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My initial interest in words for 'rice' in Hmong-Mien came as part of a study I made several years ago of linguistic archaisms in White Hmong traditional literature (Ratliff 1988). Some demonstrably archaic words, like that for 'snow', 'water', and 'mountain', ² occur only in traditional texts (both religious and secular), and frozen quadrisyllabic expressions, having been supplanted by other roots in everyday usage. I noted then that the most widespread family word for 'cooked rice', PHm *ŋon/PM *hmaj C, ³ is not commonly used with that meaning in White Hmong. The expected reflex for this word in White Hmong is ḫno, ⁴ but it has been supplanted in White Hmong by mov except in traditional language referring to feasting:

common quadrisyllabics (AB₁AB₂)

hno nplej hno nplaum
cooked rice/rice/cooked rice/sticky rice (= food)
noj-nqaiq noj- hnos
eat/meat/eat/cooked rice

quadrisyllabics in ritual language
(from Laig Dab Pëb Caug ceremony)

laig nqaij laig ḫno
offer the spirits/meat/offer/cooked rice

An explanation for the displacement of ḫno may be found by examining all the words that belong to the semantic field 'rice' in Hmong-Mien. As David Strecker discovered (1987), what we find in Hmong-Mien may be the lexical equivalent of the Great Vowel Shift or, a more Asian analogy, the Amoy tone cycle: a set of semantically related roots and their referents have demonstrated an independence from each other by virtue of their ability to detach and reconnect in a systematic way. The intent of this brief paper is to bring this material which David Strecker brought to my attention to the attention of other Southeast Asian specialists in turn, and to add a comment on the connection of this set of roots to 'grain/millet'.
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Strecker's hypothesis is as follows:

Proto-Hmong-Mien | Hmongic dialects
--- | ---
*mblæ/*mblæu A | rice plants
*tshan B/*tshuk D | unhusked rice
(*m- )/*hmei B | husked, uncooked rice
*ŋon/*hnaŋ C | cooked rice, food
(cooked rice, food)

"The forms and meanings are well preserved, by and large, in Mienic... In Hmongic, in so far as these words exist at all, they have undergone a curious semantic shift. [*mblæ] still means 'rice plants' but also moves down a notch to mean 'unhusked rice grains' as well. Then [*tshan] moves down a notch to mean 'husked but uncooked rice'. And finally, then, [*hmei]... moves down a notch to mean 'cooked rice', coming into competition with [*ŋon]." (Strecker 1987: 1) The effect of these linked changes was what I encountered in my work with White Hmong, in which hno 'cooked rice' plays only a marginal role.

A re-examination of Strecker's sources (and an examination of a few others) finds confirmation of his hypothesis, but also some glosses which suggest a slightly different historical development in two respects. All four roots are widely distributed in the family:

*mb1-
W#34/4
tone: 2 (A2)
cf. MC d\ao

| Yanghao na A, Jiwei nu A, Xianjin mple A | Shimen ndli A, Qinyan mplæ A, Gaopo/ | Zhongdi mplæ A, Bunu ntie A, Ho Ne pja A; Mien bjau A, Mun (Hainan) blao A, Mun (all either growing/unhusked rice) |

| (Hongei Sai) blau A |
*tsh- Yanghao shè B (husked rice), Jiwei se B (porridge), Xianjin tsho B (millet), Shimen tshu B (millet), Qinian/Zhongdi son B, Gaopo shèn B, Fuyuan tshan B, Fengxiang gōn B (all husked rice); (Chang 1976 lists Hmongic forms and glosses all 'a kind of grain');
tone: 7 (D1) Mien tshu+ D, Mun (Haininh) t-su D (both: unhusked rice grains), Mun (Hainan) t'juu D (uu < u+) (grain/millet)

*m- White/Green Hmong mō B (cooked rice/meal)
Purnell 724 tone: 3 (B1) Mien mei B, Mun (Haininh/Hainan/Houei Sai) mei B (both: husked rice)

*n- Xianjin nâu C, Qinian nọn C, Gaopo ɲhon
W#60/25 C, Zhongdi nọ C, Fengxiang nhan C; Mien nà C, Mun (Hainan) nān C (Houei Sai)
 tone: 5 (C1) nà C, Biao Min ṇnà C, Dzao Min nọn C (all cooked rice/meal)

The meanings for the roots on the two sides of the family may be summarized as follows:

**Mienic**

*mbla/*mblo A growing rice; unhusked rice

*tshan B/*tshuk D unhusked rice; grain

(*m- )/*hmei B husked rice

*non/*nhan C cooked rice, food

**Hmongic**

growing rice; unhusked rice

husked rice (> millet, porridge)

cooked rice; food

(cooked rice; food)
which leads me to the following observations:

1. No evidence was found that *mbie/*mbieu A means 'rice plants' to the exclusion of 'unhusked rice grains' in any source; there is therefore no confirmation of the first semantic shift hypothesized by Strecker.

2. Given the glosses involving 'grain', 'millet' and 'porridge', *tshan B/*tshuk D may not originally have been of this set. If it was originally 'grain', specialization from 'grain' to 'rice' evolved in two different ways in the two main branches:
   
   Mienic:    grain > unhusked rice
   Hmongic:   grain > husked rice

   Therefore, semantic specialization has taken place in Mienic, with no other disruption of the PHmM form-meaning alignments. Semantic specialization was followed by displacement of (*m- )/*hmei B form in Hmongic, which in turn displaced the *ñon/*hnar C form in some West Hmongic dialects (a semantic push chain, as Strecker suggests).

   The process of semantic change reflected in this data would have to have involved a period when the items in question had broad reference to encompass two "adjacent" meanings of rice, as is the case today in all of the modern reflexes of the first root, which can be used to refer to both the growing rice plant and to unhusked rice grains. This was undoubtedly facilitated by compounds of the type Chinese mi-fan 'husked rice-cooked rice' = 'cooked rice' and Hainan Mun t'juu-mei 'grain-hulled rice' = 'food', which provided a transition environment.

Notes

I am grateful to both Paul Benedict and Laurent Sagart for giving me information which has led me to excise my discussion of the words for 'snow' (PHm *mpan C) and 'flour' (PHm *mpan B), the subject of the first half of this paper in its original version. At the time of SEALS III, I had postulated a C-tone derivation process to account for the 'snow' etymon in Hmong-Mien, which is otherwise homophonus with the B-tone word for 'flour'. Both Benedict (p.c.) and Sagart (1994) see 'flour' as a loan from the Chinese root *piwen meaning ('husked>') 'powder/flour' (GSR 471d). Sagart believes
Hmong-Mien 'snow' to be derived from a Chinese word in the same phonetic series meaning 'mist, haze, hoar-frost' and Benedict believes 'snow' to be derived from his reconstructed Austro-Tai root *[ya](mp)on meaning 'rain/atmospheric precipitate (fine)'. Either candidate source for 'snow' would better account for the meaning 'cloud' that appears on the Mienic side of the family, and the parallels in form and meaning for both roots in Chinese are particularly persuasive. I have therefore abandoned my hypothesis of a source wholly internal to the Hmong-Mien family for 'snow'.


3All reconstructions of Proto-Hmongic are taken from Wang 1979 and 1988; all reconstructions of Proto-Mienic are taken from Theraphan L.-Thongkum 1993. I am in the process of reconstructing Proto-Hmong-Mien, but it would be premature to include reconstructed PHmM forms at this time.

4A note on the Romanized Popular Alphabet used in this paper and by the majority of Hmong in the United States: The eight tones are indicated by final consonant symbols: high level (historical tone category A1) -b, high falling (A2) -j, mid rising (B1) -v, low level (B2 and D1) -s, mid level (C1) -ø, falling breathy (C2) -g, low glottalized (D2a) -m, low fall-rise (D2b) -d. I use a hyphen to indicate when two words are in a sandhi compound (in which the left member induces a tone change in the right member).
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