NOMINAL COMBINING FORMS IN SORA AND GORUM

Arlene R. K. Zide

Munda Languages Project, University of Chicago

1. Introduction

Sora and Gorum¹ are sister languages spoken in various parts of southern Orissa and Andhra Pradesh, India. Together with Juray they form a subbranch of the Koraput Munda branch of the South Munda family.

As in Gta?, another Koraput Munda language, we find in Sora and Gorum an old set of syntactic-semantic structures, presumably reconstructable for South Munda, which use, in a variety of constructions, combining forms (CFs) or compositional "short forms" of longer full form (FF) nouns. Truncated or otherwise shortened bound morphemes, these CFs are derived from underlying FF nominals. They may be attached to verb roots as their object forming complex verb stems which can be inflected for tense, aspect, and so on. Also found are complex nominal forms consisting of a series of CFs or created by addition of a CF to a FF or by addition of one or more CFs to a verb root. In Sora, which preserves these phenomena in a relatively active state, we find a considerable variety of such derivationally related nominals. In Gorum, which has not preserved this derivational process, we nonetheless find forms which can be shown to have been derived

a similar fashion at some earlier period. The following amples illustrate these details:

verbal derivatives

. + CF:

Sora don-gel 'to shoot forth' (of an ear of corn), < FF gele 'ear of corn' > CF -gel.

Gorum gal-ba?a 'to tie a turban' (literally 'tie-head'), <
 FF bab 'head' > CF -ba?a. Cf. Sora FF bo?ob > CF -bəb,
 probably < Sora-Gorum *bo?ob.</pre>

nominal derivatives

. + CF + CF:

Gorum kid-suŋ-kui 'widow' (literally 'die-house-woman'), < FF (aHsuŋ) 'house' > CF -suŋ and FF ku'j=ol 'woman' > CF -kui.

' + CF:

Sora ərɨb-da 'buttermilk' (literally 'milk-water'), < FF ərɨb 'milk' and FF da?a 'water' > CF -da.

' + CF + CF:

Sora ason-beb-mer 'insolent man' (literally 'feces-head-man', one who defecates on one's head), < FF below 'head' > CF -beb and FF menra 'man' > CF -mer.

The present paper is concerned with the phonological probems involved in deriving CFs from FF nominals and seeks to reente possible derivational rules in Sora and Gorum. In addition,
e will be suggested that CFs in Sora and Sora-Gorum are derived
by rules differing little from those operative in Gta?.

FF and CF Data

Monosyllabic CFs of FF nominals compounded with verb roots re exemplified by the following sentence:

kerib-ñen mal-jom-jɛl-mər-te
2 1 3 5 4
 "My sword longs to eat human flesh."
1 2 3 4 5

Here -mer is the CF of menra 'man' while -jɛl is the CF of jɛlu 'meat, flesh'. In the following sentence -bɔŋ, the CF of bɔŋtɛl 'buffalo', is used in the same way:

gad-bon-te-ji
3 4 2 1

"They are cutting (= slaughtering) the buffalo."
1 2 3 2 4

CF nominals may also be attached to other nominals to form compounds of a descriptive or particularizing type such as sidtri-kul 'gruel made from *Eleusine coracana*' (< kuru 'gruel, porridge' > CF -kul) or verbal-nominal combinations such as jom-mer-kid 'man-eater' (literally 'eat-man-tiger') (< kina 'tiger' > CF -kid). A representative list, phonetically accura for the Sora dialect of Tabono Savra of Serango, of FFs and their corresponding CFs is given in the Appendix.

3. Previous Treatments4

No exhaustive statement of CF derivational rules has been made other than the fairly complete listing of forms by Ramamur ti (1931) and an attempt at derivational treatment by Biligiri (1965).

Biligiri's exposition accounts for most CFs in the languag

t his approach is narrowly descriptive, with its main emphas on mechanical "classes" rather than on rules which might acunt for CFs and their relationship both to their parent FFs d to other derivational rules in the language. The six deriptive classes he has set up appear to have no basis in the rphology or phonology either of synchronic Sora or of diaronic, internally reconstructed pre-Sora. Somewhat arbitrari-, these classes are based on the behavior of final consonants d final vowels in FFs and are held to show "similar contracons." Thus Class I derives its CFs by dropping the first wel and following consonant of the FF, and includes such diergent forms as ji?i 'tooth' > CF -ji, ləbɔ 'earth' > CF -lɔ. d rεnen 'wing' > CF -ren. Class II CFs are derived by dropping .l but the initial (C)VC of the FF, as in bontε| 'buffalo' > CF oon, dango 'stick' > CF -dan, and ola 'leaf' > CF -ol. CFs of ass III are derived by dropping all except the final (C)VC or (C) of the FF: omod 'smoke' > CF -mod, antid 'bird' > CF -tid, su 'fever' > CF -su, but also meme 'breast' > CF -me and bab sago leaf' > CF -ab. In Class IV all but the first CV and last are dropped: kondem 'grain' > CF -kom, kinam 'husk' > CF -kim, wara 'blacksmith' > CF -lur, gunlu 'snail' > CF -gul. Members Class V are said to derive their CFs in two steps. First they re truncated in the manner distinctive of Class I; they then ndergo further truncation of the Class II type: məridsa 'chilli epper' > *midsa > CF mid, sənuru 'rain-hat' > *suru > CF -sur. lass VI is made up of "irregular" (presumably suppletive) forms:

bora 'jackal' > CF -bub, to?od 'mouth' > CF -tam, kətəra 'castor oil' > CF -tel.

It is worthwhile to consider Biligiri's six classes from the point of view of whatever explanatory solution they provide. In his own Class V, requiring two steps identical with those attributed to two other classes, there is certainly a hint that the derivation of CFs from FFs may not be as arbitrary as the classes themselves would imply. It is entirely true, in a mechanical sort of way, that ji?i > -ji, lebb > -lb and renen > -ren (all of Class I) follow one and the same type of change. But to construe this as a "rule" ignores the similarity of the change to that undergone by maridsa (Class V) and identical items such as omod > -mod and ontid > -tid (Class III) as well as the similarity of məridsa and sənuru (both of Class V) with gunlu (Class IV). One can indeed account for all types of contraction in Sora simply by recognizing a sufficient number of classes, in this case six. But, those classes are unsatisfactory in terms of linguistic motivation, or explanation, for what are otherwise merely arbitrary classifications. In terms of linguistic motivation, however, such classes are unsatisfactory, for they are arbitrary groupings which fail to show the rationale of the processes in question. In reality, CFs and their derivational relationships are not as unrelated to other areas of Sora morphology as they appear to be from Biligiri's treatment.

The handling of Gta? contraction by Mahapatra and Zide 972), while offering an imperfect solution because of the ture of the materials, is more linguistically satisfying even ough it fails to fit all details into neat categories or to count for all contractions with equal ease. It is more satising because it is based on morphological patterns exemplified d supported elsewhere in the grammar. It is therefore exterlly as well as internally motivated and provides an integrated cture of the derivational history of such forms.

It has already been suggested that certain of the morpho-

. Derivation of Sora CFs

(V)n-. For example,

gical properties of FF nominals must be assessed before prection of derived CFs can be undertaken with any hope of accuracy. If one works exclusively with synchronic Sora data the redired information is in many instances not retrievable from urface forms. At the same time, information can be retrieved in me cases thanks to the existence in the language of a related erm or forms, such as a verb, from which the FF nominal is itelf derived. Thus getasi 'play' > -gesi may be compared with the verb gesi- 'to play', kenon 'razor' > -kon may be compared the kon- 'to shave', and gen(d)roj 'shame' > -geroj may be compared with geroj- 'to redden, blush, be ashamed'. Elsewhere in the language is found ample evidence of the active derivation of arious types of nominals from verbs by infixation of -(V)r- and

tiy 'to give', > t-ən-iy 'that which is given; giving' and t-ər-iy-tiy 'vessel (= place in which a thing is given)'.

kon 'to shave', > k-ən-on 'razor' (> CF -kon and k-ər-on-kon 'shaving', as in kəronkon-bəb-sɨŋ 'barber-shop' (literally 'shaving-head-house').

gob 'to sit', > g-ər-ob 'seat' (> CF -gob).

boj 'to sew', > b-ər-oj-boj 'needle and thread', b-ən-oj-boj 'sewing', and am-b-ən-oj 'embroidery (= end-product of the act of sewing)', from underlying *Vn-b-Vn-oj.

ga 'to eat', > g-ər-a-ga 'plate' and g-ən-a-ga 'food'.

əb-ga 'to feed', > ər-əb-ga 'spoon, plate, feedingchair' and ən-əb-ga 'food being fed (to an infant)'.

Other types of bisyllabic and polysyllabic FFs are found

which are not necessarily derived from verbs or which are no longer recognized as having been derived from earlier verbal forms. These include nominals with prefixed bound morphemes who may originally have been nothing more than the very common nominalizing prefix (V)n-, such as anur 'scent' > CF -nur, əñum 'urine' > CF -ñum, arenen 'wings' > CF -ren, əsaj 'charcoal' > CF -saj, əsən 'excreta' > CF -sən, əsu 'illness' > CF -su, əndic 'play, sport' > CF -did, əyə 'fish' > CF -yə, abay 'seed' > CF

of conjoined monosyllabic morphemes; these include such items as bontel 'buffalo' > CF -bon, benta 'hunting' > CF -ben, bonsen 'trough' > CF -bon, dankera 'basket of ten measures' > CF -dan, and buroy 'millet' > CF -bur. The morpheme -bur is also seen in

Also found are bisyllabic and polysyllabic stems consisting

-bay, and a jed 'root' > CF - jed.

e FF kəmbur, both buroy and kəmbur designating varieties of llet. The CF $-\epsilon\eta$ is similarly found in $\epsilon\eta$ lud and $\epsilon\eta$ ra, both aning 'cucumber'.

From this much it can be seen that the first step in counting for the derivation of CFs must be the identification FFs which are themselves derived from monosyllabic morphemic minal stems. For nominals derived from verbs, reduction is fected by deinfixation, i.e., removal of -(V)n- or -(V)r- in own instances. For nominals formed by concatenation of seval potentially independent morphemes, truncation is effected deleting the second syllable in most cases. Cases do occur, wever, in which the first syllable must be deleted; this is problem involving accentual patterns, to which we shall return ter in this paper. For nominals with prefixed ə/ə or ən/ən, is presumed that deletion of prefix *V(n) yields the CF.

In addition to morphological affixation we find in Sora, as Gta?, a generalization of truncation and deaffixation rules include "phonological" as well as morphological infixation, that CVCVC and even CVLLV forms are subject to deaffixation des. In Gta? bVle? 'feather' > -be? exemplifies what Mahapatra description at the content of the CVCVC and even CVLLV forms are subject to deaffixation des. In Gta? bVle? 'feather' > -be? exemplifies what Mahapatra description of the CVCVC and even CVLLV forms are subject to deaffixation deaffixation deaffixation of exemplifies what Mahapatra description description of the CVCVC and even for the counterpart of the counterpart of the Gta? example just even in such cases as belied 'feather' > -bed, benim 'anthill' -bim, deran 'house lizard' > -dan, gerij 'tile, potsherd' >

-gij, and even kerib 'sword' > -kib, where -er- and -en- are extended to include other "phonological infixes" of the vowel-plus

tended to include other "phonological infixes" of the vowel-plus continuant shape. The removal of the phonological "infix" yield

a CF from, roughly, the C1V2C3 of the FF.

Two other types of truncation by deaffixation of real affixes occur. One of these is the reduction of reduplicated form to their root, as in the case of saŋsaŋ 'tumeric' > -saŋ, kaka 'crow' -ka, and mɛmɛ 'breast' > -me; similar to the deletion of the prefixes ə/a-, ən/an- is the deletion of prefixes such as kən/kɨn/kin- especially common, with animal names and presumably reflecting *kVn- 'large, primary, generic', and also the deletion of what is probably an old infix *V? which, like VL,5 becomes generalized to include "phonological" infixes under the same rule. Thus, ɔ?ɔn 'child' > -ɔn, u?u 'hair' > -u, ra?a 'elephant' > -ra, si?i 'hand' > -si, ta?al 'spleen' > -tal, and aneb ~ ne?eb 'tree' > -neb.6

than one rule: first, a rule of morphological deaffixation and, secondly, a rule of phonological deinfixation and/or truncation. For example, the CF of endaraj 'brinjal, eggplant' > -daj is derivable either as endaraj > *daraj > -daj or alternatively as endaraj > *endaj > -daj; arenen 'wings' > -ren is derivable either as arenen > *renen > -ren or as arenen > *aren > -ren. Similarly, two rules or steps are required for the derivation of such polysyllables as kerob'pi 'earring' > -kob. Deinfix-ation--phonological in this instance--would first yield

There are polysyllabic forms requiring application of more

ob'pi, which would then become subject to the rule governing e deletion of the second morpheme-syllable, yielding the apopriate CF -kob.

By these means a series of ordered rules is discovered ich account for the derivation of most CFs in the language.

us:

A. Deaffixation:

- a. prefixes ə̃/ã/ə̄n/ān/k្V̄n ————> Ø / ___ CF
- b. infixes $\breve{V}L/(\breve{V})$? ———> ϕ / ___ CF
- e., real and "phonological" infixes and by extension $VC^{[-obs]}$

id 'salt'.⁷

c. reduplication⁸ R
$$\longrightarrow$$
 \emptyset / \longrightarrow [(C)V(C)]_{syll}

B. [CVC] Preference Rules:

terference.

a. loss of an unstressed syllable in the environment of cressed syllable: $[(C)V(C)]_{syll} \longrightarrow \emptyset$ / $([CVC]_{syll})^9$

Thus tárba 'flower' > -tar, lakɨj 'sand' > -kɨj, pisáŋ 'bitter'
> -saŋ, rəgál 'sweet' > -gal, gəmól 'insect' > -mol èléŋ
'salty' > -leŋ, gúrdá 'pus' > -gur, and so on.

There seems to be a general preference or "conspiracy" for CFs of the permissible CVC shape, a first-syllable CVC shape so that we end up with a series of little subrules all seemingly designed to produce CFs of a form which is $[CVC]_{syll}$. Under B we require, for example, a subrule to the effect that,

given a FF of shape CVLV where \mathbf{V}_1 is not unstressed, the preferred CF form is CVL:

c. V

----> Ø / CVL-#¹⁰

al' > -bor, bóró 'hoe' > -bor, márá 'peacock' > -mar, márá
'loft, shelf' > -mal, múré 'boil' > -mur, kóró 'red-faced
monkey' > kor, kórá 'oven' > -kol, búlú 'thigh' > -bul, kúrú
'gruel' > -kul, sòró 'paddy' > -sər, jórá 'stream' > -jol,
gélé 'ear of grain' > -gəl, bòró 'lungs' > -bər, pòrí 'cluster
of fruit' > -pəl, and so on.

We also require a CVC-preference subrule to reduce FFs of the shape \ref{cvc} to \ref{cvc} for derivation of the CF:

d.
$$v_2$$
 ———> ϕ / $\#cv_1_c\#$

Thus boab 'wildcat' > -bob, luad 'rope' > -lud, ruan 'sky' > -run, raon 'yoke' > -ran. 12

C. [v(C)Cv] FF Preference Rules:

In addition to the foregoing subrules accounting for the C-preference "conspiracy," we require other rules governing a deriving from FFs of the shapes VCCV and VCV, which are not counted for by the rules of deaffixation. Deaffixation would eld derivations such as eyo 'fish' > -yo and |?| 'louse' > -i, t would not yield olda 'bone marrow' > -ol, ed're 'egg' > d or ura 'mango' > -ul. In such cases, where a CVC shape is possible, the preference is for CFs derived from the first llable wherever this is permissible. Thus:

The rules formulated above would leave as exceptions, or quire formulation of other rules to account for, forms such 'eran 'kite' > -el, elan ~ elan 'thatching straw, jungle ass' > -el, eran 'stone' > -er, and enal 'firewood' > -en as posed to such forms as eden 'honeycomb' > -den, alan 'tongue' -lan, and anal 'clearing' > -el.

In addition, forms must be accounted for which seem to allow the rules but show unexpected vowel alternations. This toblem deserves full discussion and will not be gone into here. If the first to note in passing that forms such as bery 'hill, buntain' > -ber, miñám 'blood' > -meñ, mo?od 'eye' > -med, and réngé 'wind' > -rin must be accounted

for in terms of vowel reconstruction rather than of deviation from more general derivational rules.

5. Summary: Reinterpretation of Data

The mechanical categorization of all forms into arbitrary classes which fail to take the rest of Sora morphology into account, or thinking solely in terms of what rules are needed to cover every CF derivation, yields results which are not particularly interesting. A far more satisfactory picture emerges if the data are examined from the point of view of the effect of rules on their ultimate goal.

It would appear that Sora prefers CFs of a stressed CVC (C here represents a nonvowel) shape. 13 When this is impossible for one reason or another, (including lack of a viable CVC in the original FF), the first syllable of the FF is the "second choice," as it were, for the CF.

In general terms, the rules can be summarized as:

- A. Deaffixation: morphological and, by extension, phonological.
- B. Preference I: $CVC _{\sharp 1}$ when possible; otherwise, $CVC _{\sharp 2}$ or CV_1C_3 (where \sharp = syllable)
- C. Preference II: first syllable is ${f CV}$ or ${f f CC}$.

The exceptions then prove to be far more interesting than forms which follow all the rules.

Thus while a case such as gorjan 'village' > -jan is totally inexplicable from the data we possess (one unequivocall

pects *-gor), forms such as bisin 'headman' > -bin, ánál learing' > -al, bəsɨd 'salt' > -bɨd, and satár 'vein, sinew, rve' > -tar are explainable by a variety of interconnected asons; specifically, *-bis, *-ŋal, *-bəs and *-sat all have npermissible consonants either in initial or in final position. the case of *- η al we have to assume $\eta > \emptyset$ by virtue of a neral rule prohibiting initial of in surface forms; the idencal rule is seen in operation in the case of ono darkness, ening' > -ol (i.e., not *-ŋol). Final voiceless consonants ch as s and t are not allowable in any context in Sora; so at where there is a CVCVC structure on the model of other ructures containing infixes, the next permissible C is taken, analogy with $\mathtt{-CVC}_\mathfrak{I}$ structures elsewhere. In the case of tár 'vein' the derived CF is not *-sar probably because of ntamination or association with the CF -tar < tárél 'thread, ring, wire' with its close meaning elsewhere in the language. This being the case, why do we not get *-əl < əŋəl irewood', on the analogy of -al < áŋál 'clearing', or, for at matter, *-aŋ < òráŋ 'kite', *-reŋ < əréŋ 'stone', and laŋ < Əláŋ 'thatch'? We find rVŋ and lVŋ elsewhere in Sora permissible sequences: ərə́ŋ 'sour' > -rəŋ, áláŋ 'tongue' > an, əlám 'fodder, trampled straw' > -lam, εlén 'salty' > en, and so on. It is clear from these examples that it is ot stress 14 that determines the possible CFs, since all pos-

bilities appear to be represented in the permitted sequences.

orking synchronically without recourse to comparative data,

we can only set such exceptions aside without explanation.

If, however, we bring in comparative material from Gorum and genetically related languages further afield, some of the apparent exceptions are explainable. Thus:

SORA	GORUM	GRG	KHARIA	ELSEWHERE
əŋəl/-əŋ əreŋ/-ər əlam/-lam	aŋal/-suŋ areŋ/-reŋ, sar= lam	*sVŋol	soŋol soreŋ	PM*sVηaX1
əlaŋ/-el 'thatch' alaŋ ~	alaŋ	* ə-loŋ		
la?aŋ/-laŋ	la?aŋ ~ lag/-laŋ 'ton	*ə-loŋ gue'		
əraŋ/-əl 'kite' kənsim/-im 'chicken'	uran 	*1/na?1 *siŋ	aŋ(but G	Ga. hnalia) PM *sim

From this it can be seen that some CFs of Sora-Gorum, or at least of pre-Sora, must have been derived at a time when either an initial s was present (and then later lost) or when the effects of an initial s (secondary stress, possibly) were in force. In the case of eran 'kite' either an initial consonant (in the shape of n) or similarly its residual effect on the stress pattern was probably present. On the other hand, elan 'thatch' does not appear to be susceptible to this type of explanation, and hence must remain an exception.

The derivation, by the rules proposed here, of various sample forms is shown in the accompanying charts. It can be seen that there are not many cases which cannot be explained by our general rules; the chief exceptions to the latter are forms subject to interference from a related verb, which seems

В.

ō

s

endaráj arenén esáj menrá günlü bénráj kentúj darej ta'tal

FF:

ડ

		F. F. :	FF: 60'r£	516	R-bód-1	múj'jà	gd-n	pèri	ràón	b d á b	ɔ̃lá κ-bód múj'jà κ-pó pàri ràóς bòáb kosállí benfm gəmól	m}ueq	19meß
					[bcdbod']		[popo]						
	Rule												
Α.	A. Deaffixation:												
	a. Infix		(94'ré)	(514)	(R-bód) (r	múj'jà)	(R-pd)	(þýi()	(ràóŋ)	(bòáb)	(éd'rć) (ślá) (n-bód)(múj'jà) (n-pó) (pèrí) (ràóŋ) (bòáb) (kosállí) bɨm	b im	1 Şweb
	b. Prefix		=	=	род	:	od	τ	= ,	:	(kV)sá!! (=
	c. †-n-LV#		=	•				=	:	=	=		= ,
В.	CVC-Preferencs:				#**								
	a. c¢c		=	=		mu j		=	=	=	sállí		lom
	b. c [†] L/_ν			=				ied*	=	=	Sa		
	c. 1st syllable CVC with 2 primaries	ble CV imarie	: :	= 1 = 1 1 1			7. ** 	= 1		=			
	d. 1st syllable other ad(e.g. VCJ CV, VCJ V)	ble of	her əd C][V)	0				=	=	=			
	e. $V_2 + \emptyset / CV_C$	cv_c						=	raŋ	pop			
<i>:</i>	Readjustment (because of surface constraints)	(becau nstrai	se nts)					led					

FF Type:	H	11	III	ΛI	Δ	IA
40	áná! òn <u>ói</u>	tenál Romár Romár Trod Rottám Tabár	Kiná > <u>Kid</u> raná > <u>rad</u>	<u>فن ا</u> رنغ وغرب <u>زغر،</u> <u>دفرو</u> غش (<u>دن</u> رمه)	bisig raman taban taban	terá > -tal terib > -tir berú > -bir
Type I. is derived	d in the usu	al way but is s	Type I. is derived in the usual way but is subject to a surface constraint on initial η , which $^+\phi$.	constraint on init	ial ŋ, which → φ.	
Type II. From the reflecting a rule do with the sequen are probably from selements of both sycogon as a separate	ál we should *ñ + l. I h: ce (C)VrVd, v single bisyl: yllables of morpheme.	expect *-tañ, ave no explanan which is neithe labic morphemes the single morp bísín > -bin, w	Type II. From tàrál we should expect *-tañ, which is in fact the form given by Ramanurti; m reflecting a rule *\hat{n} + . I have no explanation for torod > -tod rather than the expected *-tod with the sequence (C)VrVd, which is neither usual nor found in other FFs. In $C_1VC_2VC_3$ fo are probably from single bisyllabic morphemes rather than conjoined morphemes, and this may elements of both syllables of the single morpheme in the CF. Contrast this with remen Type elements of separate morpheme. bísín > -bin, with two primary stresses, does not belong here.	e form given by Ram of rather than the e .n other Frs. In \mathbb{C}_1 ned morphemes, and nurast this with reesses, does not belles!	Type II. From this we should expect *-tañ, which is in fact the form given by Ramamurti; my -tal may be merely a dialect variant reflecting a rule *\hat{n} \to 1\$. I have no explanation for torod > -tod rather than the expected *-tol, the derivation may have something with the sequence (C)VrVd, which is neither usual nor found in other FFs. In $C_1^{VC_2VC_3}$ forms, C_2 may well be ambisyllabic; the are probably from single bisyllabic morphemes rather than conjoined morphemes, and this may entail a separate rule for retention delements of both syllables of the single morpheme in the CF. Contrast this with ramen Type V, where rVm is attested everywhere (GRG) as a separate morpheme. b[s]0 > -b[n], with two primary stresses, does not belong here.	Type II. From this we should expect *-tañ, which is in fact the form given by Ramanurti; my -tal may be merely a dialect variant reflecting a rule *\hat{n} + 1. I have no explanation for torod > -tod rather than the expected *-tol, the derivation may have something do with the sequence (C)Vr\hat{y}, which is neither usual nor found in other FFs. In C_1 VC $_2$ VC $_3$ forms, C_2 may well be ambisyllabic; the lare probably from single bisyllabic morphemes rather than conjoined morphemes, and this may entail a separate rule for retention of elements of both syllables of the single morpheme in the CF. Contrast this with ramen Type V, where rVm is attested everywhere (e. GRG) as a separate morpheme. bis\hat{n} > -bi\hat{n}, with two primary stresses, does not belong here.
Type III. With no cognates elsewhere in Munda, rəna > kɨna > -kɨd 'tiger', which is widely attested in Munda laryngeal followed by ///, i.e. *kuXia > -kuXi, which elsewhere in the language to confirm this hypothesis.	cognates el: ', which is ' i by ///, \dot{i} . anguage to cc	sewhere in Mund widely attested ø. *kuX a > -ku onfirm this hyp	la, rəna > -rəd 'gol I in Munda (σf . Goru IXI, which might giv othesis. I/d corre	d'is inexplicable. m kula > -kul). On e rise co such form spondences between	-rad 'gold' is inexplicable. It may have been formed (af . Go:um kula > -kul). One may postulate a complex might give rise co such fo:ms via *ku/ H > *-ki'd in /d correspondences between Gorum and Sora are common.	Type III. With no cognates elsewhere in Munda, rena > -red 'gold' is inexplicable. It may have been formed in a manner analogous kina > -kid 'tiger', which is widely attested in Munda (of. Go:um kula > -kul). One may postulate a complex vowel or a vowel plus laryngeal followed by ///, i.e. *kuXla > -kuXl, which might give rise to such fo:ms via *ku/iHl > *-ki'd in Sora. Examples are le elsewhere in the language to confirm this hypothesis. //d correspondences between Gorum and Sora are common.
Type IV. It is profinationes are found Gorum is jä(ŋ)-kor ŋ or ñ (Gorum in-rābísíŋ > -biŋ.	obable that a d in some Gta sacred plantan). However	En takes preced a? derivations. ce, village shr r, it may be th	lence over *!ud for Similarly, gorjan :ine'. Open to spec iat g is nonpermitte	a lexical reason sures on sures on sures or subject to entation is regent of final, though if	ch as a genetic meaning a lexical requirement; -re; its correspondence: this were so one would o	Type IV. It is probable that EQ takes precedence over *!ud for a lexical reason such as a generic meaning (e.g., 'cucumber'); such instances are found in some Gta? derivations. Similarly, gorjan may be subject to a lexical requirement; the only possible cognate Gorum is jā(n)-kor 'sacred place, village shrine'. Open to speculation is regam > -re; its correspondences invariably include a f. n or ñ (Gorum in-ran). However, it may be that g is nonpermitted final, though if this were so one would expect -rem on the analog bisin > -bin.
Type V. The CF of final s. As CV is	bísín is pronot a prefe	obably derived rred shape for	in the expected man CFs, the only other	ner as *bis, which consonant in the w	The CF of bisin is probably derived in the expected manner as *bis, which is an impossible sequence because of the As CV is not a preferred shape for CFs, the only other consonant in the word is taken over: *-bis > *-bi > -bin.	The CF of b[sing is probably derived in the expected manner as *bis, which is an impossible sequence because of the nonpern As CV is not a preferred shape for CFs, the only other consonant in the word is taken over: *-bis > *-bin.
Type VI. The CFs expected *-ta is pa	of tərib and robably rule	bəru can be ex d out by the ab	plained by vowel re sence of final C, a	construction rather lthough vowel recon	Type VI. The CFs of tarib and baru can be explained by vowel reconstruction rather than derivation. In the case of tara > -tal, expected *-ta is probably ruled out by the absence of final G, although vowel reconstruction may be relevant here also.	ne case of tera > -tal, not here also.

diate tit. Exceptions and pertactions

В.

ied

*kVnsim

ă

deter further reduction (this is unlike Gta?, where such luction would be permitted and where the monosyllabic shape the CF takes precedence over all other considerations).

The subrules or specific rules which make up these more neral rules are fairly numerous—perhaps more numerous than digiri's six classes. But the explanatory power of our genal rules far outweighs their numerical "inelegance." We st cope not only with surface constraints which have definite fects on the output of rules and consequently entail further orules; we must also deal with what has been termed a "contracy" (though this may be too strong a word to use for such milar—looking rules) in the form of different kinds of rules ich are not easily collapsible (or even relatable in some ses) but which all seek a single end or goal.

It is inevitable that there will be a small residue of the shed. In several such cases (e.g., tərfb 'cloud' > -tir, -u' 'hill, mountain' > -bir), however, we are liable to be volved in problems of phonology or of the reconstruction of wels which are outside the immediate concerns of CF derivation e se. The existence of such forms is not something to dismay a comparative and historical linguist, but rather should be becomed as reflecting an earlier stage of the language aiting discovery. It is definitely preferable to obscuring the irregularities by mechanical categorization which would

t set aside such forms as unusual in any way.

Appendix

LIST OF SORA COMBINING FORMS 17

FF		CF

ədən	-dəŋ	honeycomb
əd í	-dī	cotton
ad'rÉ	- əd	egg
èráŋ	- ə1	kite (bird)
əg á b	-gab	grass
əy ʻ	-уэ	fish
èlég	-əl	thatching straw
əlám	-lam	trampled straw
əléb	-leb	mouse deer, chevrotain
əlf	-sal (suppl.)	liquor
əlś	-10	river
əndàráj	-daj	brinjal, eggplant
əndid	-did	sport, play
anéb ~ ne?eb	-neb	tree
ənród	-rod	bee
ənselő	-boj (suppl.)	woman
əñúm	-ñum	urine
èŋéΙ	-əŋ	firewood
ərə́ŋ	-rəŋ	sour
əréŋ	-ər	stone, rock
ərgal	-gal	thirst

ýy	-roy	fly
∙€d	-red	parrot
ij	-saj	charcoal
វិហ្	-soŋ	dung
j	-su	illness, fever
śγ	-bay	seed
ír	-gur	ripe fruit
∮d	-jed	root
áη	-laŋ	tongue
úr	-nur	scent
áΙ	-al	clearing on hill
gáj	-gaj	moon
á ~ ərá	×	tree, wood
enéŋ	-reŋ	wings
έI	-rel	hail
ร์	-ar	monkey
síd	-sid	class of (untouchable) Soras
l é d	-bed	feather
n∮m	-b∔m	anthill
nráj	-bən	flour
ró	-bər	lungs
erú	-bir	hill
∍s∮d	-bid	salt
éssér	-baj (suppl.)	large lizard

CF

bət í	-pid (suppl:)	mushroom
bárá	-bal	gun barrel
bénta	-ben	hunting
bisin	-biŋ	headman
boj	-boj	woman
bomáŋ	-maŋ ~ -bɔm	bloodsucker (reptile)
báŋtέΙ	-bog	buffalo
bòró	-bor	hoe
bɔ?ób	-bəb	head
boáb	-bob	wildcat
bódbód	-bod	worm
bóyá	-boy	priest
bóŋsáŋ	-boŋ	trough
borá	-bor	jackal
búlú	-bu1 , 4; 5 , 5	thigh
búróy	-bur	Setaria italica, millet
dáddəréy	-dəd	snail
dəráŋ	-daŋ	house lizard, gecko
dángo	-daŋ	stick
dáŋkəra	-daŋ ~ -tod	basket of ten measures
dáŋkí	-daŋ	pot
dáréj	-dar	rice
déréŋ	-der	horn
díá	-dib (suppl.)	waist
dòláj	-dol	hunger

FF CF

bá	-ed	thorn
éη	-leg	salty
júm	-jum	axe
lúd	- εη	cucumber
rá	- εŋ	cucumber
lé	-gəl	ear of grain
máŋ	-gəm	rich man
mól	-mo I	small insect that flies in face at night
náy	-gay ~ -god	<pre>tuber (cf. gay 'to dig')</pre>
ndrój	(gərəj)	shame (cf . gərɔj 'to put to shame')
núr	-gɨm (suppl.) ~ (-gur <i>Rm</i> .)	rain (cf. gur 'to rain')
rfj	-gɨj	tile, sherd
tasi	-gəsi	play (cf. gəsi 'to play')
ηgá	-gaŋ	Panicum miliare, millet
nd f j	-gon	squirrel
rjáŋ	-jaŋ	village
d'dáŋ	-gud	field
njí	-gun	a kind of creeper
ntúr	-gun ~ (-tur <i>Rm</i> .)	rat
rdá	-gur	pus
i :	-1	louse
bmɔʻl	-jəb	seed

CF

jánnóŋ	-jən	field
jənó	-jo	<pre>broom (cf. jo 'to sweep')</pre>
játé	-ja	sesame
je?éŋ	-jen	leg
jếlù	-jel	meat
ji?i	-ji	tooth
jób'bá	-job	mud
jórá	-jol	stream
j ɔ? ɔ	-jo	fruit
jumpe	-jum	bunch (Oriya)
kərətti	-kəd (supp1.)	sickle
kərib	-kib /	sword
kərin	-diŋ	drum
kərób'pí	-kob	earring
kəmbón ~ kə́mbón	-jɛl <i>(suppl.)</i> ~ -bon	pig
kəmbúd	-bud	bear
kəmbúr	-buj (suppl.)	Sorghum Roxburghii, millet
kəmpóŋ	-poŋ	stomach
kəndód	-dod	frog
kəndóŋ	-don	back
kənjin	-jin	porcupine
kən1å	-kəl	small cup
kənóŋ	-koŋ	razor

FF CF

nrén	-reŋ	forest
ıróm	-rom	large black gram (probably <i>Vigna</i>)
ารไท	- i m	chicken
ntúj	-tuj	owl
-áñjá	-kəñ	tree name (Oriya)
rí	-kər	fat
ró	-kər	red-faced monkey
b ' para	-kab	duck
d ' du	-kad	bangle
ká	-ka	crow
mméd	-med	goat
ná	-kɨd	tiger
nád	-kad	crab (< *kɨn + kad)
nám	-kim	husk
ndál	-da l	basket-maker
njər-póŋ	-poŋ	person with a big belly
njáŋ	-jaŋ	backbone
nláy	-lay	pumpkin, gourd
nrəpéd	-ped	large mouse
ns ó r	-sər	sambar (deer)
nsód	-sod	dog
nt á ŋ	-təŋ	brain
ntál	-tal	wall
ntera ~ kəntera	-tel	castor oil

FF CF

kɨntέ

kitúŋ

-tε _σ (

-tuŋ

. banana

god, spirit

kórá	-ko l	oven
kòlbá	-kol	ghost
kòmáb	-kob	ashes
kóndém	-kon	hill paddy (grain)
kónd í	-kon	knife
kórgád	-kor	blackberry tree
kórpál	-kor	loki, gourd
kórráb	-kod	twigs
kórrán	-kor	tree bark
kosállí	-sal	cucumber-like vegetable
ko?o	-ko	Lagenaria, gourd, ladle
kúŗú	-kul	porridge
kukkúr	-kur	dove
kùllů	-ku l	turtle
kúmb∮l	-kum	rat
kúrtá	-kur	horse
kutém	-kum ~ (-təm ?)	mohwa stick
l ebś	-15	earth
lak f j	-k i j	sand
lo?śj	-loj	testicles
107ór	-lor	a kind of snake
lóger	-100	cave
l u á d	-I ud	rope
,		

CF

ıáŋ	-laŋ	iron
ı?úd	-Jud	ear
∍ndórá	-mɨn	small pot
enrá	-mər	man
eríd'sa	-mid	chilli
irá	-ma l	loft shelf
irfñ	-mal	large measure
iyón	-mañ	chest
írá	-mar	peacock
émé	-me	breast
iñám	-məñ	blood
ſñſm	-n i m	year
iñól	-me (suppl.)	oil
brá	lcm-	fog
bráñ	-moñ	big basket
bc?c	-məd	eye
íj'já	-muj	ant
íntá	-mun	small lota
ínú	-mun	black gram (Telugu)
íré	-mur	boil
า ?น์	-mu	nose
ənʻʻd	−ñod	ghost
èŋá	-ŋəŋ	bow
ílím	–ñil	leech

òṛáj	-ol .	Dolichos biflorus, horsegram
òlá	-51	leaf
ò1 ʻ j	-loj	fruit with poisonous seed (e.g., cashew)
ònrfj	-rey	pestle
ònrég	-reŋ	mouse
ànt f b	-tib	fruit (Calatropis alba ?)

CF

FF

-on son

) o?on sag, green vegetable, -əb greens sun -yoŋ

òáb òyón òldá **-**01 bone marrow -mod smoke peepul tree -jər

omód ònjár ònt í d -tid bird ònól -01 darkness, evening pàrí cluster of fruit -pəl

hurt, wound (cf. para
'to hurt, ache') pənàrá -para penéd lock, latch (cf. Gorum beqpad)

pàndér rabbit -pən pèppér grasshopper -par

pəsfj child. -sii -pab top stem of salop tree

páb'párù where tapped párí ridges in a field -pal

isán, əsán	-saŋ	bitter
ogá	-pod (suppl.)	tobacco
śpó	-ро	cake
∍gál	-gal	sweet
əméŋ	-rəm	cat
əná	-rəd	gold
èn á j	-raj	stringed instrument
əŋán	-rəŋ	cold
ခ် ၅g မ်	-rɨŋ	wind
àóŋ	-raŋ	yoke
a?a	-ra	elephant
égém (-surtəm)	-re	medicine
όηkό	-ron	raw rice
ùáŋ	-ruŋʻ	sky
əráj	-san (suppl.)	red gram
ènéŋ	-səŋ	door
ènár	-sar	comb
èndí	-sən	cot
ənùrù	-sur	umbrella
á ŋká	-səŋ	neck
ərèmɔ́ñ	-sem	kind of long dal , (gram)
èró	-sər	paddy
èrsáŋ	-sər	vegetable
álpém	-sal	Caryota urens, solop
àmbí	-sam	buttocks

FF	

síndí

singér

si?í

sáldá

sóllá

sárà

sònim

sòndán

sórbán

súndém

súttí

su?uŋ

tərá

tənfb

tənóŋbá

tàngám

tàŋkél

sìterí ~ síd'tari

~ síteri

CF

-sin

-siŋ

-sid

-si

-sol

-sol

-sor

-sɨm

-son

-sor

-sun

-sud

-sɨŋ

-tal

-t∔b

-təŋ

-təb (suppl.)

-təŋ ~ -kel

sárdá	-sar ·	<pre>jati (no intermar- riage earlier)</pre>
sàtár	-tar	vein, nerve
sèndá	-sen	well
sénré	-sen ~ -kab (supp1.)	cloth
sɛʔɛŋ	-seŋ	side

date palm

Eleusine coracana,

ragi, millet

small measure

chunam (L.), lime

husband (cf. tonbá 'to have sexual intercourse')

shallow basket

ginger

hand

earth

Sora

God

post

wasp

small pot

house

tank

share

beads

nár	-god (suppl.)	way, road
er fb	-tir	cloud
abán ~ tábán	-tab	bamboo shoot
ıbár	-tar	banyan
nál	-tal (-tañ <i>Rm</i> .)	crocodile
áηkó	-taŋ	fruit stone
ล์ŋlfy	-taŋ	cow, cattle
árbá	-tar	flower
árél	-tar	thread
a?á!	-tal	spleen
iygəl	-tod (suppl.)	large basket of two measures
émbér	-tem	(fruit eating) jungle rat
èrém	-ter	small bee
ittin	-tin	tamarind
o?od	-təm (suppl.)	mouth
o?oge	-tod (suppl.)	fire
órá	-toI	mohwa seed
śŗód	-tod	basket of eight measures
bléd	-tol	gum
òtt ó m	-tom	iguana
udúm	-tum	drum (onom. ?)
íjtúj	-tuj	star
mbá	-tum	calabash shell (Oriya)

FF	CF
----	----

ura	-ul	mango
ùrfŋ	-ur	b.amboo
ùsál	-sal	skin
u?u	-u	hair

References

- BILIGIRI, H.S., "The Sora Verb, A Restricted Study," in *Lingua*, 15 (1965): 231-50.
- MAHAPATRA, Bijoy, and Ranganayaki MAHAPATRA, Computer Printout of Sora Vocabulary (1967).
- MAHAPATRA, Khageshwar, and Norman H. ZIDE, "Gta? Nominal Combining Forms," in *Indian Linguistics*, 33 (1972) 3: 179-202.
- PINNOW, Heinz-Jürgen, "A Comparative Study of the Verb in the Munda Languages," in Studies in Comparative Austroasiatic Linguistics, edited by Norman H. Zide (The Hague: Mouton, 1966), 96-195.
- RAMAMURTI, Rao Sahib G.V., "Sora Contracted Forms," excerpted from A Manual of the Sora (or Savara) Language. Madras, 1931.
- RAMAMURTI, Rao Sahib G.V., English-Sora Dictionary. Madras, 1931.
- RAMAMURTI, Rao Sahib G.V., Sora-English Dictionary. Madras, 1938.
- STAMPE, David L., *Proto-Sora-Parengi Phonology*. Unpublished M.A. thesis, Department of Linguistics, University of Chicago, 1963.
- STAROSTA, Stanley, Sora Syntax. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Wisconsin, 1967.
- ZIDE, Arlene R.K., A Comparative Lexicon of Sora-Gorum. University of Chicago, 1968. Mimeographed.

DE, Arlene R.K., A Gorum Lexicon. Part I: Gorum-English. University of Chicago, 1968. Mimeographed.

⁴The syntactic implications of CFs, while interesting, liestside the scope of this paper; see Starosta (1967).

⁵The symbol V represents an unspecified, automatic vowel erivable by application of late phonological rules relating to me other vowels of a given form. In Sora it is usually realzed as a but sometimes appears as i or e. The symbol L stands or a continuant, and encompasses n, r, i, r and, rarely, n or

⁶If Biligiri's classification is followed, derivation of meb from aneb ~ ne?eb would require the two FFs to be relegated of different classes. Interpreting deaffixation as one type of eneral rule (with various subrules for the derivation of CFs) buld not entail problems of classification in such cases.

The expected form is either *s+d or perhaps *b+s, in nich case the first syllable may have had secondary stress e.g., in pre-Sora. It is unstressed in present-day Sora.) his suggests a first vowel other than a for Sora-Gorum. Gorum has bosud 'salt' which seems to bear out such an hypothesis.) If the hypothesis is correct it might explain b+d as substitution for *b+s which has an impermissable final s, iving *b+d, which later on was perhaps reinterpreted as derived by de-infixation yielding the form b+d.

⁸Reduplication in underlying FFs is represented by the ymbol R plus the morpheme reduplicated; thus saŋsaŋ 'turmeric's the surface form of /R-saŋ/. Later phonological rules map he appropriate realizations of such reduplicated forms as aka 'crow', tittin (< *tintin) 'tamarind', pəppər (< *pərpər) grasshopper'. Reduplication can be interpreted as operating ike prefixation, and its behavior in CF derivation seems to e in no way deviant.

¹Also known as *Parengi*, *Pareng*, and *Parenga*. Materials Gorum are taken from the writer's field notes, informants ming from the villages of Semla and Khasra, Koraput District, issa. Sora data are largely taken from the writer's notes, and were elicited mainly from Tabono Savra.

 $^{^2}$ See Mahapatra and Zide (1972).

³Ramamurti (1931: 154).

⁹Parentheses around bracketed forms, ([.....]), are used to mean "in the general environment of" or "next to," i.e. before or after.

 $^{10}{\rm The~symbol}^+$ over a vowel indicates neutral (*i.e.*, either secondary or primary) stress, as opposed to an unstresse vowel. It is worth noting here that my corpus includes no forms with front ${\rm V_1}$.

ll These examples exhibit the morphophonemic relationship between intervocalic r and final |. From this and other relationships we can internally reconstruct for Sora (a) an underlying *r, with morphophonemic realizations VrV and VI#, and (b) an underlying *d, with morphophonemic realizations VrV, Vd#, and #dV ~ = dV. These are exemplified above, and in the contrasting CFs of kora 'oven' > -kol, koda ~ kora 'crane' > kod. Cf. also kərib 'sword' > -kib as opposed to kərin 'drum' > -din where the underlying forms are */kərib/ and */kədin/ respectively.

 $^{12}\mbox{Perhaps}$ to be included here is oab 'greens' , -əb, although the vowel alternation raises problems we cannot deal with here.

 $^{1\,3}\mbox{We}$ are presumably dealing with some type of surface constraints here.

l4Stress in the Munda languages appears to be a complex problem. It is nevertheless clear that Sora stress is subphonemic, being determined (as elsewhere in Munda) on the basis of a variety of factors including the inherent unstressedness of such vowels as a and in Sora as well as on the basis, in Mund generally, of syllable shape, position in relation to other syllables within the word, and morphology. For discussion of strein other Munda languages see N. Zide, Korku Phonology and Morphology, Ph.D. dissertation, University of Pennsylvania, 1960, and Arlene R.K. Zide, Some Problems in the Generation of Gorum Obstruents and Related Glides, University of Chicago, mimeographe 1966. Ramamurti treats Sora stress as distinctive, but often the stress he records seems to be incorrect. Stampe, Starosta, Biligiri and Mahapatra all regard stress as subphonemic in Sora

 $^{15}\mathrm{No}$ CFs of the shape -CV are found except as an output o (obligatory) deaffixation and in jate 'sesame' , -ja, where fur ther surface constraints would preclude a CF of any other form.

¹⁶Irregular deinfixation and blocking of further reductio because of interference from existing verbal relations.

 $^{17}{
m The}$ forms listed were elicited from Tabono Savra of Serango. The symbols t and d represent the dental and retrofle

ops respectively. As has been said, stress in nonphonemic; is used here only as suggestive raw data yet to be assessed. imary stress is indicated by the acute accent (') over a wel, secondary stress by the grave (`), unmarked vowels being stressed. The following abbreviations are used: onom. = omatopoeia, Rm. = Ramamurti, suppl. = suppletive, L. = loan