Once Again on the Agreement of the Tangut Verb

K. B. Keping Institut Vostokovedeniya, Leningrad

translated and edited by Luc Kwanten<sup>a</sup>
The University of Chicago

The present article is a supplement to our article that appeared earlier in this journal and in which, in spite of the concepts that have been established by M.V. Sofronov, we presented evidence that the Tangut verb does agree in person and in number with the subject and sometimes also with the object. Three auxiliary words, namely  $\sqrt[4]{l}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  are affixed to the verb to indicate either the object or the subject of the verbal action but which, in their original function, represent pronouns of the first person singular, the second person singular and the first or second person plural respectively. Whereas we were correct in indicating the capability of the Tangut verb to agree with both the subject and the object of the verbal action, we erroneously associated these affixes with verbal lexical categories. From the research on this subject, it was determined that the rules for the agreement of the Tangut verb are more complex than initially put forth.

Before proceeding with a more detailed analysis, it is necessary to point out that third person pronouns and substantives, singular or plural, are not reflected in the conjugation of the rangut verb. We have already written about this, albeit only with hypothetical examples. We did establish that in Tangut there is

verbal agreement only with the first and second person pronouns, whether singular or plural.

#### Intransitive Verbs

The agreement of intransitive verbs does not present any difficulties. The verb agrees with the noun that functions as the object of the action. The following examples can be cited:

5) 捕麻 较 质 號 质 葬 赖 蒹 蘋 莽

(Nevskii, I, 285)

$$\frac{\text{ni}^2-\text{nI}^2}{\text{you-PL}} \quad \text{time} \quad \text{PERF-eat-PL} \qquad \text{rIe}^1 \quad \frac{\text{tha}^2 \text{ sie}^1 \text{ ni}^2}{\text{PERF-go-PL}}$$

'When you have eaten the food, then go'

6) 庭 教緒依勝 雅 織 媵 颏 鏡 菔 稱 弱 蒂 转 項 絲 脹 璲 <u>榧 披 豫 經 菀</u> 罗

'Since Fei I arrived, (...Wang) ordered all the officials: when the emissary (of the kingdom of) Shu arrives, <u>you</u> do not <u>arise'</u>

It should be pointed out that the noun functioning as the subject of the action of the intransitive verb is frequently omitted. In those instances, the person of the subject of the verbal action is indicated solely by an auxiliary noun, an agreement indicator. For example:

7) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}$$

'Let it be this evening (I) die before your eyes'

When, however, the subject of the verbal action is a pronoun of the first or second person, singular or plural, the use of the agreement indicators following intransitive verbs is optional. For example:

### Transitive Verbs

With transitive verbs the agreement takes place according to two principal rules, namely:

a) When in a clause governed by a transitive verb, the agent or the subject of the action is expressed by a pronoun of either the first or second person, singular or plural, the transitive verb will agree with this pronoun irrespective of its function within the clause. Thus, in two identical clauses in which there

is a pronoun of the first person, for example "The servant strikes me" and "I strike the servant", the transitive verb will be followed by the auxiliary noun  $10^{12}$ , a homonym of the pronoun "I".

b) When in a clause with a transitive verb there are present the pronouns of the first and second person, singular or plural, the verb agrees with the pronoun that fulfills the function of object. Thus in a clause such as "I strike you" the verb agrees with "you", whereas in the clause "You strike me" the verb agrees with "me". Hence both clauses have a similar agreement and in both cases the verb is followed by the auxiliary na<sup>2</sup>. The following examples can be quoted:

 $kha^2 - t shiew^1 - ndzwI^1$   $kI^1 - t siwu^2 - nga^2$   $si^1 - mI^1$   $ndIn^2$ 

official Chou the Hsia-ruler PERF-beat- 1st but

 $\frac{1}{\text{ew}} - n \ln^{1} - n dzw \text{I}^{1} - . \ln^{1}$   $\frac{\text{kI}^{1} - t s \text{Iwu}^{1} - nga^{2}}{\text{PERF-beat-1st}}$   $\frac{1}{\text{perf-beat-lst}}$  be not

'I (=the official)  $\underline{beat}$  the ruler of Hsia (called) Chao, but it was not (the case) that (I)  $\underline{beat}$  Yao (or) Shan'

'(Tsao Tsao) said: I fear that the people surrounding (me) will kill me'

(LK VI )

ni<sup>2</sup> pha<sup>1</sup> ng I<sup>2</sup>-mbIn<sup>2</sup> nd I<sup>2</sup>-siei<sup>1</sup>-na<sup>2</sup>; ng a<sup>2</sup> mbIn<sup>2</sup> vie<sup>1</sup>
you one-wife take - 2nd I wife be?
not want?

'(The wife of Tz'u-chu) said: You take yourself another wife;
I do not want to be your wife'

## 14) 猴 乾 龍 웳 敲 求 题 击弱 彩 維 形 题

mei $^1$ swen $^2$  ma $^1$ -na  $\underline{na}^2$   $\underline{khe}^1$ -na  $^2$  tsI $^1$  thIn $^2$ -kie $^2$  ngu $^2$ -kiwa $^2$ -na  $^2$  Mei Sun formerly thee hate-2nd and/but so weep-weep-2nd 'Mei Sun formerly hated you, (but) you weep so'

16) 捕鹿旅狮猫猿餐鹅霜群菇菇鳗新艇

ni<sup>2</sup>  $tIn^{1} \frac{nga^{2}}{1} \cdot In^{1}$   $1dIe^{1} \frac{thI^{1} - nga^{2}}{1} \cdot In^{1}$   $tsI^{1} \frac{vie^{2}}{1} \cdot thI^{2} - na^{2}$  you if I - OBJ away drive-1st and PERF drive-2n

'If you already  $\underline{\underline{\text{drive me}}}$  out , then (you)  $\underline{\underline{\text{drive}}}$  her out too'

17) 植尾 琴 纤红 绯 灰色 彩色 线儿 卿

(LK VI)

 $ni^2$   $ti^2$   $sIwa^1$ — 2iei  $nga^2$ —  $mi^2$   $ngIu^2$  –  $na^2$ you do not worry  $I + mi^2 = we$  save – 2nd'Do not worry, we <u>will save you</u>'

18) 维核硫酸 紋 馳

(Sofronov, I, 218)

$$\frac{nga^{2} - ni^{2} - .In^{1}}{I - PL - OBJ} = \frac{vie^{2} ngIu^{2} - na^{2}}{PERF-save-2nd}$$
'You save us'

As is the case with the intransitive verbs, with transitive verbs the pronouns can be omitted and then only the auxiliary noun, the agreement indicator, indicates the person of the agent or the object. As can be seen in examples 22 and 23, there are instances when, simultaneously, the noun which is the agent of the action and the noun which is the object of the action are omitted. The following examples can be quoted:

20) 
$$\frac{1}{1}$$
  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$ 

(LK VII)

 $\frac{\text{khwI}^2 - \text{ni}^2}{\text{N}} \cdot \text{I}^1$ 

'(The three brothers) said: Let us cut down (this bush of Tzu-chin-shu)

## 22) 施嚴在 麗琳前府照維施養難難野

(S)

thi<sup>2</sup> nga<sup>1</sup>-rie<sup>2</sup> nda<sup>1</sup> kha<sup>1</sup> pie<sup>1</sup> mie<sup>1</sup> ndiu<sup>1</sup> ta<sup>1</sup> thi<sup>2</sup>-tiei<sup>1</sup> sa<sup>1</sup>-nga<sup>2</sup>. Ithis army affair on advise who appears if this-time kill-lst '(Ch'ao She) said: If there appears such a person as will give advice in the manner of this army, I will immediately execute (him)'

# 23) 別別服編箱蘋觚

(Zapiski)

 $mIo^{1}$   $- .I^{1}$   $mi^{1}$   $ni^{2}$   $ku^{1}$   $\frac{sa^{1} - na^{2}}{kill-2nd}$ 

'If you will not listen to me, I will kill (you)!

As was the case with the intransitive verbs, the use of the auxiliary particle for agreement indication is optional after transitive verbs. For example:

this thirteen chapter treatise I thoroughly PERF -read

'This treatise of thirteen chapters I have read in full'

'Only you killed living beings'

It should be pointed out, however, that special difficulties exist with regards to the agreement rules for transitive verbs.

First of all, with verbs having the meaning "to give", in addition to pronouns with the function of agent and/or object of the action, there also can occur another noun whose function is that of addressee of the action (ex. to give to someone). In those instances where the addressee of the action is expressed by either a pronoun of the third person or by a substantive, the verbal agreement occurs in accordance with the two basic rules expressed at the beginning of the article. When, on the other hand, the addressee of the action is expressed by a pronoun of the first or second person singular, the verb will agree with this pronoun, i.e. the rules of the verbal agreement for the addressee of the

action are the same as those that apply when the noun is the object of the action. The agreement of the verb will be the same in such clauses as "I give to you" and "I strike you." As we do not have examples in which the addressee of the action is expressed by the first and second person plural, we do not know what rule applies. The following examples illustrate the present observations.

### 27) 菲 孺 瑟 疑 稅

(12ts)

they - OBJ rewards large give - 1st

### 28) 矫 研 狂 萌 終 刊 服 颜

(Nevskii, I, 373)

 $\frac{\text{nga}^2 - .\text{In}^1}{\text{I} - 0\text{BJ}} \quad \text{samana} \quad \text{fruit} \quad \frac{\text{ndi}^2 - \text{khion}^2 - \text{nga}^2}{\text{give - 1st}}$   $\frac{\text{Give me}}{\text{the fruit of the sramana}} \quad \text{the framana} \quad \text{the samana} \quad \text{the sama$ 

## 29) 赋裕华丽芹榖羧匙

(s)

.iuo vie ndźlwo -.In mblu -wą khle na merit having people -DAT rewards give-2nd
'(You) gave rewards to persons having merit'

30) 植纸糖 龍 朦 芘 电见 旅 溅 稀 稀 纤 (Nevskii, II, 272)

'You lost my clothing and my cap, return (them) to me'

The rules of agreement for verbs of giving are by no means clear. We have not encountered clauses in which the noun which is the object of the action is expressed by a pronoun of the first or second person, singular or plural. We also did not encounter clauses in which, simultaneously, a noun with the function of object of the action and addressee of the action would be expressed by pronouns of the first or second person, singular or plural; i.e. clauses of the type "I turn you over to the enemy" and "The enemy will turn you over to me."

A second difficulty in the rules of agreement with transitive verbs occurs in those circumstances where the noun that functions as the object of the action has a possessive connotation which is expressed by a pronoun of the first or second person singular; no examples of the plural have been encountered. In these instances, the verb can agree with the possessive definition of the noun that functions as the object of the action; i.e. clauses of the type "He strikes my servant" and "He strikes me" are subject to the same rules of agreement. Examples are:

31) 
$$\frac{4}{3}$$
  $\frac{3}{3}$   $\frac{1}{3}$   $\frac{1}{3}$ 

'Someone grasped my hand'

32) 捕鹿 福 雜 雅 雅 利毘 預蒙 終化 普 处 (LK IV)

ni<sup>2</sup>-.In<sup>1</sup> ldIei<sup>2</sup> ldIe<sup>1</sup>-rai<sup>2</sup>-zie<sup>2</sup> vie<sup>2</sup> lhie rie<sup>1</sup> na<sup>2</sup>
you-POSS favors many PERF-receive- -2nd

'(We) have received many of your favors'

33) 夜瓦 媛 翠 朦 終 鏡 菱凡 斧 植麻 布 炙斑 统 (LK VI) 蒙 荔 斧 贶

thi<sup>2</sup> - ta<sup>1</sup> kl<sup>1</sup>-ndle<sup>2</sup> xien<sup>1</sup>-tśhio<sup>1</sup>-ndźêi-vie<sup>1</sup>  $\underline{ni^2 - .ln^1}$  ngl<sup>2</sup> - mbln<sup>2</sup> this-means precisely Fan Ch'un you - POSS one - wife  $\underline{tha^2 - sa^1 - vie^1 - na^2}$  PERF - kill- -2nd

'This means that precisely (Fan Ch'un) killed your wife'

At the same time, we have also encountered clauses in which the presence of a possessive definition with regards to the object of the action, expressed by the first or second person, does not result in an agreement of the verb with the possessive definition but in accordance with the basic rules mentioned at the beginning of this article. For example:

'(of Tz'u-chui) he said: <u>Hang</u> my head at the custom gate of the city. When the Yueh army comes to smash (the kingdom of) Wu, let me see it'

'You lost my clothing and cap, return (them) to me'

At present, it is unclear to us why in analogous situations the agreement in some instances takes place with the possessive definition of the noun that is the object of the action, and in other instances it takes place according to the rules of agreement for the Tangut intransitive verb.

As a final remark on the complications of the agreement rules with regards to the transitive verb in Tangut, it can be stated that nouns that have the function of the object of the action, of receiver with a possessive definition to the object of the action, are subject to the same rules. Furthermore, in the composition of the clause they are formulated by one and the same particle, namely  $\sqrt[7]{\pi}$  . In the following clauses, after the verb stands the appropriate auxiliary word, the indicator of agreement, and those nouns with which the verb agrees by means of this indicator, and affected by the suffix  $\sqrt[7]{\pi}$  . In 1.

16) 植 츓 維 稀 赫 養 維 希 泽 市 市 禄 孝 见 (Nevskii, II, 368

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1$$

# 28) 维那死前处 拟 鲸熊

(Nevskii, I, 373)

### 31)维频维标度塑斯绿色

(K VII)

The discovery of a system of personal endings with the verb and the reconstruction of the mechanism of verbal agreement in the Tangut language - one of the most ancient languages of the Burman group of the Tibeto-Burman linguistic group - is a weighty demonstration in favor of the presence of a system of compound/complex personal endings in proto-Tibetan-Burmese

languages ( see the article of James Bauman, University of California, Berkeley - Bauman, 1977).

#### NOTES

- 1) The abbreviations used in the Tangut examples are: LK:
  lei-lin; ts 12: Twelve kingdoms; S: Sun Tzu's Art of War
  (translator's note: published in facsimile in K.B. Keping,
  Sun' Tszi v tangutskom perevode; Pamyatniki pis'mennosti
  vostoka XLIX, Moscow, 1979, pp. 477-578); Zapiski: Newly
  collected writings of parental love; Nevskii: N.A. Nevskii,
  Tangutskaya filologiya. Issledovaniya i slovar', 2 vols,
  Moscow, 1960; Sofronov: M.V. Sofronov, Grammatika Tangutskogo
  Yazika, 2 vols., Moscow, 1968. The unpublished texts are
  in the manuscript department of the Institut Vostokovedeniya,
  Ak. NAUK SSSR, Leningrad.
- 2) Parentheses indicate the approximate Russian (English) transcription as no reconstruction of the Chinese characters has been attempted.
- 3) Example 16 was wrongly translated in Kepping 1976, p. 227, no.13 as "If you drive me out, then I will drive her out too."

#### LITERATURE

- 1) Bauman, 1977: James Bauman, A historical perspective on ergativity in Tibeto-Burman.
- 2) Kepping 1976: K.B. Kepping, Subject and Object Agreement in the Tangut Verb, Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 219-231.
- 3) Sofronov 1968: M.V. Sofronov, Grammatika tangutskogo yazika, 2 vols., Moscow, 1968.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### EDITOR'S NOTES

<sup>a</sup>As a service to the readers of LTBA who may be interested in other aspects of Tangut, the editor (GWT) has provided interlinear glosses. Neither K.B. Keping nor Luc Kwanten should be held responsible for these.

Also of potential value to readers is the short bibliography of some of

Keping's work found in LTBA 6.2:82.

DSix of the seven Tangut markers of perfective aspect, which occur in complementary distribution with one another, are connected with etymons indicating direction of motion. These along with deictic motion verbs are discussed in Keping's LTBA article in 6.2:77-82.

<sup>C</sup>[Matisoff's note in LTBA 2.2:230 fn.14] "The characters <u>sha-men</u> were used in Chinese to transliterate the Sanskrit word framana 'Buddhist priest.'"