REVIEWS

The Universal Burmese-English-Pali Dictionary

U Hoke Sein

Reviewed by John Okell

Students of Burmese in the English speaking world have been blessed with a new Burmese-English dictionary: The Universal Burmese-English-Pali Dictionary by U Hoke Sein (for details see References below). The preface reveals that we nearly missed it. The author's intention was to write a Burmese-Pali dictionary, a sequel to his Pali-Burmese dictionary, but the Ani-sahkan Hsaya-daw (long may he flourish) seems to have provided the stimulus that led U Hoke Sein (HS) to insert an English gloss between the Burmese entry and the Pali equivalent (p.ja). The result is the present Burmese-English-Pali dictionary. Its author is a distinguished lawyer, and the dictionary took him 30 years to compile (p.1066). For its last seven years he worked at it full time (p.ţa).

Sources 5 4 1

HS lists his sources clearly in the preface. The nucleus of his work was a reverse dictionary made by turning his own four volume Pali-Burmese dictionary back to front. He enlarged it using material from Judson, porana collections, works on literature, officially authorized wordlists from various academic subject areas, U Wun's incomplete Burmese-Burmese dictionary, spelling books, and published government regulations.

Size

A rough and ready method of estimating yields a total of around 68,000 entries, which puts HS's dictionary among the most ambitious Burmese dictionaries ever attempted, let alone completed (for a list of the largest see my review of Bernot).

However, this figure is partially misleading. A large number of entries would be considered redundant by many people's standards. See for example the set of entries (p. 4)

kaca:mru:tu:e* disports

kaca:mru:tu:khran': a disport [sic]

kacā:mrū:tū:luiso

!akron':kron'. for the sake of sport

Or again (p.715)

 rhลก็ก'e*
 becomes long

 rhลก็ก'lล้e*
 becomes long

 rhลก็ก'lล้รลก็กั'
 lengthened

 rhลก็ก'lwan:รลก็ก'
 too long

 rhลก็ก'รลก์ก'
 long

In both cases (and numerous others throughout the volume), given an explanation of the first word in the set, the meaning of subsequent entries is readily deducible and they would for that reason be excluded from many dictionaries. They are collocations rather than compounds. Presumably their inclusion in this dictionary is an inevitable outcome of its former incarnation as a Pali-English dictionary: no doubt it was useful to have separate translations for the Pali nouns and verbs and compounds. This must also be the explanation for the inclusion of such unlikely entries as

nhac'pā:solakroń': rhisaññ'
nhac'thoń'.thwā latuiń':larhaññ' rhisaññ'
nwekhye:cā:sue* mayā:
t wice conditioned
measuring 2 & 1/3 cubits
the wife of a usurer

This feature means that for use in comparison with other dictionaries the figure for the number of entires should be reduced by an unknown but significant factor.

Coverage

Coverage seems to be strong in many areas. Dipping into the volume at random one sees many terms from justice, administration and government, as one would expect, and numerous names of flora and fauna in addition to core vocabulary words.

Notable absences are the names of ethnic groups such as Kachin, Kadu, Shan, etc. Even Tarup' 'Chinese' gets no entry on its own, though Kula: 'Indian' is included. Grammatical suffixes are also often omitted. There are no entries for example for -ka 'from, subject, past time, if', -katann':ka 'ever since', -lhyan 'per' though lhyan 'if' is there, -mhā 'at, subject'. Omissions in these areas are probably matters of deliberate policy.

Other omissions are less susceptible to explanation. HS states that he made use of U Wun's dictionary, but he omits for example entries for

katan (part of a horse) katan (a domino) kati pe:- promise katok'sap' (a plant)

and many others which find a place in U Wun's work. It is not easy to see why these words should have been omitted.

HS's coverage of recent officialese, vogue words and ideological terms is erratic. Some are included and some are not. For example, he includes the following:

kwak'krā:cac'che:khran': kwan':chan':lup'nan':

!aก๊ก๊amaก๊ก๊a caก๊ก๊':rum๋:re: ก็ก๊hwankrã:re:mhนี:

nnnwankra:re:mnu: tuin':ran':sā:

luthulutan':ca:/aphwai.

lapran'jhe:

But the following are not to be found:

kedā kań'.sat'kun' kan':lwat'kun' lup'jā: pe:cetanārhań' spot check field work

system of correlation

organization director native

mass and class organizations

o pen market

cadre

state controlled goods uncontrolled goods

contribute voluntary labour

well wisher

chan'.pwa:san'tan':

multiplier course commanding officer

nok'tan':mbii:

u:ci:thana

department

thane

place of residence

Likewise the words current in international news reports. HS has these:

phwam.phrui:chai nuin'han'

developing country balance of payments

nwepe:nweyurhan':tam': thip'si:chwe:nwe:pwai

summit conference

prok'kyā:cac'sā:

guerilla

but not these:

dukkha sahii lakram:phak'wada lip'khaitan': lan'::lakhrelanei

refugee terrorism impasse

!aก็!คื:krf:nuiก็'ก็ลก'

major power Terms from science and technology are similarly served. We find these:

tache:dhat'

diastase

tanninimbwatu:

static friction (unfortunately printed as

'statistical friction'l

ganan':twak'cak' !apuipaccanh':

calculator spare parts

re:a:sum:dhat'a:lup'nan':

hydroelectric works

but not:

dum:pyamkhwan':dum:pyam mui:pyampupon':

antimissile missile gas filled balloon

lakasalwan':pyamkutui.yan lanumrūdhā t'poň':phui

space shuttle nuclear reactor

I also found that a number of HS's scientific terms did not correspond precisely with those given in the authoritative Pannarap' woharamva:.

Accuracy

Most entries are given a simple one-word English gloss. At first sight this looks bare and unhelpful: what of all the other meanings? The answer is that many of them are covered by HS's glosses for the compounds of the simple word. For example chui: (entered as chui:sann?) gets its one-word gloss 'bad'; but the compounds give a less terse idea of the semantic range of chui:. We find compounds with glosses like:

pernicious

scurrilous

foully impure

profligate

base malign vile evil

depraved

One cannot of course guarantee that the meanings of the compounds are all valid for the simple verb, but they do help to round out the bare one-word gloss.

This method of presentation certainly saves space, but it is not a convenient one for the user. Under kok', for example, which is a word with two or three homonyms, there are over 120 compounds to wade through.

Given this restriction - i.e. that one-word (or two-word) glosses do not tell the

whole story - the meanings given seemed to me to be quite satisfactory on the whole. I say this with some reserve because there were a few examples that weakened my confidence. For example, for kakhyo 'kakhywat' HS has 'flippantly' where Judson has 'in a manner bungling and laughable'; and the Mran'ma !abhidhan' !akyan':khyup' (henceforth MA) has 'wrong' (with an example about errors in text inscribed on stone) and 'superficial'. Further, consider the following divergences:

HS gloss MA gloss (translated)
katīkaram': obscurely confused, deranged
kaywat'kayui unevenly wrong, superficial
kalin':kalak' unsteadily uncouth, rude

My suspicion is that MA is right and HS is wrong in most of these cases, possibly all.

In other examples I think HS's one-word gloss is misleading. For kapok'karok' for example he has 'thoughtless'. This would be acceptable if taken in the sense of 'taking what comes to hand, going where the whim leads, having no system or objective'; but that is unlikely to be the first meaning of 'thoughtless' that comes to mind. A similar example is kalekakhye, for which HS's gloss 'at one's own will' would only be reasonable if one knew that it meant 'living a life according to one's own will', i.e. wandering about, without a regular home, occupation or relationships. More generous glossing would have saved some misunderstanding in such cases.

Arrangement of entries

The system of alphabetical order currently preferred in Burma is what one might call the 'spelling book' (sat'pumkyam':) system, as used in MA. In this system closed syllables are listed separately from open syllables, and ordered first by final consonant and only then by vowel. This approach has been followed by the compilers of the Burmese-Russian, Burmese-Chinese, and Burmese-French dictionaries; but HS remains unmoved by current fashion and uses a system close to that of the Pali dictionaries, as one would expect of a Pali scholar of his standing. This makes his system similar to Judson's, and it will therefore be easy to operate for those familiar with the Judson dictionary.

My personal preference is for the Judson type system. The spelling book system seems to me to have several irritating defects; buth there will no doubt be many who find HS's arrangement a drawback.

The Pali Component

Each entry contains a Pali equivalent for the Burmese entryword in addition to the English gloss. In nearly all cases there is a Sanskrit form as well, in square brackets, and a note of the gender in round backets; e.g.

Ihwa a saw kakaca [krakaca] (pum)

I cannot tell how accurate the Pali equivalents are. I can only express astonishment and admiration for the way in which HS has found, or created - apparently without undue effort - Pali equivalents for such terms as:

short circuit United Kingdom enzyme

electrode freshman (at university) Covenanted Assistant Comissioner

tungsten broadcasting station

also numerous varieties of fauna and flora, and many more items that can play no part in the Pitaka or its commentaries.