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Introduction

Eastern Cham (also Phan Rang Cham) is an Austronesian language spoken on the south-central coast of Vietnam. While Proto-Chamic was originally sesquisyllabic and had no word-level intonational contrasts, Eastern Cham, possibly through contact with neighboring Mon-Khmer languages, has become almost entirely monosyllabic and has developed phonological registers. Recently, it has further been described as incipiently tonal by a few phoneticians and historical linguists (Hoàng 1987, Phu et al. 1992, Thurgood 1996, Thurgood 1999). Although monosyllabification and the development of register are uncontroversial, the phonological status of Eastern Cham ‘tones’ remains unclear. Claims about tonogenesis seem to stem from misinterpretations of the term ‘tone’ in descriptions where it was not meant to be equated with ‘contrastive tone’ (Blood 1967, Moussay 1971). Despite the fact that the authors of the only acoustic study of pitch in Eastern Cham have been careful not to draw firm conclusions about the phonemic status of the pitch contours resulting from the interaction of registers and codas (Phu et al. 1992), it is generally held that Eastern Cham has an incipient, if not full-fledged, tonal system.

Additional evidence is needed to determine whether Eastern Cham pitch contours are phonemic or not. One way to obtain such evidence is to carry out further acoustic research (Brunelle, in progress). Another type of evidence comes from phonological processes. In this squib, I present data from a word game that informs us about the phonological status of Eastern Cham registers and tones. The reliability of external evidence and, more specifically of word games, for phonological analyses is well established (Campbell 1986, Hombert 1986),
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Hombert going as far as teaching new word games to his consultants in order to discover the underpinnings of the phonology of their languages. I have adopted a more conservative approach: the word game described here is already known by all members of the speech community.

1. Registers

Before introducing the word game and showing its relevance to the questions raised above, I briefly present the diachronic developments that gave rise to the phonological registers of Eastern Cham. This will shed light on their phonological structure.

1.1 Origin of register

The formation of register in Cham is parallel to developments in several Mon-Khmer languages (Ferlus 1979, Gregerson 1973, Huffman 1976, Thongkum 1988). Proto-Chamic originally had a voicing contrast in onset position. This contrast was lost, but the resulting neutralization was compensated for by the development of two phonological registers, i.e. two bundles of phonological features realized on vowels. The vowels following original voiceless stops came to be realized with high pitch and clear phonation (High register), while the vowels following previously voiced stops acquired low pitch and breathy phonation (Low register) (Phu et al. 1992). Low register vowels also seem to have a longer duration and a lower first formant than their high-register counterparts (Brunelle, in progress). Figure 1 exemplifies the formation of register in Cham. In my transcription, the low register is marked by a subscript dot under the onset and the high register is unmarked, following Moussay (1971).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Chamic</th>
<th>Ancient Cham</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*pâk</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>pâk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*bâk</td>
<td>&gt;</td>
<td>pâk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Registrogenesis

This relatively simple scenario was rapidly complicated by the left-to-right spreading of the low register through sonorants in polysyllabic words (Figure 2). Originally, the register of syllables with an onset sonorant was non-contrastive and predictable from preceding syllables, but the situation has now become more complex due to the monosyllabicization of a large majority of words in Colloquial Eastern Cham. As shown in Figure 2, the loss of the first syllable has triggered the extension of the register contrast to sonorants. In the current system,
words with any onset except a series of implosive stops and preglottalized glides (ɓ, ɗ, ʃ, ⱅ, ʔw) exhibit register contrast.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proto-Chamic</th>
<th>Ancient Cham</th>
<th>Mod. E. Cham</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*naw</td>
<td>naw [naw]</td>
<td>naw</td>
<td>‘to go’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*danaw</td>
<td>ʔanaw [ʔanaw]</td>
<td>ʔaw</td>
<td>‘pond, lake’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Register spreading and monosyllabication

Keeping in mind that Eastern Cham register originates from onsets but is now realized on vowels, there are three logical analyses of its phonological status in modern Eastern Cham; 1) it could still be a property of onsets; 2) it could have become a feature of the vowel; 3) it could have been delinked from both onsets and vowels, effectively turning into a suprasegmental element that could be analyzed as a form of tone. The word game I discuss below strongly suggests that the first possibility is the right analysis.

1.2 Evidence from a word game

Crucial evidence on the phonological status of Eastern Cham register is revealed by a word game called “dəm ƙac” ‘speak – inverted’ or ‘inverted speech’. In this word game, variants of which are very common throughout Southeast Asia, the rimes and onsets of a pair or a short string of words are reversed, which results in a new phrase that has a comical and often sexually-explicit meaning.

I first asked my consultants to give me well-known examples of the word game without providing them with expressions to permute. Examples (a)-(e) illustrate the word game with pairs of same-register words. Note that in (b)-(e), the disyllabic forms of written Cham (WC)² are realized as monosyllables in colloquial Eastern Cham (CC) and that, in some cases, as in (b)-(c) and (h), (k) and (l), the rime is slightly modified, which does not affect the humorous impact of the game.

a. WC naw puh                  nuh paw
   CC naw puh                  nuh paw
   go - dry rice field         plant - trap
   go to the dry rice field    set a trap

¹Contrary to plain voiced stops, implosives did not undergo devoicing. Preglottalized glides block register-spreading.
²The Written Cham forms are listed for reference only. They are not crucial to my argument.
b. WC coh takuh
   CC coh kuh
dig out - mouse
hunt mice

   cuh ?ako?
cuh ko?
light up - head
set someone's head on fire

c. WC la?u theh
   CC ?u theh
cocoanut - split
split cocoanut

   ?e? thu
?e? thu
faeces - dry
dry faeces

d. WC neh thoh
   CC neh thoh
to elbow - only
hit in the air with the elbow
fornicate with - horse
fornicates with a horse

   noh ?atheh
noh theh

e. WC haj ?e? puc
   CC haj ?e? puc
excl. - faeces - kind of racoon
ha! racoon faeces

   huc ?e? tapaj
huc ?e? paj
suck - faeces - rabbit
suck rabbit faeces

Examples (a)-(e) do not reveal anything about the issues at stake here. They simply show that onsets and rimes can be permuted. In examples (f)-(l), however, the two words of the original phrase have different registers. We see in these examples that when rimes and onsets are inverted, register always stays with the onset. This supports the claim that the two elements are not phonemically distinct.

f. WC nuj pa? (talaj pa?)
   CC nuj pa?
wear - chest band
wear a chest band

   nā? puj
nā? puj
make - happy
make happy

g. WC coh loh
   CC coh loh
clear (forest) - chukrasia
pull out chukrasia plants

   coh kalah (kalah nih)
coh lah
break - lean on each other (buffaloes)
push each other down (buffaloes)

h. WC taj sa?aj
   CC taj ?aj
younger sibling - older sibling
siblings

   taj ?i
taj ?i
rock - rice basket
(used as a hammock for babies)
rock a baby in a basket
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mon-Khmer Studies 35</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i.</td>
<td>WC naw  pac</td>
<td>nac kapaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC  naw  pac</td>
<td>nac paw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>go - study</td>
<td>give birth - buffalo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>go study</td>
<td>give birth to a buffalo / female buffalo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j.</td>
<td>WC pu klôh</td>
<td>pôh klu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC  pu klôh</td>
<td>pôh klu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>congee - cut, separate</td>
<td>fruit - testicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>congee with small noodles</td>
<td>testicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k.</td>
<td>WC pu ?athâw</td>
<td>?apaw thu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC  pu thâw</td>
<td>paw thu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>congee - dog</td>
<td>snail - dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>congee for dogs</td>
<td>dry snail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l.</td>
<td>WC kaj klôŋ</td>
<td>kôŋ klaj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC  kaj klôŋ</td>
<td>kôŋ klaj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>club</td>
<td>rutting - penis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>club</td>
<td>erect penis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to rule out the possibility that the word game is lexically frozen and reflects an earlier stage of the language or that the absence of cases where register stays with the vowel is accidental, I provided the subjects with pairs of input words and asked them to play the word game with them, regardless of the semantic well-formedness of their output. Once again, register always stayed with onsets. I also suggested outcomes in which register goes with the vowel, but they were systematically rejected. A few examples are given in (m)-(o).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mon-Khmer Studies 35</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| m. | WC naw čalan        | nan klaw  *
|   | CC  naw kľan        | nan klaw  |
|   | to go - road        | meaningless |
|   | go on the road      | meaningless |
| n. | WC wän kľaj         | waj kláň  *
|   | CC  wän kľaj        | waj kláň  |
|   | forget - forest     | meaningless |
|   | get lost            | meaningless |
| o. | WC mót pâťaj        | maj tôt  *
|   | CC  mót taj         | maj tôt  |
|   | harvest (VN) - rice  | meaningless |
|   | harvest rice         | meaningless |
Examples (f)-(o) clearly show that register can never be dissociated from the onset. Therefore, register is neither suprasegmental nor vocalic and the realization of register features such as pitch, voice quality and duration on the vowel is not a phonological property of the vowel itself, but is rather a matter of phonetic implementation of phonological representations.

2. Tones

I now review the literature on the alleged tones of Eastern Cham and introduce evidence from the same word game to show that although there are coda-conditioned pitch variations in this language, they cannot be analyzed as phonemic.

2.1 Claims about tonogenesis in Eastern Cham

Scholars agree that each of the two registers exhibits allophonic pitch variation conditioned by final consonants: codas affect the pitch contour of vowels. However, they disagree on the number and phonological status of these allotones (i.e. melodic allophones). Thus, according to Blood (1967: p.29): ‘Before final stops and h the register of non-low pitch is higher than in syllables ending in other consonants or silence’. On the contrary, Phu et al. (1992), the only authors to provide experimental evidence, claim that it is the low register rather than the high one that is split into two tones. Moussay (1971) argues for a more symmetrical four-allotone system where each register has an allotone in front of laryngeals and another allotone elsewhere. Hoàng (1987) goes even further by proposing five allotones.

Some authors have recently suggested that the predictable allotones of Eastern Cham have become (or are becoming) distinctive due to the loss or phonological reanalysis of some codas (Hoàng 1987, Phu et al. 1992, Thurgood 1996, Thurgood 1999). There is no consensus on the description of the pitch variants and on the phonological status and number of Cham tones. Regardless of the details, the crucial point here is that tone can become distinctive if and only if some codas, more specifically laryngeals, are deleted or lose their segmental status. Blood (1967), Moussay (1971) and Bùi (1996) assume that codas are preserved and therefore argue for a two-register system in which each register has a few predictable allotones. Hoàng (1987), on the other hand, seems to propose an analysis with four distinctive tones (but five allotones) based on the tacit assumption that the glottal stop has become a tonal element. Also considering the possibility that the glottal stop has become suprasegmental, i.e. that it has become an integral part of a laryngealized tone, Phu et al. (1992) put forward a three-tone analysis in which the high register has only one distinctive tone, while the low register might have two. They are, however, more cautious than Hoàng in that their tonal analysis holds only “if one treats glottal finals as part of the internal stuff of a given tone […]” (p.41). The status of the laryngeal coda [-h] in their analysis is
unclear, but at any rate, their claim that coda “h has been lost altogether” (p.35) is unsupported, as evidenced by the investigation of a corpus of wordlists and short interviews recorded with 43 speakers of Eastern Cham (Brunelle, in progress). This investigation clearly shows that the laryngeal codas [-h] and [-ʔ] are not deleted, be it in careful or colloquial speech. The only word in which a laryngeal coda is sometimes dropped is the preposition [pāʔ] ‘at’, a high frequency function word.

If register were already a suprasegmental property, i.e. a type of tone (Thurgood 1996), an additional tone split conditioned by the loss or weakening of laryngeal codas would not be surprising. It would simply increase the number of elements of a pre-existing phonological category. However, the word game discussed above shows that register is a property of onsets, which rules out such a straightforward account. Therefore, knowing that register does not behave like a suprasegmental feature and that [-ʔ] and [-h] are still realized, there are three possible analyses of the status of laryngeal codas and allotones:

1) Laryngeal codas are still segmental and are phonologically independent of register, although they condition register allophony.

2) Laryngeal codas are phonologically independent of register, but they have become suprasegmental, i.e. they have triggered tonogenesis and become a part of the tones that they have conditioned.

3) Laryngeal codas have triggered tonogenesis and become suprasegmental. However, in contrast with 2), registers and tones are not independent; they have merged into complex prosodic units that are linked to onsets.

As shown in the next section, additional evidence from the word game supports the first analysis.

2.2 Evidence from the word game

If the third analysis of section 2.1 were correct, the tones and their laryngeal accompaniments would have merged with registers into unified phonemic elements and would be predicted to behave as such in the word game. The following examples show that this position is untenable. In examples (p)-(r) laryngeal codas and registers are manipulated independently ((p)-(q) are repetitions of (f) and (j) respectively). This is good evidence that they have not merged into laryngealized tones.

| p. | WC ŋuj pa? (talâj pa?) | ŋā? puj |
| CC ŋuj pa? | ŋā? puj |
| wear - chest band | make - happy |
| wear a chest band | make happy |
q. WC pu klɔh
   CC pu klɔh
   congee - cut, separate
   congee with small noodles

   pɔh klu
   pɔh klu
   fruit - testicle
   testicle

r. WC plɔʔ liʔu
   CC plɔʔ ʔu
   nut - coconut
   coconut

   plu ʔɔʔ
   plu ʔɔʔ
   jar - pearl
   pearl jar

Registers and laryngeal codas are therefore independent. This is further
supported by the fact that when playing the word game with an input phrase, its
allotones are not transposed in their original form on the output phrase, as we
would expect if they had become phonemic. On the contrary, the allotones of the
output phrase are fully predictable from the interaction of the codas and the onsets
(including their register) of its constituent words. In examples (s)-(t), register
conditions the tonal height at vowel onset (low and high registers condition low
and high pitch respectively) while codas condition the contour throughout the
vowel (a syllable closed by /-ʔ/ or /-h/ has a rising contour, an open syllable, a
level one).

s. WC plɔʔ liʔu
   CC plɔʔ ʔu
   low rising – high level
   nut - coconut
   coconut

   plu ʔɔʔ
   plu ʔɔʔ
   low level – high rising
   jar – pearl
   jar of pearls

Now that we have established that laryngeal codas did not merge with
registers, we still have to address the issue of their phonological status. Are they
still codas or have they become suprasegmental (i.e. tonal)? The answer to this
question can be inferred from the fact that the word game never allows the
separation of a nucleus and a coda belonging to the same rime. Since consultants
did not spontaneously produce examples of the word game in which laryngeal
codas are separated from their nuclei, it is likely that laryngeals are not floating
tonal elements, but behave like all other codas. However, to make sure that the
absence of floating codas is not a mere coincidence, I coined phrases like (u)-(v),
in which laryngeal codas are moved out of their rimes (second column) and in
which both registers and laryngeal codas are inversed without manipulating the
onset and the vowel (third column). The consultants rejected them as ill-formed, despite being aware that the outputs were not supposed to have a meaning and that they could produce meaningless forms themselves. This leads me to conclude that final laryngeals are integral parts of the indivisible rimes, i.e. codas.

u. WC \texttt{thōw} plo?
   CC \texttt{thōw} plo?
   dog – mould grey
   grey dog
   \textbf{\texttt{*thōw? plo}} meaningless \textbf{\texttt{*thōw? plo}} meaningless

v. WC \texttt{plo? li?u}
   CC \texttt{plo? ?u}
   nut - coconut
   \textit{coconut}
   \textbf{\texttt{*plo ?u?}} meaningless \textbf{\texttt{*plo ?u?}} meaningless

However, there is another possible explanation for the fact that final laryngeals are never separated from the rest of the rime. One could claim that laryngeals are suprasegmental, i.e. tonal elements, but that the word game works in such a way that tones cannot be delinked from their original tone-bearing unit (the vowel). There is no independent evidence in favor of this alternative explanation, but a partial answer comes from the fact that several Southeast Asian languages have word games similar to “đóm kiện”, and that they usually allow tones to be moved independently from segmental material, while prohibiting the division of the rime. The most relevant example is the Vietnamese word game nỗi lại, in which tones, onsets and rimes can be reversed, but rime dislocation is prohibited.\footnote{Rare cases of rime dislocation have been reported by Maken and Nguyễn (2005).}
For example, the word giải phóng ‘liberation’, with a curve and a rising tones, can be turned into dại phóng ‘burnt testicle’, with identical segmental material but reversed tones.\footnote{In Vietnamese, gi and d have the same orthographic value.} The fact that the Cham, who know and play the Vietnamese language game, never move laryngeal codas out of their rimes in their own word game argues against a tonal account of these codas. However, it is the absence of any positive evidence in favor of a suprasegmental analysis of final laryngeals that ultimately convinces us to adopt the more conservative and partly supported segmental analysis.

We must therefore reject claims that laryngeal codas have lost their segmental status and that Eastern Cham is tonal or incipiently tonal. The data presented above show that laryngeal codas should instead be treated as segments and register as a melodic property of onsets.
3. Conclusion

The word game described in this squib sheds light on the phonological status of Eastern Cham register. It proves that the registers of Eastern Cham are still a phonological property of onsets and have not evolved into suprasegmental elements. Moreover, there is no evidence that laryngeal codas have been reanalyzed as “part of the internal stuff of a given tone” (Phu et al., 1992) or that they behave differently from other codas, which seriously challenges claims that Eastern Cham is tonal. We thus have to conclude that registers are still relatively conservative phonologically in that they are still a feature of consonants. However, Eastern Cham has evolved significantly and is no longer typical of register languages in two respects. While many Southeast Asian languages restrict register contrast to onset stops, register spreading and monosyllabization have extended it to onset sonorants in Eastern Cham (Brunelle, in progress). Perhaps even more importantly, Eastern Cham register is phonetically implemented on rimes and one of its most salient phonetic correlate is pitch, whereas other register languages often emphasize voice or vowel quality distinctions. As a consequence, the language superficially sounds tonal, although the word game reveals that its pitch distinctions cannot be analyzed as tones.
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