THERE ARE NO PREPOSITIONS IN THAI

not to use it to predict the answer

give the hearer the appartunity of providing to accept for him, and by doing this he thinks of mencel to

are usually used when people with lower social asks to exapte with higher social status, for ex-

The hearer Warotamasikkhadit wity may, not rif place cues

this, it is natural notice to should feel free to

students to teachers

Hat It is not necessary that all languages have prepositions 611 Whitney (1889: 403) states that "The indeclinable words are less distinctly divided into separate parts of speech in Sanskrit than is usual elsewhere in Indo - European language--owing to the fact that the class of prepositions hardly has a real existence, but is represented by certain adverbial words which are to a greater or less extent used prepositionally." The term "preposition" did not appear in Thai grammatical terminology until Uppakitsinlapasan (1953:58-70) wrote his Siamese Grammar: Morphology in 1953. He defines a preposition as a kind of word that precedes a noun, a pronoun, or a certain kind of verb in order to indicate the function of the noun, the pronoun, and the verb it precedes. A Thai preposition is somewhat different from an English preposition because the English preposition connects words. The Thai preposition is the word used in front of a noun which Thai grammarians add in front of a noun to signify the different cases in the translation of the noun declension in Pali.2 Kullavanijaya's analysis of coverbs and noun auxiliaries (Kullavanija 1974: 72 - 93), Clark's analysis of coverbs and relator nouns (Clark 1975: 222 - 247), Clark and Prasithrathsint's analysis of relator nouns (Clark and Prasithrathsint 1985: 53 - 65), and Sayankena's analysis of relator head nouns (Sayankena 1985: 82 -83) are heading in the same direction as mine. It is evident that the words which are called prepositions in the traditional Thai grammar do not constitute a single form class like English as defined by Curme (1947: 27 - 29) or by Fries (1952: 95 - 96).³

1. VERBS MISTAKENLY CALLED PREPOSITIONS

Action verbs like taam 'to follow', caak 'to leave, to depart', thin 'to reach', suu 'to reach', krathan

'to touch on', con' to end', to' to connect, to build, to continue', and etc. are all verbs in their occurrence, but their translation into English are often prepositions, for example: taam 'along', caak 'from', thin 'to', suu 'to', krathan' until', con 'until', to' I consider them verbs because they can be negated when occurring as a main verb signifying propositional semantic interpretation or when occurring as the last member of a verb string signifying the same semantic interpretation as the main verb.

1.1 taam 'to follow'

- (1) khẩw taam hảa lûuk he follow search child He was searching for his child.
- (2) khảw dəən taam hảa lûuk he walk follow search child He was walking in search of his child.
- (3) khả w dəən taam lûuk he walk follow child He walked, following his child.
- (4) khåw deen taam thànôn he walk follow street He walks along the street.
- (5) dêk dêk nâŋ taam raaw sap haan child sit follow rail bridge Children sit on the bridge rail.

No one will deny that taam 'to follow' in (1) is a verb because it occurs after a pronoun khaw he' which is the subject of the sentence and can be negated. taam 'to follow' in (2) is also a verb but it occurs as a second member of the verb string signifying functional meaning (Filbeck 1975: 112-129). taam 'to follow' in (3) is also a verb where khaw he' follows and lûuk 'child' precedes, taam 'to follow' in (4) is considered a preposition by traditional Thai grammarians because they do not see the semantic equivalence between taam 'to follow' in (3) and (4) and the translation of taam 'to follow' in

(4) is rather closer to 'along' than to 'to follow'. Our argument is that (3) and (4) are structurally the same and the meaning of taam 'to follow' remains the same in both sentences. The only difference is that the nominal actant lûuk 'child' in (3) is an animate noun and the nominal actant thanon' street' in (4) is an inanimate noun. Thus taam 'to follow' in (3), (4), and (5) are all verbs, not prepositions.

- 1.2 càak to leave, to depart
 - (6) khảw càak bâan maa leave home come He left home (to come here).
 - (7) khaw maa caak baan he come leave home He came from home.

It is evident that caak to leave, to depart, in (6) is a verb where bâan 'home' is the object càak 'to leave, to depart' in (7) is not semantically different from the one in (6). I see no point in calling caak 'to leave, to depart' in (6) a verb and càak 'to leave, to depart' in (7) a preposition. Kullavanijaya (1974:82-83) considers càak 'to leave, to depart 'a coverb or a derived preposition.

- (8) khaw riiproon deen caak raw pay hurry walk leave we go He hurriedly walked away from us.
- (9) khaw riproon deen caak pay he hurry walk leave go He hurriedly walked away.

càak 'to leave, to depart' in (9) functions as a member of a verb string riproon deen caak pay 'hurriedly walked away' and its meaning is the same as caak 'to leave, to depart' in (8) where raw 'we' is not deleted as it is in (9). I find no reason to classify the two caak 'to leave, to depart' differently.

- 1.3 thin to reach?
 - (10) khaw ca th∔n baan kh∔∔n nii he will reach home night He will arrive home tonight.
 - (11) khảw cà pay thin chianmay he will go reach Chiangmai of nows "noun author nifidak (1978 243), Clark and Prasibination of their

mean resider. He will arrive in Chiangmai tonight.

(12) khảw cà pay mây th¥n chianmày vsuzhe un will go not reach Chiangmai shirt thining nithan bretty night a this moy

He will not arrive in Chiangmai tonight.

thing to reach in (10) is definitely a verb because it follows a preverb cà 'will' and can be nega- But em ted. In traditional Thai grammar thing to reach ' in be riple (11) is considered a preposition even though it can in proposition also be negated as in (12). To me there is no possibility of classifying thin to reach 'in either case as a preposition.

- 1.4 sùu 'to come to'
 - (13) raw cà mây sùu khẳo lûuksǎaw we will not come to ask for daughter khaw koon riti he before question Should we not ask for his daughter's hand before doing something else?
 - (14) khǎw hǎn nâa pay thít n**ťa**, he turn face go direction north work He turned to face north discuss 6
 - (15) khảw hản nâa sùu omidalit leenita turn face come to direction north He turned toward the North.

sùu 'to come to' in (13) is definitely a verb because it occurs after a preverb cà 'will' and can be negated. In traditional Thai grammar pay 'to go' in (14) is considered a secondary verb (Uppakitsinlapasan 1953: 68, Haas and Subhank 1948: 376 -378, and Warotamasikkhadit 1972: 18, 34) and sùu 'to come to' a preposition (Uppakitsinlapasan 1953: 139). Because the two sentences reveal the same structure, both pay 'to go' and suu 'to come to' should be the same part of speech, namely verbs

1.5 krathan to touch on a visiting

- (16) khl‡in krathan fan wave touch on shore

 Waves dash against the shore.
- (17) saami i phanrayaa wyom the husband wife to of should krathópkrathan kan Strike against TIV each other always Husband and wife usually clash with each other.
- each other.

 It should be should be

krathan 'to touch on' in (16) is definitely a verb because it occurs after the subject hhlfin wave 'and before the object fan shore '. krathan 'touch on ' rarely occurs independently to signify propositional meaning but rather occurs as a compound verb kràthôp kràthâŋ 'to strike against, to touch on' as in (17). kràthâŋ 'to touch on' in (18) is considered a member of a verb string roo khun kràthâŋ 'wait for you until' to signify a functional meaning 'touching on'.

- 1.6 con 'to end'
 - (19) khaw con mum he end corner He is cornered.
 - (20) khảw khooy khun con sàwàan he wait you end dawn He waited for you until dawn.

con 'to end' in (19) is definitely a verb because it occurs after the subject khaw 'he' and before the object mum 'corner' and it can be negated. It seems to me that both con 'to end' in (19) and (20) signify the same meaning with (19) showing a propositional meaning and (20) showing a functional meaning.

- 1.7 to connect, to build, to continue
 - (21) phom too pleen caak khruu I build song leave teacher I practiced the song with my teacher.
 - (22) thanaay yin khamroon too lawyer file complaint connect saan court

 The lawyer filed the complaint to the court.

to connect, to build, to continue in (21) is definitely a verb because it occurs after the subject phom I' and can be negated. to connect, to build, to continue in (22) is a member of a verb string y++ khamron to file the complaint with shows a functional meaning.

2. STATIVE VERBS MISTAKENLY CALLED PREPOSITIONS

Some words which are called prepositions in traditional Thai grammar are stative verbs or descriptive verbs, such as klay 'far' and Klây 'near'.

- 2.1 klay 'far'
 - (23) bâan khắw yùu klay home he be far His house is far (away).

- (24) bâan khẳw yùu mây klay home he be not far His house is not far (away).
- (25) bâan khẩw yùu klay càak bâan chắn home he be far leave home I house is far from my house.
- (26) bâan khảw yùu klay bâan chắn home he be far home I His house is far from my house.

klay 'far' in (23) and (24) is definitely a verb because it can be negated as in (24). klay 'far' in (25) is a member of a verb string yùu klay càak 'to be far away from' showing that it is a verb and klay 'far' in (26) is structurally and semantically the same as in (25) except càak 'to leave, to depart' is deleted in (26).

- 2.2 klây 'near'
 - (27) bâan raw yùu klây kan home we be near each other Our houses are near each other.
 - (28) bâan raw yùu mây klây kan home we be not near each other Our houses are not near each other.

klây 'near' in (27) and (28) is definitely a verb because it can be negated as in (28).

3. NOUNS MISTAKENLY CALLED PREPOSITIONS

I believe that any word which can be modified by one of the determiners. nii 'this', nán 'that', or nóon 'that yonder' is called a noun. Thus, a classifier is a noun under the above definition as also in Uppakitsinlapasan (1953: 21-28). I consider khɔʻɔŋ 'thing', hæŋ 'place', †hii 'place', nay 'inside', nɔʻɔk 'outside', bon 'top', nâa 'face', laň 'back', klaaŋ 'middle', khâaŋ 'side', nia' 'north', tâay 'south', rim 'edge, rim', mia 'time', tâŋtææ 'time starting', tææ 'starting from 'are nouns according to the above definition. Starosta (1967) and Kullavanijaya (1974: 88-91) call this type of noun "noun auxiliary"; Clark (1975: 239-243), Clark and Prasithrathsint (1985: 53-62), and Sayankena (1985: 82-83) call it "relator noun'.

- 3.1 khoon thing'
 - (29) s‡a khẳon khun sửay shirt thing you pretty Your shirt is pretty.

a noun phrase with a string of three nouns, where khɔɔŋ 'thing' is a noun according to the above definition. We ignore the translation of khɔɔŋ 'thing' as 'of' in English. Prasithrathsint (1985: 94-95) also considers khɔɔŋ 'thing (of)' as a noun.

3.2 hæn 'place'

15 85

(30) thuk khon tônkaan lôok hần each person want world place săntìphâap peace

Everyone wants a peaceful world.

hàn 'place' in (30) is a noun in the noun string lôok hàn sắntìphâap 'world of peace'.

3.3 thii 'place'

(31) khảw kamlan cả pay hảa
he progressive will go visit
khun thì i bâan
you place home
He is going to visit you at home.

3.4 nay 'inside'

(32) phòm kèp p∔∔n way nay I keep gun keep inside Iînchàk nîi drawer this

I kept my gun in this drawer.

nay 'inside' in (32) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase nay linchák nii 'in this drawer'.

3.5 nôok 'outside'

(33) khẩw yɨɨn roo yửu nôok bâan he stand wait be outside home He stood waiting outside the house.

 $n \hat{o} \times k$ 'outside' in (33) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase $n \hat{o} \times k$ bâan 'outside the house'.

3.6 bon 'top'

(34) năŋs¥÷ khray waaŋ yùu bon book who place be top tố nấn table that

Whose book is placed on that table? bon 'top' in (34) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase bon to nan 'on the table'.

3.7 nâa 'face'

(35) ph‡an phŏm roo yùu nâa ráan friend I wait be face store

My friend is waiting for me in front of the store.

nâa 'face' in (35) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase yùu nâa ráan 'in front of the store'.

3.8 lån 'back'

(36) dèk dèk lên kan yùu child child play each other be lắŋ wát back temple
Some children are playing behind the temple.

lắŋ 'back' in (36) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase lắŋ wat 'back of the temple'.

3.9 klaan 'middle'

(37) khẩw nân klaan thànổn he sit middle street He sits in the middle of the street.

klaan 'middle' in (37) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase klaan thanon 'in the middle of the street'.

3.10 khâaŋ 'side'

(38) †həə yɨɨn khiaŋ khâaŋ khẩw she stand close side he saməy always She always stands side - by - side with him.

khâaŋ 'side' in (38) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase khâaŋ khẩw 'on his side'.

3.11 rim 'edge, rim'

(39) khảw y∔∔n rim sà he stand edge pond He stood on the edge of the pond. rim 'edge, rim' in (39) is a relator head noun

in the noun phrase rim sà 'on the edge of the pond'.

3.12 n¥a 'north'

(40) khảw theen khẳon way nia hùa he place thing keep north head He places something on top of his head.

n + a 'north' is a relator head noun in the noun phrase n + a hua 'on the top of his head'.

3.13 tâay 'south'

(41) mææw noon tâay to cat sleep south table The cat is sleeping under the table.

tâay 'south' in (41) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase tâay tó 'under the table'.

3.14 m‡a 'time'

(42) khảw maa m‡a chaaw he come time morning He came this morning. m + a 'time' in (42) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase m + a chaaw 'this morning'.

3.15 tâŋtææ 'time starting'
(43) khẩw maa tâŋtææ

he come time starting
† i i hâa
strike five

He has come since 5.00 a.m.

 $t\hat{a}\eta t\hat{k}$ 'time starting' in (43) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase $t\hat{a}\eta t\hat{k}$ ti h \hat{a} 'since 5.00 a.m.'.

3.16 † www 'starting from'

(44) khun maa tæ nåy
you come starting from where
Where do you come from?

†ææ 'starting from' in (44) is a relator head noun in the noun phrase †ææ nåy 'from where'.

4. CONJUNCTIONS MISTAKENLY CALLED PREPOSITIONS

Some words such as, ph‡a 'for', †ææ 'but', sămràp' for', kàp 'with' which are called prepositions in Uppakitsinlapasan (1953: 64) or intrinsic or non-derived prepositions in Kullavanijaya (1974: 72-81) are considered conjunctions, not prepositions, because they conjoin two sentences.

- 4.1 ph‡a 'for'
 - (45) phốm thammaan nàk phia lûuk
 I work hard for child
 phốm cà yuu yàan sabaay
 I will be kind happy
 I work hard so that my children
 will live happily.
 - (46) phom thamnaan nak phaa lûuk I work hard for child I work hard for my children.

I consider (46) is derived from (45) where p h $\mbox{\mbox{\mbox{$^{\circ}$}}}$ a 'for ' is a conjunction.

- 4.2 † *ææ 'but'
 - (47) khảw mây chôop thamnaan tà:

 he not like work but

 khảw chôop thìaw

 he like roam

 He does not like to work but he likes

 to roam around.
 - (48) khảw chôop từ thiaw he like but roam He likes to roam around only.

- (48) is considered to derive from (47), but a negative is also deleted.
 - 4.3 sămràp 'for'
 - (49) raw mi i rôt sắmràp raw nâŋ we have car for we sit We have a car for riding in.
 - (50) raw mii rót sắmràp nâŋ we have car for sit We have a car for riding in.

samrap 'for' in (49) and (50) functions as a conjunction conjoining two sentences.

- 4.4 kap 'with, and'
 - (51) phôo kin khâaw kàp mâæ father eat rice and mother (kin khâaw) (eat rice)

Father eats with mother.

It clearly shows that kap 'with, and ' in (51) is a conjunction.

(52) phom tham man kap mii
I make it with hand
I made it with my own hand.

kàp 'with' in (52) is a variant of dûay 'with', an instrumental marker, which is derived from cháy 'to use', to be discussed below.

5. DERIVATION OF WORDS IN THE DEEP STRUCTURE TO NEW WORDS

dûay 'with' and kææ 'to' are considered prepositions in Uppakitsinlapasan (1953: 64) and also in Kullavanija (1974: 74), but I consider them being derived from cháy 'to use' and hây 'to give' respectively.

- 5.1 dûay 'with'
 - (53) khảw cháy chíon kin khâaw he use spoon eat rice He uses a spoon in eating.
 - (54) khẩw kin khâaw dûay choon he eat rice with spoon He eats rice with a spoon.
- (54) is derived from (53) where a movement transformation is applied and cháy 'to use' becomes dûay 'with' to signify an instrumental case (Warotamasikkhadit 1986, 239 246). kàp 'with' can be a variant of dûay 'with' as in (52).

- 5.2 kæææ 'to'
 - (55) khảw hấy ŋən khảw hấy lûuk he give money he give child He gives the money to his children
 - (56) khẩw hấy ŋən (kææ) lûuk

 he give money to child

 He gives the money to his children.

I consider kææ 'to',5 derived from hây 'to give' to signify a dative case.

6. CONCLUSION

It should be concluded that the Thai language does not contain prepositions. Some words which are called prepositions in traditional Thai grammar are verbs, nouns, conjunctions, or a derivation of other words. There are still further problems concerning prepositions to be investigated.

NOTES

- 1. If we follow Uppakitsinlapasan strictly, numerals will be considered prepositions because they precede classifiers which are also nouns.
- 2. Uppakitsinlapasan (1953: 59) states that a word, a preposition, is inserted in front of a noun translated from Pali words to signify the Pali declension, such as, ?an w\hat{a} theewadaa 'god (nominative)', s\hat{h}\text{n} theewadaa 'god (accusative)', d\hat{u}ay theewadaa 'god (instrumental)', k\hat{a} theewadaa 'god (dative)', and kh\hat{a} theewadaa 'god (vocative)'.
- 3. Curme (1962: 27) defines a preposition as "a word that connects a noun, or pronoun with a verb, adjective, or another noun or pronoun by indicating a relationship between the things for which they stand." Fries (1952: 95-96) calls what others call prepositions "function words group F".
 - 4. † *** 'starting from' is homophonous to † *** 'but', a conjunction.
- 5. dæ 'to' is considered a variant of kæ 'to' used in addressing the Royal family, any high personage, or a group of Buddhist monks.

REFERENCES

- Clark, Marybeth. 1975. Coverbs and case in Vietnamese. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Hawaii.
- Clark, Marybeth and Amara Prasithrathsint. 1985. Synchronic lexical derivation in Southeast Asian languages. In Suriya Ratanakul, David Thomas, and Suwilai Premsrirat. (Eds.) Southeast Asian Linguistic Studies presented to Andre G. Haudricourt, 34 74. Bangkok: Institute of Language and Culture for Rural Development, Mahidol University.
- Curme, George O. 1962. English Grammar. New York: Barnes & Noble, Inc.
- Filbeck, David. 1975. A grammar of verb serialization in Thai. In Jimmy G. Harris and James R. Chamberlain (Eds.) Studies in Tai Linguistics in Honor of William J. Gedney. 112 129. Bangkok: Central Institute of English Language, Office of State Universities.
- Fries, Charles C. 1952. The Structure of English. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company.
- Haas, Mary R. and Heng S. Subhank. 1948. Spoken Thai, Book Two. New York: Henry Holt Company. Kullavanijaya, Pranee. 1974. Transitive Verbs in Thai. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Hawaii.
- Prasithrathsint, Amara. 1985. Change in the Passive Constructions in Written Thai during the Bangkok Period. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Hawaii.
- Sayankena, Lertdow. 1985. Verbs in Phu Thai: A Lexicase Analysis. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Hawaii. Starosta, Stanley. 1967. Sora Syntax: A Generative Approach to a Munda Language. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Hawaii.
- Uppakitsinlapasan, Phraya. 1953. Siamese Grammar. Bangkok: Thai Wattana Phanich.
- Warotamasikkhadit, Udom. 1972. Thai Syntax: An Outline. The Hague, Mouton.
- Patcharin Peyasantiwong. (Eds.) Papers from a Conference on Thai Studies in Honor of William J. Gedney. 239 246. Center for South and Southeast Asian Studies, The University of Michigan. Whitney, William Dwight. 1889. Sanskrit Grammar. London: Oxford University Press.