Notes on Laha final -l

Weera Ostapirat

University of California, Berkeley

1. Laha is among the few Tai-Kadai languages which possess a seemingly exotic final, -1, in addition to the normal set of stop and nasal endings. It has been tempting to believe that this -1 will give us another clue for linking Tai-Kadai with Austronesian. As a matter of fact there are examples which may support this expectation, e.g. Laha jil⁶/zil⁶: Malay kecil 'young/small', Laha ŋal³: Malay beŋal 'deaf'. Certain forms such as the following, however, remind us that there may be more than one possible AN final corresponding to Laha -1:

'fat/oil'		'rain'	
Laha Formosan:	mạl¹	Laha Formosan:	jąl²
Ami	simár	Paiwan	qúd'al
Bunun	símal	Bunun	hóđan
Saaroa	?imarə	Saaroa	usałə
*PMP	himaR	*PMP	quzan

We will discuss this more, in connection with other Tai-Kadai languages, in section $\bf 3$.

2. AN is certainly not the only Southeast Asian language family which has liquid finals. Both Tibeto-Burman and Austroasiatic possess such finals as well, and either could possibly be sources of Laha -l. For instance, we may also find good matches for 'fat/oil' spread throughout TB subgroups: (Himalayish) Tibetan mar Cuona Monpa mor³⁵, (Nungish) Dulung mor³⁵km³⁵, (Kamarupan) Damu mar-kw, (Qiangic) Gyarung kə ne mer, etc.

Also, such Laha forms as those for 'mouth' and 'flower' seem to be most closely related to Tibeto-Burman:

'mouth'1		'flower'	
Laha	mul ¹	Laha	bal ²
Maring	mur	Meche	bibar
Tangkhul	khə-mor	Bodo	bibár?
Khoibu	mur	Garo	bibal
Limbu	mur-a	Kokborok	bo-bar
Haka Lai	hmur²	Dimasa (blossom)	bar-
Tibetan (gills)	mur	Tibetan (blossom)	'bar-ba

Note Malay kemur/kumur 'revolving in the mouth', reconstructed by Dempwolff as PMP *kemuy/*kumuy.

It should be noted here, however, that evidence of TB liquid finals has been found mostly in western branches. Lolo-Burmese speakers, which we assume to be the ones who have most likely come in contact with Laha, have not retained such finals well. For example, Naxi (Lijiang) ma³¹, Yi (Dafang) mi³³ fat/oil'²; Bisu man-pɔŋ, Hani (Dazhai) me³¹ bɔ³¹, Lisu (Nujiang) mw³¹lw³⁵, Yi (Dafang) mi¹³pu²¹ < Proto-Loloish *(C)me² 'mouth'; Burmese pan, Zaiwa pan²¹, Lisu (Northern) ba³⁵ba⁴⁴, Naxi (Lijiang) ba³¹ (but note Nusu vaı⁵³aı³¹) 'flower'. Thus, if the forms came through contact, we may have to assume that the Lolo-Burmese people in question must still have kept the liquid final(s) or that the contact had antedated Proto-Lolo-Burmese.3

Another etymon, 'fly (v.)', is worth noting here. There seem to be at least two possible TB affiliations for this word, *pur/pir and *byer. The former is probably related to Laha and Kam-Sui, the latter with Tai:

	'fly (v.) ₂ '	
po'l ⁴	Saek	buil A1
pən C1	Siamese	bin A1
⁷ phur-ba	Bokar	bjar
mphər	Abor-Miri	ber
phir	Bahing	byer
pihri ba	Gurung	bir ^{fi} -la
per ^{fi} ma	Rumdali	berö
pE:r-	Limbu	bersi
pyur ^h -wa	Damu	biar ra
perte	Dulong	bĕı ⁵³
pjen ³³	Trung	biel
	pon C1 'phur-ba mphor phir pihri ba perfi ma pE:r- pyurfi-wa	po'l ⁴ Saek pən Cl Siamese 'phur-ba Bokar mphər Abor-Miri phir Bahing pihri ba Gurung perfi ma Rumdali pE:r- Limbu pyurfi-wa Damu perte Dulong

This word, however, seems to be a Pan-SEA etymon⁵, also widespread in Austroasiatic languages. The AA forms seem to belong to 'fly1'.

Praj (Khmuic)	phar	Kui (Katuic)	paar
Mu'o'ng (Vietic)	păl	Jeh (Bahnaric)	păl
Nyahkur (Monic)	phar	Proto-Waic	*pyr

Lolo-Burmese languages use other roots in this area, including *tsil for the noun (WB chi, 'fat, oil', khran-chi 'marrow') and *tsow for the stative verb 'be fat' (WB chu, N. Lisu tshu33,

Another choice would be to stipulate that some of those western TB people used to be

Note various related Old/Middle Chinese forms piwer/pjwei A, piwen/piuen A, and piwon/piuon C. The last one has departing tone corresponding well with Lakkja phon B1. Still another TB root for 'fly' with labial initial is *byam.

5 Related forms because the

Related forms have not been well attested in AN. But note some of the following Formosan forms: Paiwan (Makazayazaya) mipərpər, Saaroa miapilili.

In connection with Austroasiatic, we may further mention words like the following:

	Laha	A	A
'arrow' 'grandchild'	lal³ klal³	Mương Mương	lãl klal 'boy/child'
'bamboo shoot'	pul ³	Mương	pũl
'buy' 'shallow' ⁶	col ³ dơl ³	Kui PW	toor *dpl/r;
		NyK	kəd <u>a</u> al
'tasty'	mạl²	NyK	mal

Also worth noticing is Laha lol^1 'tongue' 7 Sach (Vietic) lal (Vietnamese $lu\ddot{o}i < PMK*-s$, cf. Thavung las etc.). The similarity between the forms for 'tongue' could be accidental, but note the parallel in Saek tel^6 'mushroom' Bulang (Palaungic) tul^1 , Proto-Waic *tes.

- 3. The other two Tai-Kadai languages known to have final -1 are a Baisha dialect of Hlai (Wang and Qian 1951) and Saek. Since these languages represent separate branches of Tai-Kadai, it would be interesting to see what connection their -1 finals could have with each other.
- 3.1. Baisha -l usually corresponds to - \mathbf{w} in other Hlai dialects. It appears, however, only after Proto-Hlai *- \mathbf{k} -. For instance, Baisha $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{w}^1$ Baoding $\mathbf{v} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{w}^1$ 'navel', but Baisha $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{la} \mathbf{:} \mathbf{l}^3$ Baoding $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{la} \mathbf{w}^3$ 'near'. It is thus possible to consider this -l as a conditioned reflex of final *- \mathbf{w} , which may be phonetically assumed to be a velar approximant - \mathbf{v} or a velarized - \mathbf{l} .

Laha usually has zero final corresponding to Baisha -18; this Laha development resembles Jiamao (a Hlai language).

⁶ Siamese has twwn C1. The root is not very widespread within Tai (no reconstructed form in Li's Proto-Tai). Waic forms point to a voiced initial, but cf. also Kui nthur. The connection among these forms is uncertain (note that both Kui and Nyahkur normally distinguish final -r and -l).

⁷ Proto-Tai shows initial *1-: Siamese lin, Saek lin C2. But Hlai forms seem to point to *t1-, Savina's Hiai tlien, Baisha ii:ŋ⁵⁵, Heitu dien. The PMP reconstruction is *dilah, with somewhat irregular reflexes in daughter languages: Tagalog dilah, Malay lidah, Hova lela'. Some Formosan languages from old sources show interesting trisyllabic forms: Favorlang tatsira, Siraya dadila(h), which may explain some peculiarities. The connection among the forms is uncertain.

⁸ Note that all these forms have tone *3 in Hlai, a fact which may turn out to be significant later.
9 For instance, Jiamao la¹ 'near' and tha¹ 'short'.

	Baisha	Baoding	Laha	Tai (Lungming)
'near' 'light (≠heavy)' 'short (≠tall)' 'grandma'	pla:l ³	plaw ³	kla¹	kjaur C1
	kha:l ³	khaw ³	kha ⁶	-10
	t'a:l ³	thaw ³	ta ⁶	taur C1 'below' ¹¹
	t [a:l ³	tsaw ³	ia⁴	jaa B2

However, there are also Laha forms like mal2 'new' and pal3 'wilderness' which have similar Tai rhyme reflexes of the above type (cf. Lungming mam B1 and paa B1 respectively). 12 We may temporarily assume *- $\frac{1}{2}$ > Laha -1 after -a-, but *- $\frac{1}{2}$ > Laha -w after -a- (and then -a->-a following -w > - \emptyset). The development seems to mirror that of Baisha, where -1 > -1 after -a- (with then the lengthening of the preceding vowel). As we have just seen, however, the short/long (-ă-/-a-) distinction does not always match with that of Tai (cf. 'grandma' and 'new').

'Grandchild' is a good form which shows Laha -l corresponding to Baisha -l and to -n in most other languages. We may temporarily label this type of correspondence *-1. The validity of certain examples here remains tentative.

	Baisha	Baoding	Laha	Others
'grandchild' 'return' 'rise'	la:l¹ pa:l¹ va:l²	- pəш² ?waur²	klal³ pơl¹ 'turn' ơl³ 'turn up'	laan ² (Saek) pen ⁵⁵ (Gelao)

3.2. Saek -l appears in almost a hundred forms and may follow any Laha often has -1 corresponding to Saek -1, but also has -1 corresponding to Saek -n in certain forms. Where Baisha forms can be found, they normally show -n (<-n) for these words:

	Laha	Saek	Baisha	Tai (Siamese)
*-L 'snore' 13, 14	kal ³	tlel ¹	fa:n¹	kron A1
'husked rice'	∫al ⁵	saal ²	1a.1j -	saan A1
'shake' 'fat/oil' ¹⁵	∫ạl⁴ mal¹	sel ⁶ mal	-	san B1 man A2
'toad'	jal ⁱ³	γ <i>al</i> 4	$(ka:1^2)$	khan- A2 (Lao)
'shallow'	dol ³	-	t'ɯŋ¹	twwn C1

¹⁰ Cf. NyK (Nam Lau dialect) hygal, (Taling Chan dialect) khəygal.

¹¹ Cf. NyK (Nam Lau dialect) cal, (Taling Chan dialect) cgr 'short/low'. Siamese (and most Southwestern Tai) also have san C1 'short'. The connection among the forms is uncertain.

12 Many Hlai dialects, including Baisha, have another form for 'new': no³. But note Heitu

main and Baoding pain.

13 Note the following TB forms: Thakali kohr-kor, Thulung khor-, Khaling khor-, Dimasa goro, Chamling ghura, Pattani gor-gor-si.

14 For Saek, Gedney also lists other variants: tlen and trel.

¹⁵ The Saek form is from Haudricourt (1963). Gedney (1993) has man4.

	Laha	Saek	Baisha	Tai (Siamese)
*-N				
'tongue'	lơl¹	li:n ⁶	łi:ŋ³	lin C2
'rain'	jąl²	vuin²	foŋ¹	fon A1
'swallow'16	dol ³	tlwwn¹	-	klwwn A1

The last examples in both sets ('shallow' and 'swallow') are tentative, since either the Saek or Baisha form is lacking. For instance, if Baisha had -1 for 'swallow', the word should be included together with forms like 'grandchild'.

3.3. We may summarize the tentative correspondence sets relating Baisha, Laha, and Saek -1 as follows:

	Laha	Baisha	Saek	Tai
*-1				
/*-ă-	-Ø	-1	-w (>y)	-w
/*-a-	-l	(-Ø)	-Ø `	-Ø
*-1	-1	-1	-n	-n
*-L	-l	-n	-l	-n
*-N	-l	-n	-n	-n

3.4 It may be possible to speculate about the phonetic values of -L and -N. For -l, the plausible related forms in languages outside Kadai normally point to final -r: 'fly (v.)' Saek bull' (TB) Bahing byer; 'crow (v.)' Saek hal?, (AA) Kui kaar, Ngeq takar; 'dust' Saek mul4 (AN) Iban amor¹⁷; 'sow/scatter' Saek vaal⁶, (AN) Malay sebar, Ngaju-Dayak sawar, (TB) Chepang war-sa, etc. Thus, we may set up *-r or retroflex *-l. This will remain tentative and its tenure will depend on many factors including the validity of other sets such as *-l and *-l, and the possible influence of early preceding vowels. 18

The value of -N is trickier and examples are few. For 'rain', the AN forms were illustrated at the beginning of this paper and are extended below. The forms show -n in MP but -I and similar sounds in most Formosan languages, a distinction which has led to the reconstructed sound labelled as *-N in the Austronesian field. This same Austronesian *-N, when appearing initially or medially in forms which I consider cognate with Tai-Kadai, is best assumed to

¹⁶ The younger generation of Saek speakers have variants truwn and truwl. The latter form is noted as a hypercorrection by Gedney. (The younger generation's speech normally lacks -1).

17 But note (AA) Pacoh amul, Ngeq muul 'dirty', which point to final *-1. These languages normally kept the contrast between -1 and -r.

¹⁸ For instance, the Nyahkur form for 'light (≠heavy)' khəygal points to final *-1 in that language and may suggest the early merger of TK *-1 and *-1 after a certain vowel, say, -¾. We must be cautious, however, with arguments like this, since the forms are being compared across (presumably) separate language families and their connection may be doubtful. In addition, it is not absolutely certain, especially for those who believe in the Austric hypothesis, that AA never had more than two kinds of liquid-like endings.

be a TK retroflex nasal *p-, normally reflected as n- in the Southern branch of Tai but as r- or similar sounds in Northern (Zhuang-Yay).

	'water'	'rain' ¹⁹
Southern Tai		
Siamese	nam C2	fon A1'
Lungchow	nam C2	phən A1
Northern Tai (Zhuang-Yay)		•
Wuming	ram C2	fun A1
Yay	ram C2	hun A1
Saek	nam C2	vun A1'
Laha	(ung ⁶)	jal ²
Formosan	` • •	J.
Saaroa	sałumu	usałə
Paiwan	zálum	qúd'al
Thao	θá:ðum	qu:θað
Saisiyat	ralum	?ä-?öral
Bunun	đanom	hóđan
PMP	*danum	*quzan
PAN	*DaNum	*quZaN

On this basis, we may assume *-n, which became -n in most languages but -l in Laha. For 'swallow (v.)', AN has a possibly related form: Malay telan, Ngaju-Dayak telen < PMP *tolon. The final might just as well go back to *-N but the related Formosan forms have not been attested. There is room for argument against our assumption, however. For instance, the word for 'moon' also has PAN *-N, but its reflexes in all Tai-Kadai related forms, including Laha's, simply point to TK final *-n: PAN *bulaN > PMP *bulan; (Formosan) Saaroa bulaio, Thao fú:ral, Bunun foan; TK, Siamese dwan Al, Saek blian Al, Laha dan². There is a possible way out of this difficulty by explaining variant reflexes of Laha as conditioned by preceding vowels (cf. the case of *-l discussed in 3.1). In any case, the assumption remains highly tentative.

4. Laha -I may turn out to be a more complex story than expected. First, we may be dealing with a mixture of real cognates and loans, and the dividing line between the two has not been always clear. Second, it seems probable that there is more than one source of this Laha -I, in terms of both language source and original endings. But this small study of Laha -I reminds us of one thing: the area between Northern Vietnam and Southern China may have been a linguistic crossroads where the once easternmost TB, northernmost AA and perhaps southwesternmost TK intersected.

¹⁹ While Laha jal^2 simply resulted from the loss of the first syllable of the original form, Tai fon A1 or the like, with a fricative labial initial, arose from clusterizing the first syllable with the weakened initial of the second into qwj- (qwjan < quZaN). Such Kam-Sui forms as Then xwen A2, Mulam kwon A1 will help readers to see better the connection among the forms.

ABBREVIATIONS

AA	Austroasiatic	PMP	Proto-Malayo-Polynesian
AN	Austronesian	PW	Proto-Waic
MP	Malayo-Polynesian	SEA	Southeast Asia
NyK	Nyahkur (Monic)	TB	Tibeto-Burman
•	(Tha-Pong dialect)	TK	Tai-Kadai
PAN	Proto-Austronesian	WT	Written Tibetan
PMK	Proto-Mon-Khmer		

REFERENCES

- Benedict, Paul K. 1972. Sino-Tibetan: a Conspectus. Contributing Editor, James A. Matisoff. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Blust, Robert R. 1993. "Central and Central-Eastern Malayo-Polynesian." Oceanic Linguistics 32.2:241-293.
- Bradley, David. 1979. Proto-Loloish. London: Curzon Press.
- Chéon, Jean N. 1907. "Note sur les dialectes Nguôn-Săc et Mương." Bulletin de l'Ecole Française d'Extrême-Orient 7:87-100.
- Dempwolff, Otto. 1938. "Vergleichende Lautlehre des Austronesischen Wortschatzes, Bd.3: Austronesisches Wörterverzeichnis." Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für Eingeborenen Sprachen, Bd.19.
- Diffloth, Gérard. 1980. "The Wa languages." Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area 5.2:1-182.
- _____. 1984. The Dvaravati-Old Mon Language and Nyah Kur. (Monic Language Studies, 1). Bangkok: Chulalongkorn University Press.
- Driem, Sjors van. 1987. A Grammar of Limbu. The Hague: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Ferlus, Michel. 1975. "Vietnamien et Proto-Viet-Muong." Asie du Sud-Est et Monde Insulindien 6.4: 21-56.
- Ferrell, Raleigh. 1969. Taiwan Aboriginal Groups: Problems in cultural and linguistic classification. Monograph no.17. Taipei: Institute of Ethnology, Academia Sinica.

- Gedney, William J. 1991a. William J. Gedney's the Yay Language: Glossary, Texts, and Translations. ed. by Thomas J. Hudak. Center for South and Southeast Asian Studies. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan.
- _____. 1991b. William J. Gedney's the Tai Dialect of Lungming: Glossary, Texts, and Translations. ed. by Thomas J. Hudak. Center for South and Southeast Asian Studies. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan, .
- _____. 1993. William J. Gedney's the Saek language: Glossary, Texts, and Translations. ed. by Thomas J. Hudak. Center for South and Southeast Asian Studies. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan.
- Gradin, Dwight and Patrick Cohen. 1970. Jeh Rhyming Dictionary: Jeh-English-Vietnamese . Ms.
- Haudricourt, André-G. 1963. "La langue Saek." Proceedings of the International Congress of Orientalists 25.4: 414-5. Moscow.
- He Jiashan. 1983. A Sketch of the Gelao Language. Beijing: Nationalities Publishing House.
- Huang Bufan et al., eds. 1992. A Tibeto-Burman Lexicon . Beijing: Central Institute of Nationalities.
- Karlgren, Bernhard. 1957. Grammata Serica Recensa. Bulletin of the Museum of Far Eastern Antiquities, 29. Stockholm.
- Li Daoyong, Yue Xiren and Zhou E-feng. 1986. A Sketch of the Bulang Language (in Chinese). Beijing: Nationalities Publishing House.
- Li Fang-Kuei. 1968. "Notes on the Then or Yanghuang language: glossary." Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology 40:397-504.
- _____. 1977. A Handbook of Comparative Tai. Honolulu: The University Press of Hawaii.
- Liang Min. 1980. A Sketch of the Kam Language (in Chinese). Beijing: Nationalities Publishing House.
- Luce, Gordon H. 1985. Phases of Pre-Pagán Burma: languages and history. Vol. 2. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Marrison, Geoffrey E. 1967. The Classification of the Naga Languages of Northeast India. London: University of London dissertation.
- Mao Zhongwu, Meng Zhaoji and Zheng Zongze. 1982. A Sketch of the Yao Languages (in Chinese). Beijing: Nationalities Publishing House.
- Ouyang Jueya and Zheng Yiqing. 1983. Survey of the Li languages. Beijing: Chinese Academy of Social sciences.
- Prasert Srivises. 1978. Kui (Suai)-Thai-English Dictionary. Bangkok: Indigenous Languages of Thailand Research Project, Chulalongkorn University.
- Savina, François M. 1931. "Lexique Dày-Français, accompagné d'un petit lexique Français-Dày et d'un tableau des différences dialectales." Bulletin de l'Ecole Française d'Extrême-Orient 31: 103-99.
- Solntsev, V. M. and Huang Van Ma. 1986. Jazyk Laxa. Moscow: Nauka.
- Sun Hongkai et al. (eds.). 1991. Tibeto-Burman Phonology and Lexicon. Beijing: Chinese Social Sciences Press.
- Smith, Ronald L. 1970. Ngeq Rhyme Dictionary: Ngeq-Lao-English. Ms.
- Tsuchida, Shigeru. 1976. Reconstruction of Proto-Tsouic Phonology. (Study of Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa, Monograph series, 5.) Tokyo.
- Wang Jun and Zheng Guoqiao. 1980. A Sketch of the Mulao Language (in Chinese). Beijing: Nationalities Publishing House.
- Wang Li and Qian Sun. 1951. "First steps in the White Sand Li language of Hainan." (in Chinese) Linguan Science Journal 2.11:253-300.
- Watson, Richard L., Sandra Watson, and Cubuat. 1979. Pacoh Dictionary: Pacoh-Vietnamese-English. Ms.
- Weidert, Alfons. 1987. Tibeto-Burman Tonology. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.