Information-based Language Analysis for Thai

Virach Sornlertlamvanich Researcher

Center of International Cooperation for Computerization 12-35, Shibaura 4-Chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan 108

Wantanee Phantachat Researcher

National Electronics and Computer Technology Center Rama 6 Rd., Phayatai, Bangkok, Thailand 10400

ABSTRACT

language is recognized as an isolative language having neither lexicon inflection due to agreement and tense (as in English) nor syntactic case marker (as in Japanese). The position of sentence is the important superficial in a syntactic information for recognizing the meaning syntactic role. In this paper, we are going to describe the methodology and algorithm to cope with the mentioned Thai specific language phenomena. The rather fixed relation of word position and its syntactic role gives a well-formed pattern of a phrase. Therefore. localization of pattern analysis helps much in phrasal recognition and works well in lexicon disambiguition. In a sentence, the relatively less ambiguous in concept words (variety of concepts) are consecutively determinated to make up a bunch of concept. according to the information retrieved from dictionary, subcategorization employed by the verb of the sentence will finally create the relation between those groups of concepts to build up a dependency structure to represent the meaning of the sentence. Besides lexicon information from the dictionary. the grammatical rules are employed to identify appropriate semantic relation between concepts lexicon functional reasoning in the pair of provides and requires attribute.

Keywords: subcategorization, dependency structure, functional reasoning, interlingua

1 INTRODUCTION

This paper presents a methodology and algorithm of the parser in Thai sentence analysis in multilingual machine translation system. The language analysis methodology is partially based on dependency grammar,

representing the meaning of a sentence i n a n interlingual representation--in other word is called conceptual dependency structure. As Thai language is an language with richness of. ambiguities, the methodology is constructed to extract ambiguities by interpreting both syntactic the language. The roles of presenting methodology is mainly considered in two approaches. Firstly, it concerns the subcategorization of verb its arguments. The verb pattern table is created as the information based knowledge. Secondly, the provides and attributes are considered to define semantic relation of two concepts by using lexicon functional reasoning which is implemented in the base.

The authorized in system is the name the Translation Project for Asian Machine Languages, supported by the Ministry of Trade and Industry (MITI) Japan, conducted by the Center of Cooperation Computerization (CICC) cooperating with other of the People's Republic of governments China. the and Thailand. Indonesia, Malaysia mentioned hereinafter, is an out-come o f CICC NECTEC between and (National and Computer Technology Center, Ministry Electronics of Science, Technology and Energy of Thailand).

2 DIFFICULTIES IN THAI SENTENCE ANALYSIS

Isolative and mono-syllable characteristics i n Thai leave us so many levels of problems to solve the computer system. One surface word usually has more than one meaning and/or more than one syntactic category. In the information preparation step, we tried to identify the grammatical role of words in each sentential form. As the result, we realized that the meaning of the word itself, the properly notified to be the grammatical position is itself. After testing the words with any arbitrary position in a sentence form, we grouped up a of word category with the consideration of the implementation of grammatical rule when applying to the organization. The inventory of word category employed analysis system was presented in Computer Processing of Asian Languages '89 at AIT.

The difficulties in Thai sentence analysis, from language computing standpoint, may be raised in this prototyping analysis system to a summary of such:-

(1) Polysemy phenomenon which occurs in most of Thai single word. The more frequently the word appears, the more meaning derivation it has. This is the nature of the easy-to-use words. So that, formulating the

constrains for their usage distinction is needed. The constrains which is taken into considered can its grammatical role (Word category; CAT, SUBCAT) or syntactic usage pattern (Verb pattern; VP) or the information of neighboring words in the sentence (in pragmatic rules). For instance, the word "/caak/" has at least three meanings as follows: L1; /caak/ : #CAT. {V}, #CP. {LEAVE}

#CAT. {PREP}, #CP. {FROM} #CAT. {N}, #CP. {NIPA PALM}

(2) Appropriate word, as well as sentend boundary assignment. Thai language has a nature sentence, being written in a string of characters with no remarkable word boundary or sentential marker. really causes the difficulties in analysis as it have been segmented into sentences or words. addition. Thai language has neither punctuation mark the clause boundary. To separate the clause, space between string of characters is proposed to be the marker determining the boundary of the clause or the sentence. But the word segmentation is still analyzing as how precise the word problem in segmentation is. As the word formation in Thai language is formed by attaching each words together to form the new word, so the problem is how to keep the word in dictionary, single word or compound word. For instance, "/kaanplxxphaasaaduaikhoomphiuter/" is composed of 5 single words as "/kaan/", "/plxx/", "/phaasaa/", "/duai/","/khoomphiuter/". This word can be interpreted as follows:

L2; /kaanplxxphaasaaduaikhoomphiuter/

can be segmented in 4 different ways:-

5 words as /kaan/, /plxx/, /phaasaa/, /duai/, /khoomphiuter/

/knoompniuter/ 4 words as /kaanplxx/, /phaasaa/, /duai/,

/khoomphiuter/ 3 words as /kaanplxxphaasaa/, /duai/,/khoomphiuter/

3 words as /kaanplxxphaasaa/, /duai/,/khoomphiuter/ 1 words as /kaanplxxphaasaaduaikhoomphiuter/

(3) No inflection, no verb agreement. Thai language is an isolative and monosyllable language. There is no inflection to mark morphology of the language like English or Japanese. On the other hand, those morphology is designated by the lexical item. For example, the passive voice is indicated by a lexical item in the position of pre-verb.

S1; /nakrian/ /thuuk/ /khruu/ /longthoot/ student passive marker teacher punish "The student is punished by the teacher."

Like the passive voice, Thai language expresses tense, aspect, modality in lexical items modifying verb in pre- or post-position.

(4) Tense point of view. In (3), we have mentioned that tense in Thai is expressed overtly by a lexical item as auxiliary category. Only one lexical item of "/ca/=will", is a marker expressing that the event is not yet occurred. So it can be summarized that That recognizes only two tenses:-

(a) Irrealis tense expresses that the even is not yet occurred, corresponding to future tense.

(b) Realis tense expresses that the event has already been occurred, corresponding to present and past tense which is not distinctive.

The difficulty appears in how to assign the universal tenses of present, past or future to the interlingual representation of Thai sentence.

3 ANALYSIS ARCHITECTURE

The target of this analysis system is to produce a interlingual representation (dependency tree structure, from a linear sequence of Thai character string. The output interlingual representation will then be transferred to sentence generation system to generate the any other specified languages. Therefore, the interlingua must be exhaustive to represent all the meaning units of the source language. The research of interlingua is carried on in other framework of the project. Here the detail of interlingual representation and the generation part will not be discussed.

Designing this analysis system scoped to process syntactic sophisticated structure of Thai language needs a lot of tactics in the rule implementing and rather flexible parser with ample functions for data manipulating. The parser itself will be discussed in the next section of this paper. The followings are the postulates for system construction. These are realized in both of the parser capability and methodological implemented in the rules.

(1) Sentence analysis

This is a restriction narrowing the possible information which can be taken into account in the parse time. But, this restriction protects us frow unpredictable calculation time and misinterpretation Especially for the Thai language, there is no an sentential marker preferable whether it is a communication between phrases or a full stop at the end of sentence. Nevertheless, discourse analysis is believe to be another precise method to accurate the translation. The idea of discourse analysis is also is our extension plan.

(2) Lookahead in parsing

This thought positively supports the idea ousing all the available information in parsing. Th