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1 Background
Hainan Cham [=Tsat], an Austronesian language of Hainan, is one of the clearest examples in the literature of a language restructuring under intense contact. Some two thousand years ago, traders speaking a Malayo-Chamic language set up trading posts on the coast of modern day Vietnam. Interaction and intermarriage with speakers of Bahnar led to the total restructuring of the language; under intense contact with Bahnar, it became Chamic, a language that differed strikingly from its Malayo-Chamic ancestor in phonology, morphology, lexicon, and syntax (constructions). For roughly a thousand years, this newly restructured Chamic language—the language of the Champa Federation—existed as an only moderately differentiated dialect continuum along the coastline of southern Vietnam, with a small trading post on Hainan Island.

The next major restructuring occurred after the northern capital fell to the Vietnamese in 982. This event led the Northern Cham to split into two groups: the bulk of the merchant class (including many Muslims—Huihui, in Chinese) fled to Hainan becoming the Utsat (etymologically, u ‘people classifier’ + Tsat, *cam ‘Cham’; note that, a thousand years ago, all Chamic speakers were more than likely called Chams), while the bulk of the non-merchant class fled to south and, in many cases, inland, becoming the Northern Roglai (etymologically, ra ‘people’+ glai ‘forest’). It is worth noting that the Northern Roglai are the Chamic group reputed to have the royal treasures from the northern capital.

The arrival of the Northern Cham traders on Hainan—an event noted in the Chinese Dynastic records—led to another complete restructuring of the language, this time under the influence of the monosyllabic and tonal Hlai languages (Tai-Kadai), the monosyllabic and tonal Min dialects of Chinese, and, more recently, under the quite intense influence of Mandarin.

2 Restructuring the Phonology
The phonological restructuring was significant, although the initial steps were simple enough. Malayo-Chamic had penultimate stress, but like some dialects of modern Malay, when the penultimate vowel was shwa, it more than likely had final stress. Under influence from Bahnar speakers (and possibly other Mon-Khmer groups), stress switched to final position. This, combined with continued interaction with Bahnar speakers whose languages
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were sesquisyllabic (weaker presyllable + stressed final syllable; in terms of stress, iambic) led to the change from disyllabic to sesquisyllabic forms.

While the four unstressed first syllable vowels remained unchanged, the stressed main syllable vowels proliferated. The inherited vowels went from seven to nine (four monophthongs and three diphthongs), with the splitting of the two high vowels into a diphthongized stressed variant and an unstressed, undiphthongized short variant. A number of vowels were borrowed into Chamic from Bahnar sources, although usually one or more inherited forms appear to have first developed the vowel phonetically, with this outlier providing a model for the borrowing. Finally, a length distinction developed, apparently triggered initially by the lowering of the inherited shwa to a short /a/, thus providing a length contrast with the inherited /a/. Continued contact with Bahnar reduced the four-way contrast in the first syllable in many dialects of Chamic, making the structure more sesquisyllabic. (For more on vowels, see Thurgood 1998, 1999)

Another minor change was the proliferation of glottalized stops, again apparently first through changes in inherited forms with native material (see Greenberg 1970; Thurgood 1999:87-94). This opened the way for borrowings, although given the intensity of the contact, the glottalized stops most likely would have been borrowed in any case.

Last, but not least, was the development of a register system, that is, contrasting voice qualities, typically a two-way between breathy voiced vowels (≤ earlier voiced obstruents) in contrast with modal or clear register. This simple register distinction may be reconstructable to PC. There is some question whether this register distinction developed under Mon-Khmer/Bahnaric influence or not; our initial assessment was that it did, but others such as Sidwell (p.c.) argue that Bahnar was not registral at that point. Be that as it may, register was widespread and certainly existed in the history of Hainan Cham. Other Chamic languages have gone on to elaborate their own systems in various ways (Thurgood 1996).

The final restructuring of Hainan Cham phonology takes place after their arrival on Hainan and had come into more intense contact with both Hlai speakers and with Min speakers, that is, sometime after 982. The two salient features are the much accelerated movement from sesquisyllabic to monosyllabic and the development of tones, but there was also simplification in the vowel system.

The increased monosyllabification sometimes came about through collapsing the two syllables into one. If the medial syllable began with */h/-, the monosyllabification was completed before the arrival on Hainan, perhaps as far back as the PC stage: as nothing has been distorted by doing so, we have used Malay to represent the Malayo-Chamic stage: Malay *tahan ‘year’ cf. PC *thun > Hainan Cham t’ən (note that in some dialects of Chamic the reflexes of */th/- actually are pronounced as clusters, not aspirated stops). Collapse of forms with medial */l/- or */r/- into monosyllables postdates the Hainan Cham arrival on Hainan, as several Northern Roglai sources still have two syllable forms, but otherwise the developmental pattern parallels that for the medial */h/-: Malay bulan ‘moon’ cf. PC *bi:a:n > Hainan Cham p’ian. Finally, where it was not possible to collapse the two syllables into one, a process with an intermediate stage still seen in Rade but completed in Hainan Cham: Malay basah ‘wet’ cf. PC *basah > Rade msah, Hainan Cham sa.

The most discussed development, however, is the development of a tone system, a tone system that parallels the tone systems of the other languages of Hainan, including the
Hlai dialects and Min dialects that Hainan Cham speakers had contact with. The external motivation for the tonogenesis was contact; the internal paths are transparent: forms ending in *-h have a 55 tone (high level); forms ending in a glottal stop have a 42 falling tone if the form began with a PC voiced obstruent (which led to breathiness, which determined the tone class), but with a 24 rising tone, if it did not; and, the remaining forms have a 11 tone (low level tone), if the form began with a PC voiced obstruent (which led to breathiness, which determined the low tone class), and a 33 (mid level) tone, if it did not. The actual picture is slightly more complicated; in PC disyllabic forms with two syllables, if the initial of the first syllable was a PC voiced obstruent, the breathiness from that obstruent spread through the medial and this breathiness determined the tone class of the second syllable. (Haudricourt 1984, Maddieson and Pang 1993, Thurgood 1996)

3 Lexicon
The vocabulary was restructured first in Champa and then again on Hainan. Half of the vocabulary, including much of the core vocabulary, is Bahnaric. In fact, there are often doublets, with one form inherited, the other borrowed. Also a significant number of body part words are borrowed e.g. *cadin ‘finger’, *su ‘dead skin’ (*kulu ‘skin’ is inherited).

Other borrowings included kinship terms, adjectives, nouns, verbs, and so on. In fact, the borrowing is so massive that the language was sometimes thought to be Mon-Khmer, rather than Austronesian.

There is also the occasional borrowing of a grammatical morpheme, such as the negative imperative marker. More significant for the morphology is the iambic stress pattern; prefixes were reduced and then lost.

The arrival on Hainan led first to the borrowing of a small amount of Hlai vocabulary (and Hlai borrowed some from Chamic, most notably the word *nam ‘six’). Contact with Chinese, in contrast, led to massive lexical borrowing, including and grammatical morphemes (discussed in the next section). Zheng (1997:54) writes that, of some 2428 lexical items, roughly 20% are of Chinese origin. 21% of the nouns, 14% of the verbs, 31% of the adjectives, 31% of the classifiers, and several pronouns.

Much of the original Austronesian vocabulary is now gone, some lost to Bahnaric (Mon-Khmer) borrowings and now some to Chinese.

4 Constructions
The Hainan Cham came to Hainan speaking a language with limited grammatical morphology and with constructions marked with a grammatical morpheme plus word order. All of this is being rapidly restructured under contact with Chinese; we suspect that two aspects of this restructuring are the effects of the mass media and the results of near-universal schooling. Much of this is discussed in Thurgood and Li (2003), but it can be illustrated briefly here.

4.1 Genitives with Full Noun Phrases
In Northern Roglai all full NPs are postposed. In Hainan Cham, all genitive full NPs are preposited, as in Chinese, with the construction marked by sa35 even in the most colloquial, least-Sinicized texts.
Northern Roglai:  Nh GENNP  
(1)  gaʔ sa:k   (Lee 1966:65)  
roof house  
‘the roof of the house’  
Hainan Cham (all)  GENNP sa³³ Nh  

(2)  ...pi air³³ sa³³ za:ŋ³².   (Zheng 1997:95)  
...village GEN person  
...cún de rén  
...cún de rén  
‘people of the village...’  

In the most Sinicized texts, the native genitive marker sa³³ has been replaced by ti³, borrowed from Chinese.

Hainan Cham (Mandarinized)  GENNP ti³³ HeadNP  
(3)  tan³³ k'ua³³ ti³³ si¹¹ ha:u²¹,   (Zheng 1997:4.1.3)  
arrive daybreak GEN after  
dào tiānliàng de shìhòu ...  
dào tiānliàng shìhòu, ...  
‘At daybreak, ...’  

4.2 Genitives with Pronouns  
Genitives with pronouns show a mixed pattern in Hainan Cham. In more colloquial texts, the pronominal genitive is simply preposed without a genitive marker; in the more Sinicized texts it tends to be preposed with a genitive marker, as in Chinese. In Chinese, some variation in the use of a genitive marker occurs, apparently correlating with the transparency of situation being coded.

Northern Roglai:  Nh GENPr  
(4)  sa:k hā   (Lee 1966:65)  
house you  
‘your house’  
Hainan Cham (colloquial):  Nh GENPr  

(5)  ko²⁴ iʔbu²⁴ nau³³ sa²⁴.   (Zheng 1997:92)  
head.hair she messy  
tòufa tā luànn  
tā de tòufa luàn.  
‘Her hair is messy.’  
Hainan Cham (Chinese influenced, with sa³³)  

(6)  nau³³ sa³³ ko²⁴ iʔbu²⁴ sa²⁴.   (Zheng 1997:97)  
she GEN head.hair messy  
tā de tòufa luànn  
tā de tòufa luàn.
‘Her hair is messy.’

4.3 Demonstratives and Head Nouns
As with genitive pronouns, both demonstratives and adjectives are postposed (without a genitive marker) in Northern Roglai and colloquial Hainan Cham, but preposed (with and without a genitive marker) in Chinese-influenced Hainan Cham and Mandarin. The tendency is for the genitive marker to show up in the Chinese-influenced Hainan Cham, a construction that reflects Mandarin influence.

Northern Roglai:
(7) saːk gheŋ ?unī ‘this big house’

Hainan Cham:
(8) ?ai³³ ni³³ sat²⁴ ?aŋ³³ (Zheng 1997:84)
water this truly cold
zhè zhèn lèng
‘This water is very cold.’
Hainan Cham (Chinese influenced) (this + GEN) + clf

(9) mì³³ sa³³ ta¹¹ pʰaŋ³³ pi¹¹ kiau³³ lu³³. (Zheng 1997:75)
this GEN one clf much
zhè de yī fèn bijiào duō
‘This portion is bigger.’

The alternation is found in the speech of the same speaker and correlates with the text type: the borrowed patterns are found in texts that describe more recent phenomena, whereas the native patterns are used in texts of traditional stories.

4.4 Adjectives and Head Nouns
The pattern for adjectives is the same. The N. Roglai has postposed adjectives as does the colloquial Hainan Cham, while the Mandarinized Hainan Cham has preposed adjectives, both with and without a genitive marker, calquing the Chinese.

N. Roglai: postposed adjectives
(10) saːk gheŋ ?unī ‘this big house’

Hainan Cham: postposed adjectives
(11) na¹¹tson³³ pionŋ³² poi²⁴. (Zheng 1997:1.1.9)
bird big say
niǎo dà shuō
dà niǎo shuō: ‘The big bird said:...
Hainan Cham (Mandarin-influenced) preposed adjectives

(12) ... kiu₃³ san⁰³ (Zheng 1997:2.1.1)
... old village
... jiù cún
‘... the old village’
preposed with sa³³, a calque on Mandarin de

(13) na:ii³² sa³³ saŋ³³ huat²⁴, (Zheng 1997:2.1.10)
good GEN life
hào de shènghuó
‘(the) good life’

4.5 Comparative Constructions
There are two distinct Hainan Cham comparative patterns: the native pattern is inherited from Chamic; the other is borrowed from Chinese. As Zheng writes (1997:75), the native construction is: X - Adj - CM/ST, with the adjective preceding the comparative marker (la:u²² ‘CM; pass’) and the standard, while the Chinese pattern is: X - CM/ST - Adj, with the adjectives following the comparative marker (pi³³ ‘CM’ < Chinese) and the standard.

Hainan Cham (colloquial):
(14) nau³³ ma⁴³ la:u³² ha³³. (Zheng 1997:75)
he fat CM you
tā pāng bī nǐ
tā bǐ nǐ pāng.
‘He is fatter than you.’
Hainan Cham influenced by Chinese

(15) kau³³ pi¹¹ ha³³ tsat²⁴ tso³³ kio³³ sun³³. (Zheng 1997:75)
I CM you short three inch
wǒ bī nǐ ěi sān cún
wǒ bǐ nǐ ěi sān cún.
‘I am three inches shorter than you.’

4.6 Adverbs and Conjunctions from Chinese
As you might recall, some 45% of adverbs, prepositions (like cong ‘from’), and conjunctions are borrowed from Mandarin (Zheng 1997:54). In the case of these, what has been borrowed is not a grammatical word, but instead a construction along with the grammatical word that marks it. The rules of usage seem strikingly like those in Chinese.

Adverbs: (the examples given here are intensifiers)
(16) hái³³ ?diaⁿ²⁴, p'ai³³ sian⁵² na:i³². (Zheng 1997:76)
very hot extremely good
tài rè féicháng hǎo
tài rè féicháng hǎo
‘very hot’ ‘extremely good’

Conjunctions:

both hungry and tired

(you e you le)
you e you lei.
‘Both hungry and tired.’

if tomorrow very hot, I then NEG go PERF

ruguo mingtiian tai re, wo jiua bu qu le (Zheng 1997:85)
ruguo mingtiian tai re, wo jiua bu qu le
‘If tomorrow is very hot, I won’t go.’

In discussing, not Hainan Cham, but the geographically distant and genetically distinct Tai-Kadai language Mulam, Zheng Guoqiao (1988:173) wrote that in Mulam the degree and quantity adverbs are all borrowed from Han Chinese and that these were subject to the same syntactic rules as in Han Chinese. Whether or not, it is literally true, it is instructive that a good scholar would make such a statement. The Hainan Cham parallels seem striking.

4.7 Other Chinese-influenced Constructions

All sorts of other constructions have been borrowed. In (19) below are three separate constructions showing Chinese syntactic influence. The first, indicated by the initial italicize characters with underline, is the extension of the prehead modification of the sa[^3]construction to produce a prehead relative clause.

lie down that GEN cow be female GEN
tang na de huangniu shi mu de
tangzhe de na tou huangniu shi mu de.
‘The yellow cow lying down is female.’


5 Observations

The successive stages in the restructuring of Hainan Cham, first in Champa and now on Hainan, reflect instances of intense contact. One suspects, in fact, that were it not for their identity as Hui, Muslims, Hainan Cham might very well have disappeared by now. As it is, the language has retained little more than words from its origins—the phonology is gone, the constructions are gone, and, although we did not discuss it here, even the rhetorical structures are becoming Chinese.
While the internal paths that brought about these changes are relatively transparent, the directionality and the impetus are provided by social, not linguistic pressures. Encroaching bilingualism with a powerful dominant language (along with schooling and social mobility), are among the most prominent factors that lead to the massive borrowing and drastic structural shift. Much of the language is gone; the rest will follow in another generation. What we fail to record now will be irretrievably lost.
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