fang bei lan, pp. 301-321. Publications de l'Ecole Française d'Extrême Orient, volume LXXXIV.
- Mao Zongwu and Meng Chaoji. 1982. "Bóluo Sheyǔ gàishù" (A Brief Description of the She Language in Boluo District). Minzú Yǔwén 1982:1:64-80.
- Mao Zongwu, Meng Chaoji, and Zheng Zongze. 1982. Yáozú Yúyán Jiǎnzhì (A Sketch of the Languages of the Yao People). Mínzú Chubǎnshè (Nationalities Press), Peking.
- Moskalev, A. A. 1978.

 Jazyk duan'skix jao (jazyk nu)(The Yao language of Du'an (The Nu language)). "Nauka" Press, Moscow.
- Nguyen, Dinh-Hoa. 1985.

 "Vietnam's Language Policy towards Ethnic Minorities".

 18th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.
- Purnell, Herbert C. 1970.

 Toward a Reconstruction of Proto-Miao-Yao. Ph.D. dissertation, Cornell University. University Microfilms, #70-17,096.
- Sagart, Laurent. 1984.
 "On the Departing Tone". 17th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.
- "Some Further Evidence on the Glottalization of the Chinese Departing Tone". 18th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.
- Solnit, David B. 1984.
 "Introduction to the Biao Min Yao Language". 17th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.
- Wang Fushi. 1979.

 Miáoyú Fangyán Sheng Yùn Mǔ Bǐjiǎo (The Comparison of Initials and Finals of Miao Dialects). Monograph distributed at the 12th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.

- Wang Fushi. 1983.
 "Miáoyú fangyán huðfen wentí" (On the Dialect Divisions of Miao Language). Mínzú Yűwén 1983:5:1-22.
- Miáoyú Jičnzhì (A Sketch of the Miao Language). Mínzú Chubánshè (Nationalities Press), Peking.
- "Miáo-Yáoyu de xì shu wentí chu tàn" (A Preliminary Investigation of the Genetic Affiliation of the Miao-Yao Languages). Symposium on the Languages, Cultures and Histories of the Minorities of China, University of California, Santa Barbara. English translation by Mark Hansell.
- Wong Sik-ling. 1939.

 "Phonetics and Phonology of the Yao language: description of the Yau-ling dialect". Linguan Science Journal 18:425-455.
- Xiong, Lang; Joua Xiong; and Nao Leng Xiong. 1983.
 English-Mong-English Dictionary. Privately printed,
 Milwaukee.
- Yu Weiwen and Chao Zongqi. 1984.
 "Youling Yao hua gaishu" (A brief description of the Yao dialect in Youling). Journal of Sun Yat-sen University, social sciences edition, 1984:3:115-126, 62.