NEGATION IN KAVALAN

Li-May Sung and Yu-Ting Yeh
Graduate Institute of Linguistics
National Taiwan University
limay@ntu.edu.tw; r91142001@ntu.edu.tw

1. Introduction
One issue in the studies of negation concerns categorizing the negative elements (as in Dahl 1979; Payne 1985; Ouhalla 1990; Kahrel and van den Berg 1994; Haegeman 1995; Mosel 1999 and others). Identifying the syntactic category of a negative element not only explains its syntactic distribution, but also helps to discuss the syntactic structure of the corresponding negative construction.

This paper attempts to examine three hypotheses of the syntactic category of the negator *mai* in Kavalan². We will argue for analyzing *mai* as a negative auxiliary verb.

2. Literature Review
Mosel (1999) mentions that negative elements may belong to a wide range of syntactic categories, such as verbs, auxiliaries, particles, affixes, and so on. Payne (1985) also proposes some criteria for recognizing a negative verb. It is claimed that “a negative verb always has at least some properties of regular verbs, such as occurrence with a verbal complementizer or inflection for mood, tense, aspect, person, or number” (Payne 1985: 207). Payne further divides negative verbs into two major groups: one is a higher verb taking a full sentential complement and the other is “a finite auxiliary verb to the lexical verb, which in turn typically occurs in some non-finite form” (Payne *ibid*: 207).

According to Payne (1985), for a negative marker to be analyzed as a higher verb, two features are required. One is the existence of a sentential boundary between the negative verb and the lexical verb. Take Fijian for example, there is a complementizer *ni* between the negative verb *sega* and the full sentential complement (as shown in (1)).

(1) Fijian (Payne 1985: 210)

\[ E \; \text{sega} \; [\text{ni} \; \text{a} \; \text{yacomai} \; \text{ena} \; \text{si} \; \text{g} \; \text{vakaraubuka} \; \text{ko} \; \text{Jone} ] \]

PCL Neg that Past arrive on day Friday ART John
‘John didn’t arrive on Friday.’

The other feature is that the complement selected by the higher negative verb is generally finite in the sense that it may contain the tense/aspect markings and/or the subject. For example in Tongan, the higher negative verb ‘ikai’ takes a complement

---

¹ Research represented in this work was financially supported by the National Science Council (NSC93-2411-H-002-094), Taiwan, granted to Dr. Li-May Sung. We would like to thank our Kavalan speakers Buya, Imui, and Abas for their constant assistance and patience.

² This paper focuses on the most frequently used negator *mai*. There are other negative elements in Kavalan, such as *usa* ‘be not’, *naRin* ‘don’t’, *sukaw* ‘not good; not allowed’; *Rayugu* ‘not know; incapable of’ and *taqa* ‘not want’ (see Yeh (2005)).
that obligatorily contains an embedded aspect ke and the subject pronoun ne, as shown in (2).

(2) Tongan (Payne 1985: 209)
   a. Na’e ikai [s, ke ne fai ’a e ngauve]
      Asp Neg Asp he do Abs the work
      ‘He didn’t do the work.’
   b. a Na’a ne ikai [s, ke fai ’a e ngauve]
      Asp he Neg Asp do Abs the work

As seen in (2b), moving the subject pronoun ne out of the embedded complement to the matrix clause results in ungrammaticality.

On the other hand, Payne proposes that, in the purest case, a negator being classified as a negative auxiliary verb is “marked with all the basic verbal categories of person, number, tense/aspect and mood (if these are realized in the language concerned), whereas the lexical verb assumes an invariant, participial form. [Moreover,] there will be no evidence, like the presence of complementizers, for a full sentential boundary between the negative verb and the lexical verb…” (Payne 1985: 212). Evenki negator ə is such a pure auxiliary verb, as illustrated in (3).

(3) Evenki³ (Payne 1985: 213)
   a. Nuqan baka-ŋkī-n
      he find-Past-3Sg
      ‘He found.’
   b. Nuqan ə-ŋkī-n baka-ra
      he Neg-Past-3Sg find-PART
      ‘He didn’t find.’

In the affirmative sentence (3a), the tense inflection -ŋkī and the person/number agreement are carried by the lexical verb. In the negative counterpart (3b), however, both of these inflections are carried by the negative auxiliary verb ə, whereas the lexical verb baka takes a participial form suffixed by -ra instead. In addition, as seen in (3b), there is no complementizer or other evidence for a full sentential boundary between the negative auxiliary ə and the following lexical verb.

maï, the most frequently used negator in Kavalan which is a verb-initial language, appears on initial examination to behave like a verb, since it occurs clause-initially, attracts nominative bound pronouns and carries tense/aspect markers as shown in (4)-(6)⁴.

---

³ Evenki is “a member of the northern or Siberian subgroup of the Tungus family” (Payne 1985: 212).
⁴ Glossing: AV: Actor Voice; NAV: Non-actor Voice; Ncm: noun-class marker; Pfv: perfective; DM: discourse marker; Neg: negator; Nom: nominative; Obl: oblique; Gen: genitive; Sg: singular; Compl: complementizer; Loc: Locative.
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(4) (Occur in clause-initial position)
   a. p-m-ukun tu wasu 'nay ci buya
      AV-hit Obl dog that Ncm BUYA
      ‘Buya hits that dog.’

   b. mai p-m-ukun tu wasu 'nay ci buya
      Neg AV-hit Obl dog that Ncm BUYA
      ‘Buya doesn’t hit that dog.’

(5) (Attract bound pronouns)
   a. p-m-ukun-iku tu wasu 'nay
      AV-hit-1Sg.Nom Obl dog that
      ‘I hit that dog.’

   b. mai-iku p-m-ukun tu wasu 'nay
      Neg-1Sg.Nom AV-hit Obl dog that
      ‘I don’t hit that dog.’

(6) (Carry tense/aspect markers)
   a. p-m-ukun-ti tu wasu 'nay ci buya
      AV-hit-Pfv Obl dog that Ncm BUYA
      ‘Buya have hit that dog.’

   b. mai-ti p-m-ukun tu wasu 'nay ci buya
      Neg-Pfv AV-hit Obl dog that Ncm BUYA
      ‘Buya doesn’t hit that dog anymore.’

This paper therefore attempts to examine the syntactic category of mai based on Payne’s (1985) proposals. In addition to testing the possibilities of mai being a higher verb or an auxiliary verb, we also consider the third possibility: mai as an initial main verb (V1) taking a verbal complement as in a serial verb construction. We conclude that mai is a negative auxiliary.

The presentation is organized as follow. We at first briefly introduce Kavalan, and then present the examinations of mai as an initial main verb in a serial verb construction, a higher verb taking a full sentential complement, or an auxiliary verb to lexical verb. Finally we will give a conclusion.

3. Description of Kavalan
Kavalan is one of the Formosan languages spoken by the aboriginals living in the plains of Eastern Taiwan. The population is about 820 now, however, less than 100 can speak Kavalan fluently (Chang 2000a). In Nov. 2002, Kavalan tribe is legitimately recognized as the 11th Taiwan aboriginal tribe.

Kavalan is a verb-initial language, and the basic word order is VOS in that the grammatical subject in nominative case tends to be placed in the sentence-final position.

5 The data is cited from the website of the Council of Indigenous Peoples, Executive Yuan, Taiwan. (http://others.apc.gov.tw/popu/9403/aprp5803.htm)
(as discussed in Yeh 2004), as illustrated in (7) and (8)\(^6\).

\[(7) \quad p-m-\text{ukun} \quad tu \quad \text{wasu} \quad \text{‘nay} \quad (ya) \quad ci \quad \text{buya} \]
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{AV-hit} & \text{Obl} & \text{dog} & \text{that} \\
\text{Nom} & \text{Ncm} & \text{BUYA} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘Buya hits that dog.’

\[(8) \quad p\text{ukun}-\text{an-}na, \quad \text{ni} \quad \text{buya}, \quad (ya) \quad \text{wasu} \quad \text{‘nay} \quad \text{hit-NAV-3SG.Gen \quad Gen \quad BUYA \quad (Nom) \quad dog \quad that} \]

‘Buya hits that dog.’

As can be seen, Kavalan has two voice options, Actor Voice (AV) and Non-actor Voice (NAV). In AV clauses, the actor is selected to be the sentence subject (as in (7)), while in NAV clauses, it is the non-actor (e.g., patient) that is the grammatical subject (as in (8)). The actor in a NAV clause is marked with genitive case (8), and the patient is an AV clause is marked with oblique case (7).

Moreover, there are two types of bound pronouns in Kavalan: nominative bound pronouns (such as –iku in (5)) and genitive bound pronouns (such as –na in (8)). Chang (1997; 2000a) has argued that these two types of pronouns have different morphological status. The nominative ones are pronominal clitics, while the genitive ones are verbal agreement affixes that only occur in NAV clauses.

In terms of negation, \textit{mai} is the most commonly used negator in Kavalan. It is used to negate sentences with all types of non-nominal predicates (including verbal, adjectival, and locative, as in (9)-(11))\(^7\). The adding of \textit{mai} to the clause-initial position of the positive counterparts does not change the word order or the form of the main predicates.

\[(9) \quad (\text{Verbal}) \]
\[a. \quad p-m-\text{ukun} \quad tu \quad \text{wasu} \quad \text{‘nay} \quad ci \quad \text{buya} \]
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{AV-hit} & \text{Obl} & \text{dog} & \text{that} \\
\text{Ncm} & \text{BUYA} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘Buya hits that dog.’

\[b. \quad \text{\textit{mai}} \quad p-m-\text{ukun} \quad tu \quad \text{wasu} \quad \text{‘nay} \quad ci \quad \text{buya} \]
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{Neg} & \text{AV-hit} & \text{Obl} & \text{dog} \\
\text{that} & \text{Ncm} & \text{BUYA} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘Buya doesn’t hit that dog.’

\[(10) \quad (\text{Adjectival}) \]
\[a. \quad \text{ibabaw} \quad ci \quad \text{buya} \]
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
tall & \text{Ncm} \\
\text{BUYA} \\
\end{array}
\]

‘Buya is tall.’
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