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1. As the leading linguist in the field of Tai and Mon-Khmer linguistics, Eugénie J. A. Henderson has never hesitated to be innovative in analysing numerous phonetic/phonological phenomena unique to the monosyllabic languages of Southeast Asia. The present paper is a modest token of her spirit, inherited by one of her followers.

Students of Southeast Asian languages witness a very conspicuous parallelism of occurrence between syllable-ending nasals and homorganic stops. For instance, the syllable structure of Fukienese (Amoy), Vietnamese and Thai can be formulated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
(C)V & \{ m / p \} \\
   & \{ n / t \} \\
   & \{ η / k \} \\
   & \{ ~ / ? \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(C)V & \{ m / p \} \\
   & \{ n / t \} \\
   & \{ η / c \} \\
   & \{ η / k \} \\
   & \{ ηΜ/ΚΡ \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Fukienese Vietnamese Thai

The same tendency to parallelism extends among primarily monosyllabic languages of East Asia as far north to the Chinese dialects of Shansi, despite the greater simplification of their syllable structures:

\[
\begin{align*}
(C)V & \{ n / t \} \\
   & \{ η / k \} \\
   & \{ ~ / ? \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(C)V & \{ n / t \} \\
   & \{ η / k \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(C)V & \{ η / k \} \\
C(V) & \{ ~ / ? \} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Ch'ao-ch'ou Nan-ch'ang Foochow T'ai-yUan

Since this parallelism is so striking, the fact itself demands a formal account of the phenomenon from linguists.

2. Since the late 1950's, the present author has been promoting the idea that the parallelism can be best accounted for by interpreting the stop endings as tonal variants of their corresponding homorganic nasals since the late 1950s. The idea is based, in short, on the following two observations:
1) Syllables having the stop endings are normally pronounced with a length half or a quarter of those having homorganic nasal endings.

2) Both stops and their homorganic nasal counterparts share exactly identical points and manners of articulation, except for the denasalization on the part of the stop series; both series are lenis sounds and normally the on-glides of these sounds appear in the ending position.

If we count the "performance feature" as one of the "tonal" features of monosyllabic languages, we can set up the feature staccato vs. legato to determine the phonetic difference between stop and homorganic nasal syllables. The occlusion between the velum and the pharyngeal wall constitutes the most natural and economical way of producing these short (our staccato) syllables, while keeping the points and other manners of articulations unchanged. While they never elaborated on the theoretical background, the traditional phonologists of pre-modern China treated those stop and homorganic nasal syllables in exactly the same way as we propose here; namely what are described as stop syllables by modern linguists are the "entering-tone" counterparts of the homorganic nasal syllables of other tones, the "level," "rising," or "departing" tones.

Recently, this view was challenged by linguists from both sides of the Pacific Ocean. Tatsuo Nishida "thinks" that this analysis is a case of "over-application" of the principle of complementary distribution and of "over-emphasis" on our performance feature. Nishida "thinks" that the distinction between nasals and their homorganic stops holds priority (over the performance feature) in the analysis not merely of Chinese dialects but also of Sino-Tibetan in general. As a parallel case to the Hakka alternation [k'əm'] 'boxes with lids' vs. [k'ep] 'to cover with a lid' (which we regard as a case of derivation by tonal alternation⁴), he presents the Written Tibetan sgam 'box' vs. sgab-pa 'to cover' for which we can assume no tonal derivation. What is behind this Nishida's view is naturally the convenience of maintaining those ending nasals on the phonological level for a comparative study of Sino-Tibetan languages.

Teng Shou-hsin's challenge is less well motivated. He incorrectly suspects some underlying "similar inclinations in early transformational syntax" in our analysis, while the present author had presented this view before he was exposed to "early transformational syntax" in the early 1960s. Teng merely wonders "what is really gained by this seeming systematisation."

Preference for treating stop endings as tonal variants of homorganic nasal endings in terms of the "simplicity measure" has already been well discussed elsewhere.⁵ We would like to demonstrate in this paper that our interpretation is not a matter of convenience for a comparative study of Sino-Tibetan, of "seeming systematisation," or of anything of that
sort, but stems from a deeply motivated analysis of the syllable structures found in the Southeast Asian languages.

3. Cantonese has nine tones, the relative pitch-contours of which can be approximately described by Arabic figures 1 through 5, each standing for the pitch of the beginning and end of the syllable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tone 4: [23]</td>
<td>Tone 5: [33]</td>
<td>Tone 6: [22]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among these nine, the latter three (Tones 7 through 9) co-occur only with syllables having stop endings, while the rest co-occur with those having other endings. Y. R. Chao thus suggested the identification of Tone 7 with Tone 1, Tone 8 with Tone 5, and Tone 9 with Tone 6.6 Tone 1 has a variant of falling type, while Tone 7 does not. But this can be explained in the following way: "the shortness and the sudden ending of the syllable (having stop endings) allow no time for the falling pitch of" Tone 1.7 Thus everything looks happy as far as the tonal identification of Cantonese is concerned, except that one fails, by this interpretation, to account for the fact that practically no syllables having long vowels and stop endings co-occur with Tone 1, and no syllables having short vowels and stop endings with Tone 5, while syllables having both long and short vowels and stop endings can freely co-occur with Tone 6. This is because the distinction between our Tones 7 and 8 is determined by the length of vowels of the syllables that carry these tones, while no such division was made, in the development of Cantonese tones, in the case of Tone 9.

However, cases of other languages or dialects are not that happy. One will find numerous dialects of southern Chinese in which it is often difficult to find the appropriate non-stop-final counterparts of the stop final syllables when trying to combine to tones of these two different types of syllables. Thus, for instance, Amoy has the following seven tones:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tone 1: [55]</th>
<th>Tone 2: [24]</th>
<th>Tone 3: [51]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tone 7: [5]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

among which Tones 6 and 7 co-occur with syllables having stop endings (the underlined Arabic figures means that the tone is short, though it has a clear pitch contour). One would easily notice that Tone 6 has middle-falling pitch contour, carried by stop ending syllables, while there are no non-stop ending syllables that carry such a tone. It is not impossible to identify Tone 6 with Tone 5, though the falling pitch contour of Tone 6 remains unexplained. But then, to make the situation worse, Fukienese (including Amoy) has some very elaborate tone-
sandhi which marks syntagma boundaries. In short, any syllable that occurs in a non-final position of a syntagma in Amoy undergoes the following "tone substitution":

Now, Tones 6 and 7 do not participate in this tone substitution "circuit." Tone 6 is substituted only for Tone 7, and vice versa, in the said positions of the syntagma. Thus, if one identifies Tone 6 with Tone 5, and Tone 7 with Tone 1, he has to make a totally unmotivated exception of tone-sandhi for those syllables (not tones!) having stop endings.

4. Identification of tones between those carried by stop ending syllables and by non-stop ending syllables will cause even greater difficulty in handling the "defective occurrence" of consonants in the syllable initial and the syllable ending positions in many languages spoken in Mainland Southeast Asia. The Hainan dialects of Chinese, Vietnamese, and Ong-Be for instance, have [k] and [ŋ] in both initial and ending positions, and also have [m] in both positions, but an implosive voiced [ɓ] in the initial position but an ordinary [p] in the ending position; [ŋ] is alveolar in these languages in both initial and ending positions, but although [t] is dental in the initial position, it is alveolar in the ending position, as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial</th>
<th></th>
<th>Ending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>[k]</code></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>-k</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>[ŋ]</code></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>-ŋ</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>[m]</code></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>-m</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>[p]</code></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>-p</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>[ŋ]</code></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>-ŋ</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>[t]</code></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>-t</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nobody can find any very good reason that `[p]` has to be confined to the ending position only; no good reason, either, that `[t]` has to be dental while both `[ŋ]` and `[t]` are alveolar in the ending position. Consequently, the most reasonable way to explain these "defective occurrence" of initial and ending stops is to regard the ending `[p]` and `[t]` as the tonal variants of endings `[m]` and `[ŋ]` respectively.