Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area Volume 9.1 - Spring 1986

Labiovelar unit phonemes in Lolo-Burmese? A case to chew over:

Lahu be 'chew' < PLB *N-gwya

James A. Matisoff University of California Berkeley

The existence of consonant combinations consisting of velar stop plus -w-can confidently be posited for all time-depths in T[ibeto]-B[urman], including the Proto-TB level [Matisoff 1980]. Whether these 'labiovelars' are best regarded as consonant clusters or as unit phonemes at a given stage depends on familiar criteria of phonemic analysis.

In a language like modern Rangoon Burmese, where -w- combines freely with consonants at all positions of articulation, there is no reason to single out [kw-, khw-, gw-, ngw-] as constituting phonemic units. Synchronically speaking, these 'labiovelars' are merely consonant clusters, like [pw], [cw], [lw], [nw], or a dozen others in the language.

Sometimes, however, the phonological history of an etymon points to a special closeness of bonding between a velar and a following labial element—such a close meshing of phonetic features that one can only assume that the $\{\text{velar} + w\}$ combination somehow functioned as a phonological unit at an earlier stage.

Three roots have already been discovered where Lahu has a labial stop corresponding to a velar stop in other TB languages.² Of these the most important is DOG:

A closely parallel example is NEST, where the Lahu and Mpi forms are identical (except for tone) to DOG, though a WB cognate is lacking:

(2) NEST: Lahu phi, Mpi 7a2 khuu6 < PLB *kwiy1.3

The third etymon of this type to come to light was COMB, reconstructed as PTB *kwi(y) in STC #480 on the basis of the following forms:

(3) COMB: Pwo and Sgaw Karen khwi, Digaro se-kwi, Lushai khui?.

To these we may add Lahu pi= 'to comb', pi=-ka? 'a comb', as well as WB

Let us leave aside another case, STAR/MOON, where I claim that the Lahu labial nasal in m@?k@ 'star' derives from a labiovelar nasal prototype

*ngw-. This etymology is discussed at length in Matisoff 1980.

3 Matisoff 1978a, p. 6.

Author's note: Due to limitations in our computer graphics capabilities, certain diacritical marks (e.g. ', \, ^) have been drawn in by hand. In other cases substitute symbols have been used: E for £, O for >, @ for >, uu for w, ng for n, n = for .

whi (~ bhi~phri) 'to comb, brush'. The Lahu low level tone (here written ="), as well as the correspondence between the Burmese aspirate and the Lahu plain initial, unambiguously point to a *preglottalized initial at the PLB stage, 4 so that we may reconstruct PLB *?kwiy². 5 It is noteworthy that in this case, unlike DOG, Burmese and Lahu have both developed a labial stop from the *labiovelar sequence.6

I would now like to suggest that Lahu $b\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$ 'to chew' represents still mother instance of a Lahu labial stop that has arisen from an earlier

'labiovelar.

In STC #424, a PTB root *wa-t 'bite; chew' is set up on the basis of forms from the following four languages:

(4) Jingpho <u>g@wá</u> $\sim k@wá$ 'bite', WB <u>wâ</u> 'chew', Bodo <u>wat</u> \sim <u>ot</u>, Dimasa <u>wai</u> 'bite'.

The two Barish forms are referred to an allofam with dental suffix, *wat, bout which we shall have no more to say in the present context.) Of key mportance here are the Jingpho forms with velar prefixes. These are directly elatable to four Loloish forms with velar initials cited in Ma and Dai (1982):

(4a) Lisu <u>gua</u> 31, Hani <u>g'a</u> 31, Xide <u>ngguu</u> 33, Naxi <u>ngguu-ngguu</u> 33-33 (all meaning 'chew').⁷

It seems clear that the minimal root-form of this etyman was *wa, as in WB $\frac{wa}{a}$. g. g. was reflects a 'prefixal' stage, where the velar element is elatively separate from the root-initial w-, with an audible shwa-vowel etween them. Lisu g. 31 represents a further degree of integration between he velar and labial elements, to the point where the labial is felt to be subordinate in salience to the velar instead of the other way around. Synchronically we are no longer dealing with a 'velar prefix plus labial root-nitial', but rather with a 'velar initial plus labial glide.' The high back prounded vowels in Xide and Naxi (here written -uu) are reasonable reflexes of -wa. (As we shall soon see, Lahu has also developed a high back vowel, -u, rom PLB *-wa.) The prenasalization in the Xide and Naxi forms reflects a ater addition of a nasal prefix to the velar stop that was once a prefix tself.8

The tones of the WB, Lisu, Hani, Xide, and Naxi forms all correspond egularly, reflecting PLB Tone *2. We many then set up this etymon as PLB $N-gwa^2$. Combining these Loloish forms with those adduced in STC #424, we come \overline{p} with the following provisional PTB reconstruction (or, more accurately, pan-allofamic formula):

The credit for first setting up PLB *glottalized initials to account for correspondences like these belongs to Burling (1967).

See my note 16 to Benedict 1979 (p. 27). Benedict, largely on the basis of the Lushai final -7, revises the PTB reconstruction to *kwis.

Certain Kuki-Naga languages also appear to have cognates with labial stops, e.g. Ntenyi hapi, Chokri pitho, Rengma pheku 'comb' [Marrison 1967, Vol. II, p. 58].

The Lisu and Hani forms are given on p. 59, the Xide and Naxi on p. 67. The Hani/Akha voiced velar fricative here written "g'" sometimes descends from PLB *r- (as does Lahu g', but sometimes, as here, from PLB *g- cf. also NINE: PLB *guw² > Lh. q0, Ak. g'0e; RETURN: PLB *gok LS > Lh. q0, Ak. g'0e).

*N-g-wa-t.

What then of Lahu $b\hat{E}$ 'chew'? I would like to claim that it too is cognate to the forms in (4) and (4a), though this is far from obvious at first bite.

- (A) TONE: the high-falling tone /^/ is the correct Lahu reflex of PLB tone *2 (in words with non-*glottalized and non-*voiceless fricative initials).9
- (B) MANNER OF INITIAL: the voicing of the initial unmistakably reflects a *prenasalized prototype, 10 which agrees well with the Xide and Naxi forms.
- (C) POSITION OF ARTICULATION OF INITIAL: the Lahu labial <u>b</u>— corresponding to the velars in the other languages we take to exemplify the 'regular' Lahu position of articulation that developed from earlier *labiovelars. At the pre-Lahu stage the originally prefixal *g- and root-initial *-w- evidently formed a tight phonetic ensemble, leading to a 'compromise' reflex <u>b</u>- that combines the occlusion of the g- with the labiality of the -w.¹¹
- (D) VOWEL: here we have a problem.

The immediate prototype of Lahu $\underline{b}\hat{E}$ cannot be PLB *N-gwa, since the Lahu reflex of -wa is not -E, but rather -u, as demonstrated by three solid examples:

- (5) HANDSPAN: PLB *twa1 > WB thwa, Lh. thu.
- (6) CATTLE: PLB *nwa² > WB nwa, Lh. nu
- (7) TOOTH: PLB *s-wa² > WB swa, Lh. -shu=, 'tooth-like part of tools' (as in pi=-ka?:shu= 'tooth of a comb', 11-10:shu= 'sawtooth', 93?-shu= 'tooth of a rake').

Lahu -E is the reflex of several proto-rhymes with nasal finals (*-um, *-ing, *-im), but none of these are relevant in the present case. Instead, the best candidate for a prototype for Lahu -E in this etymon is the rhyme *-ya, as in the following examples:

- (8) BEE: PLB *bya² > WB pya, Lh. pE.
- (9) FIELD: PLB *hya¹ > WB <u>ya</u> 'cultivated spot of ground', Lh. <u>hE</u> 'swidden'.
- (10) EYE: PLB *s-myak HS > WB myak, Lh. me?.

Fortunately help is not far off! Alongside g@wa´'chew', Jingpho has a form m@ya´'to masticate, chew', as in melut m@ya´'chew tobacco [Hanson p. 444]. This word has already been compared to WB ya 'make a quid of betel', qeya 'quid of betel-leaf with the contents made up for chewing' [Matisoff 1974,

There also exists a reduplicated baby-talk variant with hypocoristic tonechange: be-be te ve, lit. "make chew-chew."

¹⁰ See Matisoff 1972 (esp. pp. 15-17) and my note 123 in STC (p. 38).

11 Greek developed a similar reflex b- from P[roto]-I[ndo]-E[uropean] *gwbefore front vowels, e.g. LIFE: PIE *gwiy-o- > Gk. bios.

279]. (This morpheme can apparently also be used as a 'classifier for chews' n Burmese, as in chê têya câ '[consume] a chew/chaw of betel' [Judson p. 93], wâm têya 'a quid of betel' [ibid., p. 247].) 12

I would now like to claim that these Jingpho and Burmese forms represent n earlier *m-ya, which in turn is ultimately related allofamically to our tymon *N-g-wa-t. This necessitates setting up a single pan-allofamic formula ike the following:

his reconstruction, with its 'double glide' —wy-, may appear novel for TB, hough such sequences certainly have existed at various times and places in ino-Tibetan. 13

Returning to Lahu be, we may well marvel at how much historical nformation is packed into its three constituent phonemes: the voiced labial top b- reflects the prenasalized labiovelar *Ngw-; the vowel -E comes from -ya; and the tone /*/ derives from PLB Tone *2. We conclude that Lahu be is a irect reflection of an earlier *N-gwya². For those who are reluctant to admit he possibility of double glides at earlier stages of TB, the unit-phoneme nalysis of *gw- would impose itself even more strongly. If *gw- functioned as phonological unit, there would be no phonotactic objection to having it luster with a following -y-. (I.e. the sequence *gwy- would be no different tructurally from, say, *by-.) 14

We have still left a thread hanging, however. There is a widespread TB oot for TOOTH of the shape *s-wa [see set (7) above], reflected by such forms

3 Cf. e.g. WB kywat 'be loose, free', khywat 'release, liberate' < PTB
*g-lwat [STC #209]; Lushai ts'uap 'lungs' < PTB *tsywap [STC #239], ult.
from a disyllabic prototype *tsi-wap [see Matisoff, 1978b, pp. 113-123].
Our reconstruction *s-gwyat looks in fact very 'Archaic Chinese-like'!
 (Cf. such etyma as Arch. Ch. *ngywat [GSR #306a-f] 'moon'.)</pre>

The unit-phoneme analysis of similar -yw- sequences seems now to be preferred by Benedict, who revised such reconstructions as *sywar 'flow' [STC #241], *tsyak 'red' [STC #184] to *swar, *tsak, etc. shortly before publication of the Conspectus.

Hanson (p. 718) derives Jg. yà khau 'quid of tobacco, betel, lime, etc.'

"from ya, meya 'to chew' and hkau 'to be mixed'", clearly implying that he does not regard the first syllable to be a loan from Shan ya 'medicine'
(cf. Siamese jaa). Unfortunately Hanson is not strict in arranging disyllabic compounds under their proper monosyllabic head-entry. This compound yà khau is in fact interalphabetized with several others where the first syllable is definitely of Shan origin, e.g. ya-phyen 'opium' (glossed literally as 'drug that is an enemy' in Maran [in prep., p. 1105]; cf. phyen 'enemy'), and ya sai 'species of cutch grass' (cf. Siamese jaa 'grass'). One compound in this list, ya ya is glossed 'medicine (Shan)' in Hanson (p. 719), but more accurately as 'herbal medicine' in Maran (p. 1106), implying that it literally means "medicinal grass". Maran agrees with Hanson in relating ya khaw to "ya or meya 'to chew'", and alphabetizes it separately from those compounds containing the Tai-derived morphemes meaning 'medicine' or 'grass'.