

THE PRESENT STATE OF PST/PTB RECONSTRUCTION: CAN WE EVEN WRITE A FABLE IN PROTO-LOLO-BURMESE?*

James A. Matisoff

University of California, Berkeley

How much can we really recover from the past history of languages? What do we mean when we claim to have “reconstructed a proto-language”?

In sober moments, all historical linguists have to admit that a “proto-language” is at best only a pale reflection of a real living language. Often all that can be salvaged is the proto-phonemic system, along with a collection of mostly unrelated individual lexical items. If we are lucky, and work in a family with anciently attested texts in several languages, we can also achieve some understanding of the proto-grammar, especially if the languages are rich in morphology. In these respects, Indo-Europeanists have been much better off than Sino-Tibetanists, to the point where the 19th century scholar August Schleicher once dared to compose a fable in Proto-Indo-European.¹

It would certainly be an act of aggravated *chutzpah* to undertake a similar enterprise for Proto-Sino-Tibetan, or even for Proto-Tibeto-Burman. But what about trying to compose a text in a younger proto-language, let us say the one for which the most detailed historical reconstruction has been accomplished, i.e. Proto-Lolo-Burmese (PLB), spoken only about 2000 years ago?²

Thinking this might be worth a try, I have chosen a Lahu fable recorded during my first fieldtrip to Chiangmai Province, Thailand, in 1965-66,³ a simple text of nine sentences containing about 60 different words. As a first approximation, I have “translated” the text into PLB morpheme by morpheme, as if the proto-language had exactly the same word order, construction types, and repertory of particles as modern Black Lahu. Most of these morphemes have already been reconstructed at the PLB level; several others are here

*Originally presented at the 33rd International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics, Ramkhamhaeng University, Bangkok (October 2-6, 2000).

¹ See Schleicher 1868. This text was “updated” more than a century later by W. Lehmann (1981).

² Also known as Burmese-Lolo, Yi-Burmese, Burmese-Yipho, etc.

³ I believe this fable may ultimately be traced back to Aesop. It had undoubtedly been transmitted to my group of Lahu by Christian missionaries.

etymologized for the first time; only a few remain etymologically obscure at the moment.

The end result is a text with reliably reconstructed (even if largely unpronounceable) morphemes, but with a grammatical structure that might be more accurately termed “pre-Lahu” rather than PLB. In order to attempt a serious syntactic reconstruction, the grammars of modern Loloish and Burmish languages would have to be systematically compared with what is known about Old Burmese grammar, a project which seems vastly premature at the present stage of research. An especially serious problem is posed by the rich systems of grammatical particles or functors displayed by all LB languages: although these correspond well conceptually from language to language, it is relatively rare to find cognate etyma filling the same conceptual slots across languages. Functors have developed from root morphemes by “grammaticalization”, but this process seems to have operated independently in each language, so that it is impossible to figure out which was the “original” morpheme used for each grammatical function.

This said, it is still instructive to reconstruct what we can -- even if all we come up with is a string of putative PLB lexical items arranged according to modern Black Lahu grammar.

This paper is organized as follows. First comes the verbatim modern Lahu text, with interlinear glosses and a form-class designation⁴ provided for each morpheme on its first occurrence (§1), followed by a free English translation (§2). Then the vocabulary of the text is roughly arranged by part of speech, and as far as possible each morpheme is etymologized at the PLB level (§3), with special attention paid to new etymologies and words which remain obscure. These reconstructed morphemes are then strung together in modern Lahu word order to create a running text (§4). Finally, we point out the phonological and grammatical puzzles that remain for anyone who might wish to travel further along this road (§§5-6).

⁴ A list of the abbreviations used for these form-classes is given at the end of the paper.

1.0 LAHU TEXT

dà-qhu *phê* *pf* *ve* *gâ?-phu-qā* *le* *á-cè*
boast release able GEN cock and hawk

The Boastful Cock and the Hawk

1. *tê* *pô?* *thâ,* *kho* *tê* *kho* *ð-qho* *lo* *gâ?* *tê* *mō*
one time TEMP coop one (coop) inside LOC chicken one (flock)
Num Clf Puniv N Num Clf Nspat Pn N Num Clf

cò *ve* *yò.*
be there NOM AFF
V Puniv Puf

2. *gâ?* *ô-ve* *tê* *mō* *ð-qho* *lo* *ð-phu-qā* *nî* *khe* *cò*
that male (bird, etc.) two (animal)
DET N Num Clf

ve *yò.*

3. *gâ?-phu-qā* *tê* *khe* *lè* *yô* *tê* *ni* *le-le* *kù* *ve*
TOPIC 3p day every crow/call REL
Punf Npron Clf Bn V Puniv
tê *yân* *thâ,* *kho* *ð-qhō* *lo* *í* *tâ?* *kù* *ve* *cê.*
(time) above only climb QUOT
Clf Nspat Puniv V Puf

4. *yô* *kù* *ve* *ð-khō* *lè* *chi* *qhe* *qô?* *ve* *yò:*
word(s) this like say
N DET Next V

5. *ṇà* *qhō* *lè* *ɿ* *-šē* *-mu* *-šē-phā* *mā* *cò.*
Ip above great high AGT-NOM NEG
Npron Mpfx Vadj Mpfx Vadj Adv

6. *qha-pò-è* *thà?* *gā* *-šē-phā* *ṇà* *yò,* *tè*
all ACC win/beat AGT-NOM thus
Next Pn V Mpfx/Pv Pquot
í *chi* *qhe* *kù* *chê* *ve* *yò.*
PROG⁵
Vv

⁵ This word means 'to be in a place; stay; dwell' as a main verb. See JAM 1991:415-8.

7. **mâ** **gâ** **ve** **gâ?** **tê** **khe** **lè** **tê** **ni** **le-le** **yô**
tô-khô **chi** **thâ?** **gâ** **kâ** **ve** **thâ?** **pa-to,** **ò-pr-tâ?**
words/speech N get to hear CAUS thicket
N vV V Pn N
- lo** **ú** **ca** **và?** **tā** **ve** **yò.**
go and⁶ hide DUR
vV V Pv
8. **tê** **ni** **qhe** **gâ?-phu-qā** **chi** **yô** **kho** **ò-qhō** **lo** **qò?**
again/back
vV
- tā?** **e** **le,** **qò?** **bù** **chê** **ve** **tê** **yân** **thā,**
ABL/away SUSP cry (animal)
Pv Punf V
- “hà** **qhō** **gâ** **-pā** **mā** **cò”,** **tè** **kù** **chê** **ve**
AGT-NOM
- ò-qhō** **lo,** **á-cè** **tê** **khe** **là** **le,** **yô** **thā?** **chè?**
within/during hawk come bite
Nspat N V V
- chí** **qay** **ve** **yò.**
lift away
V Vv
9. **tê** **ni** **le-le** **ò-pr-tâ?** **lo** **và?** **chê** **tā** **ve** **gâ?** **tê**
khe **qò?** **tô?** **la** **le,** **gâ?** **ô-ve** **tê** **mō** **ò-qhō** **thā?**
emerge CIS⁷
V Pv
- qò?** **kwân** **tā** **ve** **yò.**
rule
V

⁶ This word means ‘look for; search’ as a main verb.

⁷ This verbal particle expressing ‘cisative motion’ or ‘motion toward the center of deictic interest’ is a derivative of the full verb **là** ‘come’.

II. FREE ENGLISH TRANSLATION

1. Once upon a time in a certain coop there was a flock of chickens.
2. Among this flock of chickens there were two cocks.
3. As for one of these cocks, every day when he would crow, he would always climb up on top of the coop to crow.
4. The words he would crow were like this:
5. "Above me there is no one greater and no one higher.
6. The one who beats everybody else is me!" This is how he would crow.
7. As for the cock who couldn't compete, since he would hear these words day after day, he would always go and hide himself in a big thicket.
8. One day when the cock had climbed again onto the top of the coop, and was screeching as usual, "There is nobody who can beat me!", just as he was crowing, a hawk came and bit into him and lifted him up and away.
9. The other cock, the one who used to be hiding in the big thicket every day, came out again, and from then on he ruled over that flock of chickens.

III. VOCABULARY

(A) Nominals

Common nouns

Lahu	PLB	References	Gloss
dà-qhu	*nda ¹ -kwa ¹	NEW ✓	'bragging; foolishness'
/This word (also pronounced dà-qho) seems cognate to or borrowed from the Chinese compound 夸大 Mand. kuādà 'exaggerate, overstate', with the order of syllables reversed. The morpheme 夸 is reconstructed as OC k'wā in GSR #43a. Lahu -u is the regular reflex of earlier *-wa (cf. 'cattle' PLB *nwa ² > Lh. nû; 'handspan' PLB *twa ¹ > Lh. thu; 'tooth' PLB *swa ² > Lh. -šũ 'toothlike part of tools')/. ⁸			

kho	*kram ¹	DL 373	'coop; fenced-off enclosure; garden'
-----	--------------------	--------	--------------------------------------

/This morpheme also functions as a classifier; see below./

gâ?	*k-rak ^H	TSR #184	'chicken; fowl'
ð-qho	*ʔāŋ-kəw ¹	NEW ✓	'inside part' (<i>spatial</i>); 'while, during' (<i>temporal</i>)

/Cf. Maru **a³¹khuk³¹** (the development *-əw > Maru -uk is regular)/

⁸ The second syllable of WB **wa-krwâ** 'boast' also resembles Lahu -qhu, though the initial and tonal correspondences are wrong: WB **krwâ** < PLB *grwa²; Lh. **qhu** < PLB *kwa¹.

ð-mō cf. **mō** (Clf) ‘group’

ð-phu-qā *ʔǎŋ-pu¹-ʔga² DL 235, 895 ‘male (of certain birds and animals)’

/phu is perhaps a loan < Tai (cf. Si. **phûu**, **tua-phûu**); the last syllable (which occurs in several other animal names) prob. derives from the verb **qā** ‘mute, dumb; stupid’ (cf. WB **ʔā**)./

ǵâʔ-phu-qā *k-rak^H-pu¹-ʔga² ‘cock; rooster’

ð-qhō *ʔǎŋ-kaw² (?) NEW ✓ ‘top part; part above; part over’

/The Lahu rhyme **-o** is also consistent with the reconstruction *ʔǎŋ-kam²; but for the moment ***-aw** seems preferable, since it is parallel to the reconstructions of several other Lahu demonstrative and locative morphemes in ***-aw**. Cf. the determiner **ô ve**, below./

(ð)-khô *ʔǎŋ-kraŋ² NEW ✓ ‘word; noise; sound; speech; voice; language’

/Although there is a similar Tai etymon represented by Shan **khoo** (DL 380), this now seems to be a genuine TB root. Cf. Zhangzhung **glang** ~ **klang** (JAM 2001: #30)./

ð-šē-phâ *ʔǎŋ-sin²-pa² NEW ✓ ‘body; owner’

/Cf. DL 1215; see “Particles” below./

ĩ-šē-mu-šē-phâ *ʔəy²-sin²-mraŋ³-sin²-pa² ‘a great personage’
 (“one who is great and high”)

/This is an “extended elaborate expression”; see parts below./

tš-khō *daŋ²-kraŋ² DL 653 ‘words; speech’

ð-pf-tâʔ *ʔǎŋ-ʔbəy²-ʔtak^H NEW ✓ ‘thicket’

/This noun is derived from the verb **pf-tâʔ** ‘be messy, disordered, cluttered’ (DL 870); more support is necessary for this etymology./

á-cè *ʔǎk-dz(y)wan¹ DL 84 ‘hawk; kite; bird of prey’

Pronouns

yô *zaŋ² DL 1290 ‘3rd person’

ŋà *ŋa¹ DL 424 ‘1st person’

Numerals

tê *dan² / *day² DL 625; ‘one; a, an’

/See also JAM 1995a; 1995b, §3.14./

ní ***ni² ɤ *s-ni-t** TSR #160 ‘two’

Classifiers

pʂʔ ***ʔpok^H** TSR #60 ‘for times’

kho ***kram¹** DL 373 ‘for coops’

mō LOAN < Tai DL 1011 ‘for groups, flocks’

/PTai ***hmuu** > Si. mùu, Shan muu; cf. Li Fang Kuei 1977 (HCT):75-6./

khe ***krin¹** DL 376 ‘for animals’

/Same morpheme as **khe** (N) ‘string, rope’; cf. Si. **ch̥yák** ‘rope; classifier for domesticated elephants’./

ni ***ʔnəy¹** DL 758 ‘for days’

yâ(n) LOAN < Tai DL 1260 ‘for times, occasions’

/PTai ***jaam** ‘a watch in the night’ > Cf. Si. **jaam**, Lao **ñaam**, Shan **jam**; cf. Li 1977:178-9./

Determiners⁹

ô ve ***ʔaw²-way³** NEW ✓ ‘that’

/Cf. “Pal. suff.” (pp. 82-4), contra Benedict 1983./

le-le ***lay³-lay³** DL 1373-4 ‘each; every’ (always after **tê** + Clf)

/Probably ɤ **le** ‘substance-question particle’; see “Pal. suff.” #21./

chi ***tsi¹** NEW ✓ ‘this’

/See DL 520ff. Many cognates are to be found in ZMYC #981, including: Xide **tshɿ⁴⁴**, Dafang **tshə**, Mile **tsi³³**, Naxi Lijiang **tshw³³**, Naxi Yongning **tshɿ³³**, Hani Dazhai **ci⁵⁵**, Jinuo **ci³³**, Zaiwa **xji⁵¹**, Langsu **tʃhe³¹**; an extra-LB cognate is Bokar Adi **ci**:/

qhe ***ka-y¹** “Pal.suff.”#23 ‘like, as; topicalizer’

/Possibly related via metathesis are Bola (Burmish) **tʃhɿ⁵⁵khja³¹** ‘like this’, **thɿ⁵⁵khja³¹** ‘like that’, perhaps < ***kya**; cf. Dai and Huang 1992 (“TBL”): #’s 946, 952./

qha-pə-è ***ka¹-bun¹-ʔay³** NEW ✓ ‘all; completely; everything, everybody’

/< **pə** ‘finish, be complete’ (see Verbs, below)./

⁹ For the purposes of this paper, I am grouping together under the rubric of “Determiner” morphemes which are analyzed more precisely in JAM 1973/1982.

(B) *Verbs (including adjectival verbs)*

phê *pyiŋ² ≈ *priŋ¹ NEW ✓ 'release; send forth'

/Cf. WB **phyāñ** 'with irregular, violent starts of heat', **phrañ** 'untie, unloose, unfold'; this is a distinct root from ***prəy**¹ 'untie' > WB **phre**, Lahu **phɿ**./

cò *dʒaŋ¹ DL 492-4 'be there; have'

/Not only is this etymon well-attested in Loloish, but it is now established for TB as a whole./¹⁰

tâʔ *ʔtak^H TSR #98 'climb up; go up; ascend'

kù *graw¹ (?) LOAN? cf. DL 337 'call out; cry out'

/The reconstruction of this etymon presents several problems. It must first of all be distinguished from a similar PLB root ***kaw**¹ 'call, summon' > WB **khaw**, Lahu **qho** (DL 293). Lahu **kù** has a front velar, which reflects a cluster with *-r-, making the comparison with WB **kraw** 'shout, call out' attractive' (< ***graw**¹), though the usual Lahu reflex of *-aw is -o, not -u. It is possible that the Lahu form is a loan from Tai (cf. Si. **kùu** 'halloo, shout, call out, esp. when hunting'./

f *ʔay² NEW ✓ 'be big; be great'

mu *mraŋ³ DL 991 'be high'

pə̀ *bun¹ (?) DL 872; GSTC #164 'finish; come to an end'

/This word also presents complicated etymological problems, since several different but resemblant roots must be considered. There is evidence for a final nasal, e.g. Phunoi **pán**, Bisu **pýn** (cited by Bradley 1979, who reconstructs both ***bran** (#749) and ***ban** (#793). Several other nasal-final forms are offered in TBL #1702: Zaiwa **pan**⁵¹, Langsu (Maru) **pəŋ**⁵¹, Bola **pē**⁵⁵, Leqi **pa:n**. GSTC #164 reconstructs ***bwăy** on the basis of WB **pwâi** 'be past the season (as of fruit)', Jingpho **bōi** 'be finished', etc. Still other WB forms (**prí** 'be done', **pri** 'perfective particle') point rather to PLB ***bri**^{1/2}./

gâ *k-ra² DL 1116 'win; overcome; beat someone out'

chê *tsay² DL 542 'live, dwell, stay; progressive auxiliary'

/≈ **jê** 'stop, cease, come to rest' < ***N-dzay**² (see DL 574, GSTC #156); there is an attractive comparison with Chinese: 在 OC ***dz**'əg (GSR 943i), Mand.

¹⁰ (Loloish) Lisu **jaw**⁴, Phunoi **că**, Bisu **tšá**, Akha **jó**, Mpi **təɔ**³ [Bradley 1979:#610]; also Yi Xide **dzo**³³, Naxi Lijiang **dzy**³³, Caiyuan Hani **tsə**³³, Jinuo **tʃa**³¹, etc. [ZMYYC #735]; (Baic) Dali **tsur**³³, Jianchuan **tsur**³³, Bijiang **dzi**³³; (Qiangic) Ergong **ntcho**, Muya **ndzə**³⁵, Guiqiong **jě**⁵⁵, Ersu **dʒo**⁵⁵, Shixing **dʒə**⁵³, etc. < PTB ***N-dʒaŋ**.

zài. Bradley (1979:#598A) reconstructs ***cya²** \approx ***jya²**, on the basis of Lisu **tya¹**, Phunoi **ca.**/

ga	*ra³	DL 1113	'get, obtain; manage to V; must V; be able to V' ¹¹
qô?	*ʔkap^H	NEW ✓	'say'
/Confirmatory evidence needed./			
kâ	*gra²	DL 324	'hear'
ca	*ʔgya¹ \approx *ʔra¹	NEW ✓	'look for, seek; go and V'
/ca seems to be cognate to WB hra 'search; look for', though the initial correspondence is unusual (see DL p. 432). The WB form is from PLB *ʔra¹ , while the Lahu could be from *ʔgya¹ . Either proto-variation could be posited, or else an unusual cluster could be invoked <i>ad hoc</i> , e.g. *ʔgrya¹ ./			
và?	*wak^L	TSR #178	'hide' (v.i.)
/≈ fá 'hide' (v.t.) < PLB *ʔwak /			
qô?	*gok^L	TSR #2; DL 255-9	'crooked, bent; return, go back'
bù	*mbu¹	NEW ✓	'make a loud noise (esp. of animals); crow, bellow, moo, neigh...'
/Many Loloish cognates are to be found in ZMYYC #784, including: Dafang mbu²¹ , Nanhua bu³³ , Mile pu³³ , Mojiang bu⁵⁵ , Lisu bu³³ ./			
là	*la¹	GSTC #185;	'come'
/≈ *lay ; see "Pal. suff." #5./			
chê?	*C-tsət^L	TSR #24; DL 537	'bite into'
chí	*kyi²	DL 526	'lift up; raise'
tô?	*ʔtwak^H	TSR #102	'emerge; come out; go out'
qay	*ʔga-y¹	DL 260	'go'; (as auxiliary) 'motion away from'
/This verb has a fused palatal suffix; ≈ gà 'arrive, reach' < *m-ga¹ ; see "Pal. suff." #4./			
kwân	LOAN	DL 360	'rule over; control'

¹¹ This word means 'get; obtain' as a main verb, and 'able to V' as a post-head versatile verb (in a manner very similar to the syntax and semantics of Thai **dāj**). In this text it is functioning as a pre-head versatile verb. See JAM 1991:418-22, where similar grammaticalizations in other languages are discussed.

/Prob. ult. < Chinese 管 ‘be in charge’, OC ***kwân** (GSR #157h), Mand. **guǎn**). The tone is ⁵³ in Yunnanese Mandarin, practically identical to the contour of Lahu tone /[˥]/.

(C) Particles

lɛ ***ɣya¹ ??** DL 1386-8 ‘and; conjunctive particle’

/Cf. the similar Siamese word **lɛʔ**; this is the same etymon as the suspensive particle (below)./

thâ ***ta²¹²** DL 673 ‘temporal’

lo ***lam³** DL 1377 ‘locative’¹³

ve ***way³** [Ety] GSTC; ‘general nominalizer;
[Gmr] JAM 1972b subordinator’

mâ ***ma²** DL 968 ‘negative’

yò ***yam¹** NEW ✓ ‘declarative; affirmative’; (*as interjection*) ‘yes’

/Sometimes pronounced **yà-ò** in slangy speech (originally a Yellow Lahu form);¹⁴ perhaps cognate with Geman and Darang Deng **am⁵³** ‘copular’ (ZMYYC #733)./

lè ***lya¹** NEW ✓ ‘topicalizer’

ɿ ***ʔdik^L** TSR #49; DL 604 ‘only’

/≠ **tê** ‘one’/

tè ***day¹** DL 646-7 ‘quotation ender’

/Prob. ≠ **tè** (V) ‘be true’; similar to Si. **thé̃** ‘real, genuine’, but more likely cognate to WB **tai** ‘very; intensifier’/

cê ***džay²** GSTC #104 ‘quotative’

šē-phâ ***sin²-pa²** NEW ✓ ‘agentive nominalizer; one who V’s’

/See ‘body; owner’ (N), above; ≠ WB **sañ** ‘owner, proprietor < PLB Tone *1. Undoubtedly cognate is Lai Chin **sin** ‘possessive particle’.¹⁵ An excellent Chinese comparandum is 身 ‘body, person’ OC **śien** (GSR 386a-c), Mand. **shēn**/

¹² An allofam with PLB Tone *1 is reflected by Lisu (Fraser) **htá⁴**.

¹³ Grammaticalized from PLB ***lam²** ‘road’. See JAM 1991:389-90. A recently discovered cognate is Sgaw Karen **la** (Tone A1) ‘locative particle’.

¹⁴ Amusingly this is homophonous with the Icelandic word for ‘yes’, written **já** but pronounced [jaɹ].

¹⁵ Data from Kenneth VanBik.

- pā** ***ʔba²** NEW ✓ ‘agentive nominalizer’
/Cf. DL 811./
- thàʔ** ***C-tak^L** TSR #42 ‘upper part, top surface’ (N);
accusative, particle’ (Pn)
/Cf. JAM 1991:388-9./
- pa-to** ***ban³-ʔdon¹** LOAN ‘because; due to the fact that’
/The first syllable is sometimes written with a final **-n** in missionary
orthography (“**pantaw**”), suggesting it is a loanword. See DL 804./
- tā** ***ʔda²** DL 596-7 ‘durative’
/Grammaticalized from the full verb **tā** ‘place; put; set down’./
- le** ***ʔlya¹ ??** DL 1386-7 ‘suspensive’
/Occurs after verbs in non-final clauses; same etymon as the homophonous
conjunctive particle that occurs between nouns (see above)/
- e** ***ʔay³** GSTC #128 ‘motion away from; transitive motion’
/Cf. also DL 118; “Pal. suff.” #1 (pp. 45-6)./
- la** ***ʔla¹ or *la³** NEW ✓ ‘motion toward; cisative motion’
/Cf. DL 1343./

The above etymologies certainly differ among themselves in quality, ranging from the certain, to the merely probable, to the quite speculative. Still it seems safe to say that large numbers of modern Lahu words -- perhaps the majority of the core lexicon -- can be provided with reasonably good PLB etymologies.

The real difficulty in writing a fable in PLB goes far beyond the etymologies of individual words.

IV. MORPHEME BY MORPHEME TRANSLATION INTO PROTO-LOLO-BURMESE

nda¹-kwa¹ priŋ² C-prek^L way³ kərak^H-pu(w)¹-ŋga² ŋya¹ ʔək-dz(y)wan¹

1. dan² ʔpok^H ta², kram¹ dan² kram¹ ʔāŋ-kaŋ¹ lam³ kərak^H dan² ʔmu² dʒaŋ¹ way³ yam¹.
2. kərak^H ʔaw²-way³ dan² ʔmu ʔāŋ-kaŋ¹ lam³, ʔāŋ-pu¹-ŋga² ni² kriŋ¹ dʒaŋ¹ way³ yam¹.
3. kərak^H-pu¹-ŋga² dan² kriŋ¹ lya¹, zaŋ² dan² ʔnəy¹ lay³-lay³ graw¹ way³ dan² yam² ta², kram¹ ʔāŋ-kaw² lam³ ʔdik^L ʔtak^H graw¹ way³ dʒay².
4. zaŋ² graw¹ way³ ʔāŋ-kraŋ² lya¹, tsi¹ ka-y¹ ʔkap^H way³ yam¹:
5. “ŋa¹ kaw² lya¹, ʔəy²-sin²-mraŋ³-sin²-pa² ma² dʒaŋ¹.
6. ka¹-bun¹-ʔay³ C-tak^L ra² sin² - pa² ŋa¹ yam¹,” day¹ ʔdik^L tsi¹ ka-y¹ graw¹ tsay² way³ yam¹.
7. ma² ra² way³ kərak^H dan² kriŋ¹ lya¹, dan² ʔnəy¹ lay³-lay³ zaŋ² dan²-kraŋ² tsi¹ C-tak^L ra³ gra² way³ C-tak^L ban³ - ʔdon¹, ʔāŋ-ʔbəy²-ʔtak^H lam³ ʔdik^L ʔgya¹ wak^L ʔda² way³ yam¹.
8. dan² ʔnəy¹ ka-y¹ kərak^H-pu¹-ŋga² tsi¹ zaŋ² kram¹ ʔāŋ - kaw² lam³ gok^L ʔtak^H ʔay³ ʔlya¹, gok^L mbu¹ tsay² way³ dan² yam² ta², “ŋa¹ kaw² ra² ʔba² ma² dʒaŋ¹,” day¹ graw¹ tsay² way³ ʔāŋ - kaŋ¹ lam³, ʔək-dz(y)wan¹ dan² kriŋ¹ la¹ ʔlya¹, zaŋ² C-tak^L C-tsət^L kyī² ka¹-ʔay way³ yam¹.

9. dan² ʔnəy¹ lay³-lay³ ʔǎŋ-ʔbəy²-ʔtak^H lam³ wak^L tsay² way³ kərak^H
 dan² kriŋ¹ gok^L ʔ-twak^H ʔla¹ ʔlya¹, kərak^H ʔaw²-way³ dan² ʔmu
 ʔǎŋ-kaw² C-tak^L gok^L gwan²¹⁶ ʔda² way³ yam¹.

V. PHONETIC PUZZLES

If we try to read the above text aloud, we are immediately faced with severe problems. How can we be sure we are pronouncing the etyma with a good Proto-Lolo-Burmese accent?

While the basic tonal categories of PLB are clear, the phonetics of the proto-tones must forever remain obscure. Whether the two basic tones in non-stopped syllables differed most saliently in pitch or phonation type cannot now be determined, though several scholars have speculated that Tone *1 had clear or modal phonation, while Tone *2 was characterized by breathy voice. As far as relative pitch goes, the modern languages are not much help, since they are about equally divided as to whether the reflexes of *1 are higher or lower than those of *2. Tone *3, while it must be reconstructed at the PLB level, is much rarer lexically than *1 or *2, occurs on many functors, and participates in numerous morphological alternations, leading all observers to conclude that it is historically younger. It seems to have been associated particularly with glottalization or “creaky” phonation. In stopped syllables a two-way tonal opposition must be reconstructed for Proto- Loloish, HIGH vs. LOW, which we may interpret as basically a pitch difference.¹⁷

Another puzzle is the exact nature of the phonetic difference between the PLB *preglottalized voiced (e.g. *ʔb-) vs. *preglottalized voiceless (e.g. *ʔp-) obstruents that must be set up for tonal reasons. Perhaps the *voiced series was imploded (but against this interpretation is the fact that it includes a palatal and a velar member as well as a labial and a dental). The *voiceless series may well have been pronounced sesquisyllabically, with a schwa after the glottal element.

¹⁶ Since this appears to be a Chinese loanword, we should substitute the native phrase **j̥s-m̥s** **p̥hēʔ**, lit. “be a master”, reconstructible as ***ndzaw²-maŋ² C-prek^L**. The morpheme **j̥s** < ***ndzaw²** ‘lord, master’ has many cognates, including WB **cûi**, Nasu **dz’i³³mo³³**, Luquan **nts’y³³**, and Xixia ***ndzui** (see DL 578). The 2nd syllable **-m̥s** means ‘old, senior’ (cf. WB **mân** ‘ruler, official’; DL 1031).

¹⁷ See JAM 1972, *passim* (TSR). This pitch difference was evidently redundant at the PLB stage (it is not manifested in Written Burmese), but for phonetic verisimilitude in our reconstructed text we have marked stopped syllables as either HIGH (^H) or LOW (^L).

VI. MORPHOSYNTACTIC ASSUMPTIONS

We have been assuming that the word order of PLB was identical to that of modern Lahu. This is certainly a debatable proposition, but perhaps we can be fairly confident that the core of LB syntax was Lahu-like in the following respects:

- PLB must have had verb-final clause structure, with concomitant use of postpositions rather than prepositions.
- The order of the pre-verbal NP's in the PLB clause must have been relatively free.
- The order of the morphemes in quantified NP's was probably Nqh + Num + Clf, with the quantified head coming first, followed by the Numeral plus Classifier.
- PLB relative clauses were externally headed, and must usually have preceded the head-noun they modified.
- Grammaticalization of root nouns and verbs to postpositions must already have been well underway at the PLB stage.
- The bleaching of full verbs to auxiliaries ("versatile verbs") had probably already begun, so that "verb concatenation" (largely unmediated by particles) must have been the most frequent strategy for creating complex verbal notions.
- Several semantically differentiated clause-nominalizers (agentive, locative, temporal) must already have been in use, with the most general one (like Lahu **ve**) frequently serving to nominalize or "reify" whole sentences.
- On the negative side, there is absolutely no evidence that PLB manifested "verb pronominalization", i.e. affixal agreement marking of subject and/or object in the VP, as e.g. in the Kiranti group of E. Nepal.¹⁸

¹⁸ On the other hand, PLB may well have had auxiliary verbs or particles in the VP that indirectly marked the "direction of benefaction" of the verbal event, like Lahu **lâ** 'non-3rd person beneficiary' (< **lâ** 'come') and **pî** '3rd person beneficiary' (< **pî** 'give'). See JAM 1973/1982 (GL), pp. 324-330.

- On the morphophonemic plane, the large number of functors (determiners and particles) that are reconstructible with PLB Tone *3, *preglottalized initials, and/or final *palatal semivowel suggests that these phonological features were exploited at an early date for abstract grammatical duty.¹⁹

In order to validate and nuance all these impressions, there will be no substitute for serious comparative grammatical studies of the modern Lolo-Burmese languages. Research into the historical syntax of TB is still in its infancy, and it is high time for it to grow up!

Meanwhile, we historical linguists might well profit from the moral of this fable itself. Let us not at this stage be too confident about what we can recover from the distant history of our language families, lest some cosmic bird of prey come swooping down to punish us for our grandiosity!

¹⁹ Cf. the reconstructions of the following Lahu functors in the list of reconstructed vocabulary (§3, above): **ve**, **le-le**, **e**, **le**, **lo**, **pā**, **pa-to**, **la**, **tè**, **qhe**, **cê**.

ABBREVIATIONS

*Form-classes*²⁰

ABL	ablative	Pn	noun particle
ACC	accusative	Pquot	quotative particle
Adv	adverb	Prt	particle
AGT-NOM	agentive nominalizer	Puf	final unrestricted particle
CAUS	causative	Punf	nonfinal unrestricted prt
CIS	cisative motion	Puniv	universal unrestricted prt
Clf	classifier	Pv	verb particle
DET	determiner	PROG	progressive
LOC	locative	SUSP	suspensive
Mpfx	prefixable morpheme	TEMP	temporal
Next	extensive noun	TOP	topic
Npron	pronoun	Vadj	adjectival verb
Nspat	spatial noun	Vv	posthead versatile verb
Num	numeral	vV	prehead versatile verb

Works cited

DL	JAM 1988	Pal. suff.	JAM 1995
GL	JAM 1973/1982	STC	Benedict 1972
GSR	Karlgren 1957	TBL	Dai & Huang 1992
GSTC	JAM 1985	TSR	JAM 1972a
HCT	Li 1977	ZMYYC	Sun et al 1991

²⁰ For a complete list of my form-class abbreviations for Lahu, cf. GL pp. xxxi-xxxvii.

REFERENCES

- BENEDICT, Paul K. 1972. *Sino-Tibetan: a Conspectus*. Contributing editor, James A. Matisoff. New York: Cambridge University Press. ("STC")
- _____. 1983. "This and that in Sino-Tibetan." *LTBA* 7.2:75-98.
- BRADLEY, David. 1979. *Proto-Loloish*. London: Curzon Press.
- DAI Qingxia and HUANG Bufan, eds. 1992. *A Tibeto-Burman Lexicon*. [in Chinese] Beijing: Central Institute of Minorities. ("TBL")
- KARLGREN, Bernhard. 1957. *Grammata Serica Recensa*. Stockholm: Bulletin of the Museum of Far Eastern Antiquities, No. 29. ("GSR")
- LEHMANN, Winfred. 1981. "The Genitive Singular Ending in -syo: How an Indo-Europeanist Works." ["Updated" version of Schleicher 1868] *Bono Homini Donum: Essays in Historical Linguistics in Memory of J. Alexander Kerns*, ed. by Yoël L. Arbeitman and Allan R. Bomhard, pp. 179-188. (Amsterdam Studies in the Theory and History of Linguistic Science, IV. Current Issues in Linguistic Theory, 16.) Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- LI, Fang Kuei. 1977. *A Handbook of Comparative Tai*. Honolulu: University of Hawai'i Press. ("HCT")
- MATISOFF, James A. 1972a. *The Loloish Tonal Split Revisited*. Berkeley: Center for South and Southeast Asia Studies. ("TSR")
- _____. 1972b. "Lahu nominalization, relativization, and genitivization." In John Kimball, ed., *Syntax and Semantics*, Vol. I, pp. 237-57.
- _____. 1973/1982. *The Grammar of Lahu*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press. ("GL")
- _____. 1985. "God and the Sino-Tibetan copula, with some good news concerning selected Tibeto-Burman rhymes." *Journal of Asian and African Studies* (Tokyo) 29.1:1-81. ("GSTC")

- _____. 1988. *The Dictionary of Lahu*. Berkeley, Los Angeles, London: University of California Press. ("DL")
- _____. 1991. "Areal and universal dimensions of grammatization in Lahu." In E.C. Traugott and B. Heine, eds., *Approaches to Grammaticalization*, Vol. II, pp. 383-453.
- _____. 1995a. "Sino-Tibetan palatal suffixes revisited." In Y. Nishi, J.A. Matisoff, and Y. Nagano, eds., *New Horizons in Tibeto-Burman Morphosyntax*, pp. 35-91. Osaka: National Museum of Ethnology. ("Pal. suff.")
- _____. 1995b. "Sino-Tibetan numerals and the play of prefixes." *Bulletin of the National Museum of Ethnology* (Osaka) 20.1: 105-252.
- _____. 2001. "The interest of Zhangzhung for comparative Tibeto-Burman." In Yasuhiko Nagano and Randy J. LaPolla, eds., *New Research on Zhangzhung and Related Himalayan Languages*, pp. 155-180. *Bon Studies* 3. *Senri Ethnological Reports* #19. Osaka: National Museum of Ethnology.
- SCHLEICHER, August. 1868. "Eine Fabel in indogermanischer Ursprache." *Kuhn's Zeitschrift* 2:206-208.
- SUN Hongkai, et al, eds. 1991. *Tibeto-Burman Phonology and Lexicon*. [in Chinese] Beijing: Chinese Social Sciences Press. ("ZMYYC")