KANAURI AM 'PATH'

Axel Schuessler Wartburg College Waverly, Iowa

The Kanauri and Almora dialects in the Western Himalayas employ a word am (or **9m** in D. D. Sharma's recent publications) for 'path', which looks so close to general TB and WT lam 'path', but for the missing initial l-, that R. Shafer has suspected a genetic link, but could not explain the phonological relationship (Shafer 1974:141). The Kanauri form is apparently not mentioned in Benedict 1972. There are more Kanauri words without the expected l-:

Gloss	Kanauri	WT, TB
'path'	am	WT lam
'stone'	uŋ	TB *luŋ
'sweet'	im	$TB * lim > WT \check{z}im (via * ljim)$

TB initial *1- is generally reconstructed on the basis of a number of TB languages, including WT. We find, however, that some languages in the Himalayas have regularly changed the *1- to the palatal j-, at least in one early linguistic layer and/or environment:

Gloss	WT, TB	TGTM	Vayu	Other
'path'	TB *lam	*g-jam		Raji jaŋ
'work'	OT (b-)las	* g-jas		
'sheep'	WT lug	*g-juk		
'stone'	TB *luŋ		juŋ	
'sweet'	TB *lim			

Raji, though at bottom an Austroasiatic language, is within the Almora orbit. This language appears to avoid -m in final position, hence the final -n.

1	Languas	ge and language famil	ly abbreviations:	•
	Lep	Lepcha	TB	Tibeto-Burman
	Lush	Lushai	TGTM	Tamang-Gurung-Thakali-Manang
	Mik	Mikir	WB	Written Burmese
	OT	Old Tibetan	WT	Written Tibetan
			73	

Schuessler, A. 1999, "Kanauri am 'path'", in Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 73-75. (purl.org/sealang/schuessler1999kanauri.pdf)

A characteristic of the old core stratum of Kanauri is the deletion of TB j-. This is not unique among TB languages. WB does the same:

Gloss	TB	WT	Other	but	Kanauri	WB
'day'	*rjak	žag	Lep ajak		hrag	rak
'ask'	*rju	žu-	Mik arju -	< r-ju	rhu (~ rju)	
'hundred'	*b-rja	brgja	Lap za < j	ja	ra	o-ra
'stand'	*-rjap	žabs	Mik arjap	< *rjap	гар	
'eight'	*-ret/-rjat	brgjad	Lush riat		re	hrac
'hand'		p ^h jag	? ≭ ?		pakh 'wing'	phak 'leaf'
'four'	*b-li	bži < blji			bi, pi	le ^B

Note that in Kanauri the aspirated liquid **rh**- is apparently not necessarily phonemic. Sharma (1992:216) explains that **r**- and **l**- in initial position are in some dialects accompanied by aspiration. In 'four', the **j**-glide is secondary, but may perhaps explain the total loss of **l** in Kanauri, where the development has perhaps been **bli** > **bji** > **bi**, **pi**.

The reason for the complete loss of initial l- in Kanauri is now clear: Kanauri belongs to those Himalayan languages, like TGTM, Hayu (Vayu), etc., which had changed l- to j- at some point. However, traces of this j- are preserved in the Raji form jan for 'path' and a Kanauri doublet rju- for hru- 'ask'. Characteristic for Kanauri is now the further step of eliminating all j-, including secondary j-. Unlike Kanauri, in Burmese the medial -j- was lost at a stage before initial l- and r- became palatal glides.

However, many words in Kanauri dialects do have initial l- (e.g., lug 'calf'; cf. WT lug 'sheep') and j- (e.g., ju 'rat'). But these items are obvious loans from Tibetan or from elsewhere, and belong to a different linguistic stratum. Also, Kanauri words like mjak 'grass', from TB *m-ljak, may have retained the j- because of the complex cluster, unless this is another case of occasional retention of the medial glide (note the doublet hru ~ rju). The Proto-Tibetan cognate for 'grass' is actually quite close to TB and Kanauri: WT 'Jag-ma 'a sort of coarse and thick grass', presumably < *N-žak < *N-ljak.

An old stratum of Kanauri thus turns out to be closer to Himalayan languages like Hayu and TGTM than to Tibetan.

Schuessler, A. 1999, "Kanauri am 'path'", in Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 73-75. (purl.org/sealang/schuessler1999kanauri.pdf)

REFERENCES

- BENEDICT, Paul. 1972. Sino-Tibetan: a Conspectus. Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press.
- MAZAUDON, Martine. 1985. "Proto-Tibeto-Burman as a two-tone language? Some evidence from Proto-Tamang and Proto-Karen." In Linguistics of the Sino-Tibetan Area, ed. G. Thurgood, J. A. Matisoff, and D. Bradley, 201-229. Canberra, Australia: Department of Linguistics, Research School of Pacific Studies, Australian National University.
- _____. 1996. "An outline of the historical phonology of the dialects of Nar-Phu (Nepal)." LTBA 19.1:103-114.
- SHAFER, Robert. 1974. Introduction to Sino-Tibetan. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- SHARMA, D. D. 1989-1992. The Tribal Languages of Himachal Pradesh, Parts I and II. (Studies in Tibeto-Himalayan Languages, 2.) Delhi: Mittal Publications.
- _____. 1989-1990. Tibeto-Himalayan Languages of Uttarakhand, Parts I and II. (Studies in Tibeto-Himalayan Languages, 3.) New Delhi: Mittal Publications.

Schuessler, A. 1999, "Kanauri am 'path'", in Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 73-75. (purl.org/sealang/schuessler1999kanauri.pdf)